

Comment Id	Original Comment	Published Response
1669	Can contracts used as Mandatory Experience also be used as a Past Performance contract?	There are no restrictions on contracts used for Mandatory Experience also being used as a Past Performance reference.
1674	How should Representations and Certifications be submitted? As part of Volume I?	As specified in first paragraph of Section V, the Offeror shall complete only paragraph (b) of this provision if the Offeror has completed the annual representations and certification electronically in the System for Award Management (SAM) accessed through <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> . If the Offeror has not completed the annual representations and certifications electronically, the Offeror shall complete only paragraphs (c) through (v) of this provision. The Representations Certification is a part of the Offer Volume.
1683	Large Business teammates for Small Business bidders, can a Large Business be a subcontractor to a Small Business on Category B or Category C for the purposes of meeting Mandatory Relevant Project Experience submissions?	Yes.
1686	Please clarify where and if a Q&A spreadsheet can be uploaded here?	There are no spreadsheet uploading capabilities, however, you can upload individual questions or comments following the instructions. Any comments regarding the RFP should be submitted electronically using the SEWP VI RFP application found at <a href="https://sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/">https://sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/</a>
1688	The instructions on page 95 of the RFP stated that the respective documents within the folder should be labeled as "GettDone_Category#-EXHIBIT #," etc. However, the next paragraph stated, "Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file. Should we have separate PDF documents in a Volume folder or incorporate all documents into a single Volume PDF document?"	Please reference the proposal format and organization in Section A.3.6 (A).
1695	On page 109, "Offerors are advised that the matrix is a summary of the referenced contracts identified in paragraph (a)(12) above." This implies the response to item 12 applies to the contract references required in A.3.7.2(a), but that section allows "no more than 3" similar contract references. Please confirm that for #12, offerors may use the same or different contract references than used to respond to #1-11.	Yes, for item #12, offerors may use the same or different contract references than those used to respond to items #1-11.
1700	Please confirm that the requirement for up to 3 contract references is per category -- so that an offeror proposing in Category A and Category B would provide up to 6 total references.	Yes, the requirement for up to 3 contract references is per category.
1711	A.4.3 paragraph 4 on page 116 says "Additional performance factors may include contract administration, occupational health, safety, security, subcontracting plan goals and small disadvantaged business participation targets, if applicable, and other contract requirements." These factors are not included in Exhibit 2. Please explain how the Government will evaluate these factors.	The Government may review and consider past performance information on other contracts that it is aware of or that are made available from other sources and inquiries with previous customers. These factors will be taken into consideration as part of the overall performance evaluation
1739	"An offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a JV or CTA in that same category...More than one Offer, e.g. proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship..." Consistent with the Draft RFP Q&A responses (which included Question 1459), please confirm that A.3.5 does NOT preclude a prime contractor from acting as a traditional 1st tier subcontractor on one or more proposals within a category if they are not providing REP or Past Performances to another company's proposal. In other words, this statement in A.3.5 applies only to limitations on the number of PRIME proposals a company can participate in (as a CTA/JV or standalone prime), not on the number of SUBCONTRACTS they can participate in. This allows highly specialized subcontractors to add value to multiple proposals, which will increase price competition at the task order level by limiting exclusivity of niche capabilities while still providing for a diversity of small business prime contractors.	The statement in A.3.5 does not preclude a prime contractor from acting as a traditional 1st tier subcontractor on one or more proposals within a category if they are not providing REP or Past Performances to another company's proposal. This statement applies only to limitations on the number of PRIME proposals a company can participate in (as a CTA/JV or standalone prime), not on the number of SUBCONTRACTS they can participate in. This allows highly specialized subcontractors to add value to multiple proposals, which will increase price competition at the task order level by limiting exclusivity of niche capabilities while still providing for a diversity of small business prime contractors.
1741	A.3.6(B)(7) "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Please confirm that this language does not limit companies' ability to participate as first-tier subcontractors on traditional prime/sub teams (not JVs or CTAs). This allows highly specialized subcontractors to add value to multiple proposals, which will increase price competition at the task order level by limiting exclusivity of niche capabilities while still providing for a diversity of small business prime contractors.	The language in A.3.6(B)(7) does not limit companies' ability to participate as first-tier subcontractors on traditional prime/sub teams (not JVs or CTAs). This allows highly specialized subcontractors to add value to multiple proposals, which will increase price competition at the task order level by limiting exclusivity of niche capabilities while still providing for a diversity of small business prime contractors.
1743	For Small Businesses who are proposing traditional prime/subcontractor teams (not CTAs or JVs) AND are not using any of their subcontractors to meet the requirements of Volume I or II (i.e., REPs or Past Performance); please confirm that Meaningful Commitment Letters (MCL) are NOT required for each 1st tier subcontractor discussed in the Mission Suitability Volume.	For Small Businesses who are proposing traditional prime/subcontractor teams (not CTAs or JVs) and are not using any of their subcontractors to meet the requirements of Volume I or II (i.e., REPs or Past Performance), Meaningful Commitment Letters (MCL) are NOT required for each 1st tier subcontractor discussed in the Mission Suitability Volume.
1746	A.3.6(B)(7) "Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another (company) or have a section that is a duplication or replica of another offeror (company), that is not part of a JV or CTA, will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award..." Many large government contracts have multiple companies working on the contract together as a team. For example, Company A and Company B are teamed to perform Contract XYZ for the Department of Defense. If Company A submits a Prime Offer for NASA SEWP and uses Contract XYZ for one of their REPs, and Company B also submits a Prime Offer for NASA SEWP and uses Contract XYZ for one of their REPs -- there will be some duplication of information (such as contract name, period of performance, similar descriptions of the project objectives). Please confirm that as long as the two companies only cite THEIR PORTION of Contract XYZ (i.e., their dollar value, the scope that their company performed, the results they were responsible for) and WRITES THE DESCRIPTION in their own words, this will not be found ineligible for award. The benefit to the government is that MANY small business prime offerors (particularly in Category C) must rely on REPs or Past Performances where they were a subcontractor, and they cannot control whether their prime contractors also use that contract. By not making this limitation, NASA will not unnecessarily reduce its small business pool and competition for Category C.	Companies using the same contract for their REPs and PPQs will not be penalized as long as they only cite the portion of the contract that their specific company was involved in. They are also required to write these descriptions in their own words.
1749	"Each Offeror (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable) for each NAICS code represented in Section A.1.33...must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their size standards..." Please confirm that the crosswalk is only required for first-tier subcontractors who are required to provide Meaningful Commitment Letters.	The NAICS code crosswalk is only required for first-tier subcontractors who are required to provide Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters.
1750	"Each Offeror (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable) for each NAICS code represented in Section A.1.33...must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their size standards..." The NAICS crosswalk in SAM.gov simply says if a company is "small" in that NAICS with a "Yes" vs. "No." The SAM registration indicates if a company is certified as HUBZone, EDWOSB, etc. Please clarify that in filling out the crosswalk, we are to use the socioeconomic certifications indicated in our SAM registration (e.g., EDWOSB) to be accurate?	Yes, in filling out the crosswalk in Exhibit 4, offerors are to use the socioeconomic certifications indicated in the offeror's SAM.gov registration.
1758	Exhibit 2, Past Performance Questionnaire, contain questions in Section 6 that is directed at the offeror and not at the reference, for example to calculate annual value, to explain a cost overrun, and it asks on another item "If this was an award fee contract, what are the individual and/or average ratings of performance by your organization? Please attach any available award fee letters or database entries." Would you please clarify if the offeror or the reference should respond to these? The reference might not have this information available.	The offeror should respond to these questions as the reference might not have this information available.
1760	Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from Offeror states "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror" and requests 12 data points. Can the Government please confirm that these 12 data points are requesting data specific to the three (3) referenced contracts (i.e., Reference #1 (1-12), Reference #2 (1-12), Reference #3 (1-12)?	Yes, the Government confirms that the 12 data points requested in the Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from Offeror, are specific to the three (3) referenced contracts (i.e., Reference #1 (1-12), Reference #2 (1-12), Reference #3 (1-12))
1761	Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from Offeror #9 requires "recent customer evaluations of past performance including..." Will the Government please confirm that customer-written performance feedback issued in recent CPARS is acceptable "other written performance feedback"?	Yes, customer-written performance feedback issued in recent CPARS is acceptable as "other written performance feedback" for the requirement in Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from Offeror #9

1763	We have done work as a subcontractor for a Prime contract holder of SEWP V. On exhibit 1, the relevant experience project table has project value. Is this the project value specifically of us as the sub contractor or the total project value of the prime contract holder? Thank You.	The project value on Exhibit 1 should reflect the total value of the offeror's contract, task order, etc.
1764	Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from Offeror states "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror" and requests 12 data points. Within these data points, #9, #10 and #11 are excluded from page limits. Can the Government please reorder the list of items to keep page-limited items together (#1-8 and #12) and to keep excluded from page limit items together (#9, #10, and #11)? Alternatively, could the Government indicate that the items excluded from page count can be included as attachments?	The Government confirms that within the 12 data points requested in the Past Performance Volume, (a) information from Offeror, items #9, #10, and #11 are excluded from page limits. To keep page-limited items together (#1-8 and #12) and to keep excluded from page limit items together (#9, #10, and #11), the Government can reorder the list of items accordingly. Alternatively, the Government could indicate that the items excluded from the page count can be included as attachments.
1766	General Question: There are multiple references to the Goddard Space Flight Center (GFSC) Supplement clauses. Where are these located and how are they different than the NASA FAR Supplement?	The Goddard Space Flight Center (GFSC) Supplement clauses are provided in the contract in full text.
1772	Page 103-104 of the RFP states "For Small Businesses (including prime small business offerors and first tier Subcontractor, if applicable): A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." indicating "REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas" then the RFP states "For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 different REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." indicating "REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas" This contradicts the requirement for Small Business. Please verify that this should also read "REPS from different mandatory experience technical areas".	The Government confirms that the HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable) are required to submit 2 different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas.
1773	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION indicates "All Electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB" on Pg 92 #(d), and "Electronic files of Volumes I, II, and III, shall be in separate folders in 1 zip file, virus free, and no larger than 120MB per file" on Pg 94 #(3). Please clarify if the 120MB file limit is applied to the zip archive as a whole or applied to each of the electronic files individually.	The 120 MB size limit applies to the single submitted zip file containing all the submission files.
1781	A.3.7.1 states: "Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume)." Since the requirements of this FAR are very broad, from financial resources to management approach, can the government please break down exactly what evidence is required for this section?	No, the Government will not be providing a breakdown.
1790	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR, page 106 states "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror:" and then identifies 12 separate requirements. The way this is written, it appears that the intention is for all 12 of these requirements to apply specifically and only to the "minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3)" contract references that are presented as past performance references for the proposal. However, while requirements 1 - 8 are clearly able to be drawn from a specific contract reference, requirement #'s 9 - 12 seem as though they could be aimed at a bidders overall performance. Can the Government please clarify whether these 12 requirements are applicable to ONLY the reference contracts that are selected by the bidder, or whether some of them would apply to the bidders overall business?	Data points 1-8 are specific to the contract reference while data points 9-12 can be for the bidder's overall performance.
1815	"The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."  What does the code being used for competition mean? Is there only one code, or will any code in Exhibit 4 count as the competition code?	The NAICS code being used for competition refers to the NAICS code under the category the offeror is submitting a proposal for.
1822	Should the offeror complete the Reps & Certs and include in their proposal? If so, which volume should this be included in and are the pages excluded?	Yes, the Representations and Certifications should be included in the proposal as part of Volume I, page limitations are excluded.
1828	Are separate sets of volumes (Volume I-Offer Volume, Volume II-Past Performance Volume, and Volume III-Mission Suitability Volume) required for each individual Technical Area within a Category? For instance, if a company is proposing two Content Representative Areas from Category C, for example, C.2. Information and Data Analytics Services (IDAs) and C.3. Application Services/Software Development, are two sets of Volumes I, II, and III required?	Offerors wishing to propose on more than one category must submit a complete and separate proposal for each category they want to propose on.
1842	For the Volume I submission, is it acceptable for Offerors to use Tabs to identify RFP documents the Offerors are completing, such as the SF1449, Certifications and Representations, Exhibit 3a (PDF), and Exhibit 5, in the Volume Table of Contents with each Tab containing the numbered page, Offeror name, RFP number, and date in lieu of modifying each page within the SF1449, Certifications and Representations, Exhibit 3a (PDF), and Exhibit 5 with this same information?	Yes, it is acceptable for Offerors to use Tabs to identify RFP documents the Offerors are completing.
1849	Can an SBA-approved Mentor-Protege SDVOSB (comprised of a SDVOSB Protégé and a Large Business Mentor) submit a bid as a Small Business under Category B?	Yes, an SBA approved Mentor-Protégé SDVOSB (comprised of a SDVOSB Protégé and a Large Business Mentor) can submit a proposal as a Small Business under category B.
1850	Can manufacturer Authorization letters (LOAs) be in the names of the individual partners in a JV rather than the JV itself?	No, the 4 designated provider (manufacturer) LOAs must be the name of the JV.
1854	Can an Offeror submit as a small business using REPs and Past Performance references under the NAICS in the crosswalk (not just the primary NAICS 541519e for Cat A or 541512 for Cat B&C)?	As stated in Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume - An offeror must select a single NAICS code that will be used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract award.
1855	For Category B & C, specifically for Small Businesses, can the government please confirm that the REP's must come from 3 different technical areas within the category whereas the past performance can encompass several different areas within the given category?	That is correct, for Category B and C for Small Businesses, REPs must come from 3 different technical areas within the category whereas the past performance can encompass several different areas within the given category.
1858	The Government provides that, "A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP."  Can the Government confirm that a CTA arrangement may exist with an OTSB acting as Prime wherein the OTSB Prime may utilize a teammate's/first-tier subcontractor's past performance? Can the Government please confirm whether there are any limitations on use of the CTA teammate/first-tier subcontractor's contract reference(s)?	A CTA with an OTSB prime utilizing teammate/subcontractor past performance is allowed with limitations: combined total of Offeror (including JVs) and proposed first-tier subcontractors' past prime/subcontract experiences are limited to 3 reference contracts (Offeror/JVs) and 1 per subcontractor within the last 3 years of RFP release.
1870	Do files need to be zipped if they are under 120 MB uncompressed? Compressing files can sometimes cause issues after decompressing. We would like to avoid this risk if possible.	No, files do not need to be zipped if they are under 120 MB uncompressed. You can submit files under 120 MB uncompressed to avoid potential issues associated with file compression.
1889	A.3.5 says that "Offerors sharing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure (including its Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures) may only submit one Offer (e.g., proposal) from that Corporate structure." SBA Mentor-Protege Joint Ventures are not considered affiliates (per 13 CFR § 125.9), subsidiaries, business units or independent business structures within a corporate structure. Do SBA Mentor-Protege Joint Ventures need to submit a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter? "	No.

1890	A.3.5 says that "Offerors sharing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure (including its Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures) may only submit one Offer (e.g., proposal) from that Corporate structure. More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated." SBA Mentor-Protégé Joint Ventures are not considered affiliates (per 13 CFR § 125.9), subsidiaries, business units or independent business structures within a corporate structure. Please confirm that this does not apply to a bid from an SBA Mentor-Protégé Joint Venture when the Mentor is also submitting a stand alone bid?	Section A.3.5 (regarding affiliate relationships) does not apply to SBA Mentor-Protégé Joint Ventures where the mentor also submits a standalone bid, as they are not considered affiliates.
1894	The RFP states "Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror (including Joint Venture companies as prime offerors and/or a parent or affiliated company being otherwise proposed as a subcontractor on this effort) where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror." This implies that the JV parent companies could be included only if proposed as a subcontractor. A JV bidding as a prime will include the resources of both parent companies without treating them as a subcontractor. Request the government clarify that past performance references may come from either JV partner or the JV itself.	Yes, past performance references can come from either joint venture partner or the joint venture itself, as long as the offeror demonstrates in their proposal how the experience is relevant.
1895	Offer Volume I requires "supporting Team Agreement/JV documentation." Can SBA Mentor-Protégé Joint Ventures submit their Joint Venture Agreement to satisfy the "Team Agreement/JV documentation" requirement?	Yes.
1920	Would the government please clarify if items 10, 11, and 12 from Information from Offerors are related to the 3 references provided in the Past Performance Volume or are related to the offerors contracts in general? Thank you.	Items 10, 11, and 12 of the "Information from Offerors" section relate to the Offeror's contracts in general, not specifically to the three references provided in the Past Performance Volume.
1925	Should volume III be separated into two volumes/separate PDF documents, Volume III-A and III-b? We see both have requirements for cover pages.	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subcontractor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III pdf and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
1931	Can you confirm the AbilityOne Formal Agreement does not need to be submitted with the offer?	That is correct. The AbilityOne Formal Agreement does not need to be submitted with the offer.
1932	What needs to be in the AbilityOne commitment letter? Is there a template?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement with the Nonprofit Agency (NPA) will be required upon award. The AbilityOne Commitment Letter needs to be provided at the time of proposal submission These are two separate documents.
1940	Can you confirm that there should be 1 zip file, with each category file folder inside. Or is there a zip file for each category	The proposal submission instructions specify that all electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB. The zip file should contain separate folders for each category if submitting proposals for multiple categories. Each category folder should contain the respective volumes labeled accordingly. Therefore, there should be one zip file with each category file folder inside, rather than a separate zip file for each category.
1950	In A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, do we have to provide "the past performance history of the contract in "(a )12 Information from the offeror" of the same contract we are providing as past performance reference in (a ) 1, 2, and 3 information from the offeror section?	No, the past performance history in Item 12 of the "Information from Offerors" section is not limited to the contract being used as a past performance reference in Items 1, 2, and 3. This information should reflect the Offeror's overall past performance history on previous contracts within the past three years.
1951	In A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, will each REP have a page limitation of 10 pages? It seems if an offeror is providing 3 past performance references, then the total pages will be 30, 10 for each reference?	Each Relevant Experience Project (REP) is not subject to a 10-page limitation. REP submissions are limited to 3 page responses for each REP.
1965	Assuming offerors supply a complete acronym and abbreviation list, will the government allow offerors to omit spelling out acronyms in the body of the proposal, which either the government spells out or are considered to be commonplace? (e.g., IT, DOD, PWS, etc.)?	Yes, the government allow offerors to omit spelling out acronyms in the body of the proposal.
1973	RFP A.3.6 (B): "Other limitations/instructions identified as follows in A.3.6.b. Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks to at least to the section header. Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF, in the most readable manner practicable, and submitted as part of a single PDF file." Immediately above this requirement the Government gives required file names for each document within each volume, suggesting that each document in a volume should be submitted separately. Will the Government please confirm that Offerors are limited to submitting three PDF files: File #1 – Volume I, File #2 – Volume II and File #3 – Volume III?	The Government confirms that Offerors are limited to submitting three PDF files: File #1 – Volume I, File #2 – Volume II, and File #3 – Volume III. Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks to at least the section header. Submission of Excel Spreadsheets will be clarified in an upcoming Amendment..
1974	A.3.5 states, "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that creates a CTA will not be evaluated." Will the Government please clarify if this means A) Other than Small Businesses cannot create a CTA with any other business for the purpose of submitting as a Prime Offeror and/or B) Other than Small Businesses cannot be part of a CTA where the Other than Small Business is a 1st Tier Subcontractor to a Small Business. If the answer is B), please clarify that the only exception to this would be where the Other than Small Business is a Mentor in a Mentor - Protégé Joint Venture.	The statement in A.3.5 means that Other than Small Businesses cannot create a CTA with any other business for the purpose of submitting as a Prime Offeror (Option A). Additionally, Other than Small Businesses cannot be part of a CTA where the Other than Small Business is a 1st Tier Subcontractor to a Small Business (Option B). The only exception to this would be where the Other than Small Business is a Mentor in a Mentor-Protégé Joint Venture
1976	In A.3.7.1 it states, "An Offeror may submit a proposal under an existing CTA with a prime/subcontractor relationship or Joint Venture only if the existing Joint Venture or prime has a corresponding UEI Number in https://www.sam.gov and all the proposal submission documents are in the name of the existing Joint Venture or prime. Joint Ventures without a corresponding UEI Number in https://www.sam.gov will not be evaluated or considered for award." The Government only recognizes a CTA as a Prime/Sub relationship or existing Joint Venture in this section. Will the Government please also address a CTA where both members of the CTA are Co-Primes on the CTA with only one member being the CTA Lead. This is a CTA that is neither Prime/Sub nor a Joint Venture.	No, a co-prime CTA relationship with one member serving as the CTA lead will not be accepted for proposal submission or evaluation.
1977	Is more than one type of CTAs allowed under the same submission? For example an existing Joint Venture priming with First Tier Subcontractors supporting the Joint Venture proposal.	No, only one type of CTA is allowed under the same submission.
1988	Would a school district contract qualify as relevant under the requirement: "Offeror shall provide past performance information from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts"?	Yes, a school district contract qualifies as relevant.
1989	Can Past Performance and REPS be the same record of work performed or do they have to be different?	They can be the same as long as they meet the requirements of each section.
1992	Should these bullets be part of a cover letter or a section in the offer volume I? ¿For joint ventures the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself." What are these qualifications relative to?  -Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume).	The information regarding JVs should be included in Volume I of the offer. The qualifications are relative to the work done under the contract or order being proposed on.
1999	RFP, A.3.6 B(7): In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.  Will NASA confirm, two separate subsidiaries of the same Parent organization (different UEIs and CAGE codes), can individually compete for and be awarded contracts under SEWP VI for the same Category and size standard(s)?	Yes, two separate subsidiaries of the same Parent organization with different UEIs and CAGE codes, can individually compete for and be awarded contracts under SEWP VI for the same Category and size standard(s)

2003	In section A.3.5 of the RFP, the Government states "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Please confirm that a small business may bid as a standalone prime under Category A, Group A2 (small business set aside) and also as a member of a SBA mentor-protégé approved JV bidding under Category A, Group A2 as well?	Yes, a small business may bid as a standalone prime under Category A-Group A2 (small business set aside) and also as a member of a SBA mentor-protégé approved JV bidding under Category A-Group A2.
2014	Please confirm that the 10 page limit for Past Performance is for each Category; e.g. if offerors are proposing on both Category B and C they would have 10 pages for each Category or 20 pages total.	The page limitation is for each Category, 10 pages per category for Past Performance.
2019	If a contract's period of performance is extended due to external events like COVID-19, would Govt. consider the extended period of performance while evaluating product relevancy and the three-year look-back period (for REPs and Past Performance), or would Govt. only consider the original period of performance end date?	If a contract's period of performance is extended due to external events like COVID-19, the Government will consider the extended period of performance while evaluating product relevancy and the three-year look-back period (for REPs and Past Performance). The Government will consider past periods of performance in evaluating overall relevance
2021	Regarding 13 CFR 125.2(g), could you please clarify whether size and complexity will be considered by the Government when evaluating a Small Business Prime Offeror's independent capabilities and past performance for a given technical area? Specifically, if a Small Business Prime Offeror has some past performance, but not of the size and complexity required by the RFP, would the Government consider the past performance of the prime's first-tier subcontractors?	Regarding 13 CFR 125.2(g), the Government will consider the size and complexity when evaluating a Small Business Prime Offeror's independent capabilities and past performance for a given technical area. If a Small Business Prime Offeror has some past performance but not of the size and complexity required by the RFP, the Government will consider the past performance of the prime's first-tier subcontractors.
2022	Past Performance Volume item 12, page 108: It is noted that for Category C " shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Does this mean two content areas per past performance example or a total of 2 (one for each past performance)?	For Category C, the requirement is to provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). This means a total of 2 content areas, which can be covered by one or more past performance examples.
2023	For the past performance matrix do we only insert the relevant content representative areas of our previously defined past performance, or columns for all content representative areas (example - Category C has 10 CRAs do we insert all of those into the columns of the matrix)?	The information in the Past Performance Matrix shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(12) of section A.3.4.2.
2030	In A.3.6 (B), page 96, the proposal submission table contained in the RFP indicates that Mandatory Experience Exhibits are excluded from page limitations. Would the government please clarify that for Categories B and C, the RFP is referring to Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table?	Yes, the reference is to Exhibit 1 for Categories B and C.
2051	The RFP states, "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. For example, it is permissible for XYZ, Corp to propose as a prime contractor in Category A, and form a JV with 123, LLC to propose in category A. This example applies to all categories as well as CTAs  If we intend to bid as an SDVOSB for Category C as a prime with no subcontractors, is it also permissible for our company to bid Category B as a member of an SDVOSB joint venture, and if so, use the same past performance?"	Yes, it is permissible for an offeror to submit as an SDVOSB for Category C as a prime with no subcontractors and also permissible to submit a proposal for Category B as a member of an SDVOSB joint venture and use the same past performance.
2052	Section A.3.3(d) states, "All electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB." Section A(3) states, "Electronic files of Volume I, II, and III shall be in separate folders in 1 zip file, virus free, and no larger than 120MB per file." Is the 120MB limit for the ENTIRE zip file or for each file WITHIN the zip file?	The 120MB limit applies to the entire zip file, not to each file within the zip file. All electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB.
2053	Section A.3.6(A)(3) states, "Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF in the most readable manner practicable and submitted as part of a single PDF." The cover letter states, "The offeror's proposal shall include completed exhibits in Microsoft Office Excel format with working cell formulas." Would the government please clarify whether the spreadsheets should be submitted in their native Excel format or as a PDF?	The Government clarifies that spreadsheets should be submitted in their native Excel format with working cell formulas as well as converted to PDF in the most readable manner practicable and submitted as part of a single PDF file.
2058	In reference to Section A.3.7.1, The solicitation states "A total of four (4) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Would the government please confirm that the total value size of an ongoing project is calculated as the total potential awarded contract value with all options exercised and not the obligated value to date?	The total value size of an ongoing project is calculated as the total potential awarded contract value with all options exercised.
2061	In reference to Section A.3.6, The instructions say that Category B and/or C Offerors should submit the Category B and/or C Solutions Spreadsheet(s). Should we assume these are part of the Mandatory Experience Exhibit listed in the Proposal Submission Table and should be included after the various Exhibit 1 Mandatory experience forms for each of our REPs in our submission file?	The information concerning Exhibit 3b / c will be updated in an upcoming amendment.
2063	In reference to Section A.3.7.2, Can the government clarify whether the information required for each of the three Past Performances on pages 106 to 109 is IN ADDITION TO the information that will be provided in Exhibit 2 for each reference or if the information on pages 106 to 109 is the information that will be provided in Exhibit 2 and does not need to be replicated in text in the proposal.	The information required for each of the three Past Performances on pages 106 to 109 will be provided in Exhibit 2 and should not be replicated in the text of the proposal.
2064	To limit customer duplicative work, will the government please confirm that Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaire can be submitted once to be used in both a prime and joint venture bid?	The Government confirms that Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaire can be submitted once to be used in both a prime and joint venture bid to limit customer duplicative work.
2082	A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting (p.64): Will the Government provide a template for Attachment H: AbilityOne Formal Agreement?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I - Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I - Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).
2093	Document: 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP - A.3.7.2(a) - Information from the Offeror page 109 - "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).  Q: Is the requirement for a total of "3 content representative areas" to be reflected or is the requirement for "3 content representative areas" per past performance citation?	The requirement is for a total of "3 content representative areas" to be reflected across the past performance references, not per past performance citation.
2094	Document: 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP - A.3.7.2(a) - Information from the Offeror - page 109 - "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). Q: Can the requirement for "3 content representative areas" be addressed within one project or is the requirement that the "3 content representative areas" be addressed across differing projects?	The requirement for "3 content representative areas" can be addressed within one project or across differing projects. The total number of content representative areas for which the Offeror is proposing for a category does not need to be included in a single past performance reference.
2099	In Section A.3.7.1 (c), there is a requirement for a NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk. Could the Government clarify the purpose of this Crosswalk beyond what is in the RFP?	The crosswalk will be used to identify business sizes per NAICS code at the RFQ/order level.
2110	Does a JV require a meaningful relationship letter if it is only using past performance from members of the JV?	Yes, JVs must provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter even if it is only using past performance from JV members.
2112	Will the Government accept a Task Order as a contract for a single past performance reference under a multiple award IDIQ contract since a Task Order falls under the definition of a contract (see FAR 2.101 and 16.501-1)?	Yes, the Government will accept a Task Order as a contract for a single past performance reference under a multiple award IDIQ contract.
2113	FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(ii) requires that the Government "shall provide offerors an opportunity to identify past or current contracts for efforts similar to the Government requirement." Since the Government's acquisition strategy is to award multi-awardee contracts to fulfill its requirement, will the Government accept past performance references to similar multi-awardee contracts?	Yes, the Government will accept past performance references to similar multi-awardee contracts as required by FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(ii)
2134	If the company bid Category B and Category C as a Small Business, can the company be a sub to another company on SDVOSB for Category C SDVOSB	If a company bids on Category B and Category C as a Small Business, the company can also be a subcontractor to another company on SDVOSB for Category C SDVOSB.

2143	For the submission, should we submit all the docs (SF1449, REPs, Exhibits, LOA) within the same file? or inside the volume folder, do we need to create separate files?	For the submission, all documents (SF1449, REPs, Exhibits, LOA) should be included within the same volume folder but can be submitted as separate files within that folder. Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file, with appropriate bookmarks to at least the section header. Spreadsheets should also be converted to PDF and submitted as part of a single PDF file.
2149	Exhibit 2 – Past Performance Questionnaire- Section 2 What is the Government's definition of a corporate or business relationship?	The Government defines a corporate or business relationship as follows:  "Affiliates" are business concerns that are affiliates of each other if, directly or indirectly, either one controls or has the power to control the other, or another concern controls or has the power to control both. "Division" is a separate business unit of a company representing a specific business function. "Subsidiary" means an entity in which more than 50 percent of the entity is owned directly by a parent corporation; or through another subsidiary of a parent corporation.
2157	Will the Government clarify that they are looking for summaries of points 1-9 for each Past Performance example, and points 10-12 request information from the offeror as a whole and not per past performance example?	The Government clarifies that they are looking for summaries of points 1-9 for each Past Performance example, and points 10-12 request information from the offeror as a whole and not per past performance example.
2159	In the Past Performance Category A, B & C are called "Content Representative Areas however, in section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) (pg.24) the individual areas under each category are referred to as "Technical Area 1a, 1b etc. is it the intent that the higher levels Category A, B & C be called "content Representative Areas" and the subcategories under each category to be known as "Technical Areas" or	Section A.1.2 pertains to the Category Scope. The information requested for Past Performance relates to the technical content areas necessary for proposal submission.
2165	For Category A, can you use 541519 or does it have to be 33411?	For Category A, you can use NAICS code 541519 (footnote 18 - IT Value Added Reseller) or any of the other acceptable NAICS codes listed within the scope of SEWP VI. It does not have to be limited to 33411.
2172	The Solicitation states "Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes will need to provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter, which will be treated as contractual promises and will be incorporated as an attachment to the resulting master contract." For offerors who are 100% employee-owned in the construct of an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) in which all company stocks are held in a trust for the benefit of the employees, does an offeror need to include a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter from the Trust to include in its proposal?	No, offerors do not need to include a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter from the Trust to include in its proposal.
2206	Where should bidders place their acceptance of A.3.4 in their submission document.	Bidders should place their acceptance of A.3.4 in their submission document within the Offer Volume (Volume I). By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal is submitted.
2215	RFP paragraph A.3.3 (d), p. 92 states "All Electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB;" and RFP paragraph A.3.6.A.(3), p. 94 states "Electronic files of Volumes I, II, and III, shall be in separate folders in 1 zip file, virus free, and no larger than 120MB per file. Please clarify whether the 120MB size limit applies to (a) the submitted zip file containing all submission files, or (b) each submission file within the submitted zip file.	The 120MB size limit applies to the entire zip file containing all submission files. All electronic files shall be uploaded as a single zip archive file less than 120MB.
2222	RFP Paragraph A.3.7.2 (a), pp.106 indicates (a) Small Business proposing in Category A must provide past performance citations similar in scope and with a minimum average annual cost incurred of \$150,000; (b) Small Business proposing in Category B must provide past performance citations similar in scope and with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$1,000,000; (c) Small Businesses in Category C must provide past performance citations similar in scope and with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$500,000; and (d) Other Than Small Businesses in Categories A and B must provide past performance citations similar in scope and with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$2,500,000; to be considered relevant. Additionally, RFP Paragraph A.4.3 states "All past performance references must meet the "recent" and minimum average annual cost/fee expenditures criteria," and uses the wording "average annual contract value." Please confirm the terms "average annual costs incurred" and "average annual cost/fee incurred," are interchangeable with "average annual contract value" for the purpose of this Past Performance requirement. If this is incorrect, please clarify what is meant by "average annual costs incurred" and "average annual cost/fee incurred."	The terms "average annual costs incurred," "average annual cost/fee incurred," and "average annual contract value" are interchangeable for the purpose of this Past Performance requirement. The Government uses these terms to refer to the average annual financial value of the contract over its period of performance.
2232	Section A.3.7.2.(b) of the RFP (p. 110) states that "The Offeror shall include a list of those to whom [past performance] questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." Is this list included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume?	The Past Performance History described in Section A.3.7.2(a)(12) of the RFP is included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume. All required information for the Past Performance Volume must be included within the specified page limits.
2233	Attachment B: Program Performance - this program conflicts with the CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORTING CPARS requirement and will be in conflict with SEWP VI. It allows for a double standard with regards to service performance.	The Solicitation will remain as stated. Attachment B: Program Performance is a tool to track and identify underperforming contract holders. It allows for the collection of data at the order level to inform CPARS reporting.
2249	A.3.6 (B)(7). Please clarify, an offeror can only submit and receive one award per category, meaning if Company XYZ submits a Large Business bid in Category A, they cannot be part of any other bid whether JV or CTA in same Category A?	An offeror can only submit and receive one award per category. If Company XYZ submits a Large Business bid in Category A, they cannot be part of any other bid, whether JV or CTA, in the same Category A.
2250	Please confirm Category C is strictly all Small Business, meaning no SB JV or CTA comprised of an OTSB.	Category C is strictly for Small Businesses, meaning no SB JV or CTA comprised of an Other Than Small Business (OTSB) is allowed.
2251	The RFP states "in no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category". This implies that both a prime bid AND only 1 bid as a JV or teaming arrangement in the same category is acceptable. Bidding as prime AND as part of 2 or more JVs or teaming arrangements in the same category is not acceptable. Can the government confirm if the above interpretation is accurate?	The Government confirms that an offeror can submit a prime bid and one bid as a JV or teaming arrangement in the same category. Bidding as a prime and as part of two or more JVs or teaming arrangements in the same category is not acceptable.
2267	Section A.4.4: "The Government will validate the consistency between all proposal volumes and any inconsistencies identified may indicate a lack of understanding and adversely impact the offeror's overall mission suitability confidence rating." Are offerors able to reference subcontractor capabilities in the Mission Suitability Volume even if the offeror does not use these subcontractors in the past performance or mandatory experience section? For example, our team does not need to utilize our subcontractors for past performance; however, they do bring additional capabilities that we would like to discuss in our technical approach.	Offerors are able to reference subcontractor capabilities in the Mission Suitability Volume even if the offeror does not use these subcontractors in the past performance or mandatory experience section. For example, if your team does not need to utilize your subcontractors for past performance, you can still discuss their additional capabilities in your technical approach.
2269	What constitutes a "formal agreement" as required by RFP Attachment H - AbilityOne Formal Agreement? Is this a letter of commitment?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. The AbilityOne Commitment Letter needs to be provided at the time of proposal submission These are two separate documents.
2279	"The second paragraph states ""Attachment H is applicable at the order level...."" In the third paragraph, the government states ""Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with one of the AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (which offeror assumes is Attachment H)... We recommend that the AbilityOne NPAs formal agreement(s) be due upon task order award (not contract award)."	The solicitation will remain as stated. The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
2286	In lieu of completing Exhibit 5, may Offerors provide proof of full compliance with NIST 800-171 per requirements in DFARS 252.204-7012, Safeguarding Covered Defense Information and Cyber Incident Reporting?	No, Exhibit 5 is to be submitted, no substitutions; except if the alternate copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification is submitted, (Updated response).

2292	<p>"The Offeror shall also provide the following information: And Information from first-tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award."</p> <p>Please confirm that no specific information is being requested by this bullet point for the Offer Volume and that it is provided as guidance that applies to other sections.</p>	No specific information is requested for Offer Volume; the bullet point serves as guidance.
2294	<p>"The Offeror shall also provide the following information: And 13 CFR 125.2 (e)(4)(ii) does not apply to this RFP."</p> <p>Please confirm that no specific information is being requested by this bullet point for the Offer Volume and that it is provided as guidance that applies to other sections.</p>	No specific information is requested for Offer Volume; the bullet point serves as guidance.
2303	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME states "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." However, 3.7.1. (b) For Category B and C states "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." Please reconcile the contradiction of the requirement that all relevant experience must be in the one NAICS code the offeror selects for competition as opposed to the ability to submit different projects that have any of the NAICS codes listed as in-scope.	The Offeror's past performance must be based on the NAICS code and associated business size the Offeror has identified for the Proposal submission for the given category.
2308	<p>RFP Section A.3.5, Page 93. The government talks about JVs and CTAs similarly. In other parts of the RFP there is discussion of first tier subcontractors, though largely as it pertains to the small business offerors. Can we assume that a CTA is defined as companies forming a partnership to Prime an opportunity in line with the FAR 9.6 (a) definition and that this construct would include companies, including OTSBS, teaming to co-prime with one company identified as the CTA lead?</p> <p>If this is true, can we assume that REPs would be accepted from each CTA member?</p> <p>If this is not correct, can the government please define CTA in this context and how it is differentiated from first tier subcontracting agreements?</p> <p>We respectfully ask that the government allow CTA, co-prime bidders for OTSBS as the contract size requirements, combined with the limited NAICS allowed, make it extremely difficult for otherwise very capable emerging large business to meet the requirement for submission.</p>	A Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) is defined as companies forming a partnership to prime an opportunity in line with the FAR 9.6(a) definition. This construct includes companies, including Other Than Small Businesses (OTSBS), teaming to co-prime with one company identified as the CTA lead. REPs would be accepted from each CTA member. A CTA differs from first-tier subcontracting agreements in that CTAs involve a formal partnership or joint venture where all members are considered primes, whereas subcontracting agreements involve a prime contractor and one or more subcontractors.
2309	RFP Section A.3.5, Page 93. Can the government please clarify this statement "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that create a CTA will not be evaluated." Does this mean that a subcontractor to Large Businesses may not also bid as a CTA? If that is the case, how does a CTA differ from a subcontracting agreement?	The statement "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that create a CTA will not be evaluated" means that a subcontractor to Large Businesses may not also bid as a CTA. A CTA differs from a subcontracting agreement in that CTAs involve a formal partnership or joint venture where all members are considered primes, whereas subcontracting agreements involve a prime contractor and one or more subcontractors.
2313	Section A.3.5 An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. For example, it is permissible for XYZ, Corp to propose as a prime contractor in Category A, and form a JV with 123, LLC to propose in category A. This example applies to all categories as well as CTAs, seems to be in direct conflict with Section A.3.6.B.7. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.	Section A.3.6.B.7 refers to task orders not the overarching Contract.
2320	On the final RFP, page 107, the Government requests: recent customer evaluations; small business subcontracting plan history; and terminated contracts. These items appear to be non-customer-specific and are instead vendor-specific. However, the context in which they appear is in a numbered list, the rest of which consists of items desired on a per-past-performance-example basis. Can the government confirm whether these three items are intended to be specific to past performance examples being submitted, or whether they are intended to appear in their own separate, dedicated sections.	The Government confirms that the items "recent customer evaluations," "small business subcontracting plan history," and "terminated contracts" are intended to be specific to past performance examples being submitted. They should appear in their own separate, dedicated sections within the Past Performance Volume.
2323	On the final RFP, page 107, item 9, government asks for "recent customer evaluations." Can the government define "recent"?	The Government defines "recent" as performance occurring within the last three (3) years of the solicitation release date.
2324	Can the Government clarify the requirements for Past Performance contracts cited? The RFP indicates that these contracts need to be "related" to SEWP VI NAICS, but not necessarily in those NAICS. However, during the SEWP VI Industry Day update on 6/4/24, the language used during the RFP Overview indicated that the NAICS must match the in-scope SEWP NAICS, possibly even within the same NAICS as the master for each Category.	The RFP indicates that past performance contracts need to be related to SEWP VI NAICS but not necessarily within those NAICS. However, during the SEWP VI Industry Day update, it was suggested that the NAICS must match the in-scope SEWP NAICS. For clarity, the past performance references should be related to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS codes used for competition at the master contract level
2330	If a contractor responds and is awarded for Category A only, would they be eligible to on-ramp to Category B or Category C at a later time?	Any company meeting the requirement of an on-ramp activity would be eligible for on-ramp consideration.
2331	ISO 9001 - do Offerors include ISO evidence within the Vol 1 PDF or as an attachment under the Vol 1 folder?	Yes, offerors should include ISO 9001:2015 evidence in Volume I of the offer as a separate PDF document.
2335	(a) Information from the Offeror - please clarify if the page limit is per reference or if it is the total for this section only. Is the "list of those whom the questionnaires were sent" included in these 10 pages? Is it excluded from the page count?	The page limit of 10 pages for "Information from the Offeror" in the Past Performance Volume is the total for this section only, not per reference. The "list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent" is excluded from the page count.
2336	Instructions state: "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror (items 1-12) - can we provide this information in a table/form format for each reference?"	Yes, the offeror can provide the past performance contract reference in table/form format for each reference.
2344	For Category C- Can we have large business as a subcontractor on the team and use their experience and services to address Volume 3 - Subfactor A?	For Category C, you can have a large business as a subcontractor on the team and use their experience and services to address Volume 3 - Subfactor A. However, the prime offeror must independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance necessary for award.
2349	Section A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance (Page 106-107) of the RFP states "A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP." Can you please clarify if it is acceptable for a Small Business Prime Offeror to submit only past performance references from first-tier subcontractors, up to the limits specified, if the prime itself does not have independent past performance references that meet the relevancy criteria outlined in the RFP?	Yes, it is acceptable for a Small Business Prime Offeror to submit only past performance references from first-tier subcontractors, up to the limits specified, if the prime itself does not have independent past performance references that meet the relevancy criteria outlined in the RFP.
2350	Could you please clarify whether the past performance references are required to be spread across different technical areas, similar to the REP requirements? Or is it acceptable for an offeror to submit past performance references that are concentrated in one or a few technical areas, as long as they meet the relevancy/size criteria specified in the RFP?	The past performance references are not required to be spread across different technical areas. It is acceptable for an offeror to submit past performance references that are concentrated in one or a few technical areas, as long as they meet the relevancy/size criteria specified in the RFP.
2351	Section 3.6.B.(7) on Page 97 of the SEWP VI RFP (Solicitation No. 80TECH24R0001) allows offerors to use the same references for past performance across multiple proposals. Our company is preparing proposals for multiple socio-economic categories (Small, 8(a), HUBZone, and SDVOSB) and plans to use the same past performance references for each proposal. To streamline the process and reduce burden on our customers and the government, is it acceptable for each customer to submit a single Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) and indicate within the PPQ all the socio-economic category proposals it pertains to, rather than submitting identical PPQs multiple times, separately for each proposal?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.

2355	Section A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance (Page 106) - The RFP states subcontractor past performance will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors. Can you confirm this means large businesses cannot provide any past performance from subcontractors or team members, even if they will play a significant role?	The RFP states that subcontractor past performance will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors. This means that large businesses cannot provide any past performance from subcontractors or team members, even if they will play a significant role.
2356	Section A.3.7.2(a)(12) Past Performance History (Page 108-109) - Can you clarify how the content representative area requirements relate to the specific contracts provided for past performance? For example, if 3 past performance references are required, does each individual contract need to cover the minimum number of content areas, or is it the past performance submission as a whole that needs to cover the minimum?	The content representative area requirements relate to the past performance submission as a whole. This means that the past performance references collectively need to cover the minimum number of content areas, not each individual contract.
2357	Section A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process (Page 115) - The RFP indicates offerors will be notified if they are eliminated from the competition after each phase. Will offerors who move on to the next phase also be notified, or should they just assume they have proceeded if they do not receive an elimination notice?	Offerors who move on to the next phase will not receive a specific notification. They should assume they have proceeded if they do not receive an elimination notice.
2361	Section A.1.34 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) & NAICS Codes Within Scope (Page 61-63) - The RFP lists NAICS 541519 footnote 18 (IT Value Added Reseller) as the NAICS for Category A. However, it also states "The use of NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519 footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A are for administrative purposes only." Can you please clarify which NAICS code(s) will actually be used to determine size standard for Category A?	The NAICS code selected by the Offeror as their Proposal Level NAICS code.
2362	Section A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance (Page 106-107) - For small business offerors: Can all the REPs/past performance references provided be from subcontractors or team members? Is there a minimum number that needs to be from the small business offeror itself? Is there a limit on the number of REPs/past performance references that can be from large businesses proposed as subcontractors/team members?	Yes, all the REPs/past performance references provided can be from subcontractors or team members for small business offerors.  There is no minimum number that needs to be from the small business offeror itself.  There is no specific limit on the number of REPs/past performance references that can be from large businesses proposed as subcontractors/team members, as long as the total number of references does not exceed the limits specified in the RFP.
2386	Is there any limitation of offeror submitting a proposal using the same artifacts for Volumes 1, 2, and 3 for Category C as a prime offeror and a Joint Venture (member of the JV)?	No, there is no limitation of an offeror submitting a proposal using the same artifacts for Volumes 1, 2, and 3 for Category C as a prime offeror and a Joint Venture (member of the JV).
2387	Can the offeror be a prime in a CTA (prime/subcontractor relationship) for Category A proposal and just a prime for a Category C proposal and a subcontractor teaming agreement with Ability One subcontractor? Can the same proposal documentation be used on the Category A proposal and Category C proposal?	Yes, an offeror can be a prime in a CTA (prime/subcontractor relationship) for a Category A proposal and just a prime for a Category C proposal and a subcontractor teaming agreement with Ability One subcontractor. The offeror can use the same documentation for both the Category A and Category C proposals.
2388	For Category C proposal with the NAICS 541512 requirement of having a Ability One subcontractor on the team, besides having a subcontractor agreement, does the offeror have to a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter for the Ability One subcontractor?	Yes. A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative.
2390	In a CTA, does Category A mandatory experience/offering that is filled out in Exhibit 3a come from the CTA prime?	In a CTA, the Category A mandatory experience/offering that is filled out in Exhibit 3a can come from the CTA prime.
2391	In a CTA, can Category A mandatory experience/offering that is filled out in Exhibit 3a come from the CTA member and not from the CTA Prime?	In a CTA, the Category A mandatory experience/offering that is filled out in Exhibit 3a can come from the CTA member and not necessarily from the CTA Prime.
2392	In a CTA, what percent Category A mandatory experience/offering that is filled out in Exhibit 3a come from the CTA prime member and CTA member?	In a CTA, there is no specific percentage requirement for how much of the Category A mandatory experience/offering that are filled out in Exhibit 3a must come from the CTA prime member versus the CTA member. The experience/offering can be collectively provided by the CTA members.
2398	For a Joint Venture, for Category B and C is there a minimum number of REPs that must come from the JV Managing (lead) Member vs member?	For a Joint Venture, for Category B and C, there is no minimum number of REPs that must come from the JV Managing (lead) Member versus other members. The REPs can be collectively provided by the JV members.
2403	For Category C, does all the 3 past performances must have a NAICS Code of 541512?	For Category C, the past performances do not necessarily need to have a NAICS Code of 541512. The past performance references should be related to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS codes used for competition at the master contract level.
2407	Can the offeror submit a PPQ from one their subcontractors to count as 1 of the 3 past performances being submitted for the SEWP VI proposal?	Yes, the offeror can submit a PPQ from one of their subcontractors to count as one of the three past performances being submitted for the SEWP VI proposal.
2431	Reference Exhibit 2 - PastPerfQues-SEWP VI. In Section 6, the form requires that the evaluator provide the initial cost and fee of the contract and the current cost and fee of the contract. This information would only be available and applicable to Cost-Type contracts. Please provide guidance on what to enter for T&M or FFP LOE contracts. In addition, for contracts where an offeror may submit this form to their Prime contractor for evaluation, the fee is often dictated by the Prime and not going to be an indication of cost management success. Please provide guidance on how to complete this section for work performed as a subcontractor.	For T&M or FFP LOE contracts, offerors should provide the total contract value and any relevant cost information available. For work performed as a subcontractor, offerors should provide the subcontract value and any relevant cost information available. The requirement to provide the initial cost and fee of the contract and the current cost and fee of the contract in Exhibit 2 - Past Performance Questionnaire, Section 6, is primarily applicable to Cost-Type contracts.
2433	Solicitation reads: "An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order..." We are a SB offeror submitting several contracts in which we performed as a subcontractor. For one of our relevant contracts, during the period of performance, our Prime contractor was acquired. Confirming that this subcontract counts as a single specific contract, despite the name change of the prime contractor. The contract with the Government end customer did not change (other than novation to the acquiring firm) and was one single contract throughout the PoP.	Yes, this subcontract counts as a single specific contract.
2434	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.1 (b) Page 103: Please confirm that "completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date" means that the period of performance for a contract can BEGIN earlier than three years from solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021) as long as the end date of that contract is not prior to solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021).	Yes, the period of performance for a contract can BEGIN earlier than three years from solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021) as long as the end date of that contract is not prior to solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021).
2435	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.1 (b) Page 103-104: "For Small Business" on page 103 and 104 of the RFP reads "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors, "A total of 2 different REPs for EACH of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." (emphasis added). The first quote seems to indicate that the Government wants a TOTAL of 3 REPs that cover different mandatory experience areas. The second quote indicates that the Government is requiring 20 REPs (2 REPs for each mandatory experience area.) Please clarify the TOTAL REPs required for each size and type of business and please clarify if offerors are required to show experience in ALL mandatory experience areas, or for a minimum number of mandatory experience areas.	For Small Businesses, a total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, and 8(a) offerors, a total of two (2) different REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Offerors are required to show experience in all mandatory experience areas for their respective categories.
2438	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 105: Please confirm that "completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date" means that the period of performance for a contract can BEGIN earlier than three years from solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021) as long as the end date of that contract is not prior to solicitation release (prior to May 23, 2021).	The phrase "completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date" means that the period of performance for a contract can begin earlier than three years from the solicitation release date, as long as the end date of that contract is not prior to the solicitation release date.
2440	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 105-106: The offeror has a relevant contract awarded in 2023, with performance greater than six months, but less than 12 months. What is sufficient evidence of the annual minimum average annual cost/fee incurred for contracts that have not had a full year of performance yet? Is it sufficient to show that the projected annual cost/fee incurred will exceed the minimums stated on page 106, based on the actual annual cost/fee incurred to date?	For contracts that have not had a full year of performance yet, it is sufficient to show that the projected annual cost/fee incurred will exceed the minimums stated on page 106, based on the actual annual cost/fee incurred to date.
2442	Are we allowed to have a separate Cover Letter for each volume? If yes, will that be counted within or against the page limit?	Offerors are allowed to have a separate Cover Page for each volume. The Cover Page will not be counted within or against the page limit and will not be included in the evaluation.

2445	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 106: We are a SB offeror submitting several contracts in which we performed as a subcontractor. For one of our relevant contracts, during the period of performance, our Prime contractor was acquired. Confirming that this subcontract counts as a single specific contract, despite the name change of the prime contractor. The contract with the Government end customer did not change (other than novation to the acquiring firm) and was one single contract throughout the PoP.	For a relevant contract where the prime contractor was acquired during the period of performance, the subcontract counts as a single specific contract despite the name change of the prime contractor. The contract with the Government end customer did not change and was one single contract throughout the period of performance.
2446	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 106 -107: The RFP reads "The offeror shall provide the following information on ALL past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror", which indicates that the Government requires items 1 - 12 for every contract in the offeror's portfolio that meets the criteria. Please confirm that this information is only required for the contracts submitted as past performance references, with the exception of item 11.	The information required in Section A.3.7.2(a) is only for the contracts submitted as past performance references, with the exception of item 11.
2449	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 107: Confirming that "the total amount of cost/fee incurred by the Offeror" means the total amount that the Offeror has invoiced during performance on the contract.	The phrase "the total amount of cost/fee incurred by the Offeror" means the total amount that the Offeror has invoiced during performance on the contract.
2450	Page 107 of the RF file asks for information on past performance. What is the difference between Date of contract and the Period of Performance?	The "Date of contract" refers to the date the contract was awarded, while the "Period of Performance" refers to the timeframe during which the contract work is performed.
2451	If we are showing the same past performance for Category B and C, please confirm if we need to provide only 1 past performance questionnaire or should we provide 2 from the same customer.	If you are showing the same past performance for Category B and C, you need to provide only one past performance questionnaire. There is no need to provide two from the same customer.
2452	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 107: Item 11., which reads "List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default)." is a catch-all requirement that covers an offeror's entire contracting history. The table on page 96 indicates that item 11 is outside of the page limitation for the volume, yet it appears embedded in page limited requirements. Can the Government confirm that item 11 is outside of the 10 page limit and confirm that it is acceptable to submit the response to item 11. out of sequence from items 1-10 & 12, since it is exempt from page limitation?	Yes, item 11 identified on page 107 is exempt from page limitation and it is acceptable to submit the response to item 11 out of sequence.
2470	PAGE 112 "The offeror shall either fill out Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form or provide a copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification to attest to meeting the ISO 20243 standard." If responding as a Joint Venture, can the O-TTPS certification be in the name of the JV or in the name of one of the companies in the JV.	If responding as a Joint Venture, the O-TTPS certification can be in the name of the JV or in the name of one of the companies in the JV.
2478	RFP page 99, Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter: Is a letter required for subcontractors if using a past performance reference?	Yes, a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for any subcontractor for which an offeror is using a past performance reference if the offeror is relying on the subcontractor's experience and/or past performance to meet an evaluation factor for the proposal submission.
2484	Please confirm if the government desires two separate tables: 1) Table of Contents, and 2) Table of Figures and Tables?	Yes, the government desires two separate tables: 1) Table of Contents, and 2) Table of Figures and Tables. This is specified in the proposal format and organization section of the document, which states that a table of contents shall be provided with figures and tables listed separately.
2485	Please confirm if it is acceptable if we title both figures and tables as "exhibits," and provide a "Table of Exhibits"?	Yes, it is acceptable for offerors to title both figures and tables as "exhibits" and provide a "Table of Exhibits."
2487	If we include a reference to the management section in the Mission Suitability section for example "See page 15, section B, paragraph 3-4"... Then they can count those 2 paragraphs against the Mission Suitability page count limitation?  And if we included, for example, "Using our ISO 9001:2015 processes" we would have to count that 1-page certificate from Volume I against the page count of Volume III?	Yes, if you include a reference to the management section in the Mission Suitability section, those referenced paragraphs will count against the Mission Suitability page count limitation. The document specifies that regardless of where it appears in the proposal, information construed as belonging in a page-limited section of the proposal will be counted against that section's page limit.  Regarding the ISO 9001:2015 certificate, it is stated that the cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count as defined in A.3.7.1. Therefore, including a reference to the ISO 9001:2015 certificate from Volume I would count against the page count of Volume III.
2503	We anticipate proposing as a Small Business Prime on SEWP VI. Please clarify if we are permitted to submit Past Performance contract references where we provided services as a Subcontractor but we were not the Prime.	Yes, offerors are permitted to submit Past Performance contract references where they provided services as a Subcontractor but were not the Prime.
2504	For Past Performance references, is it required that NAICS codes are the same to be considered relevant?(i.e. NAICS code for past performance be the same as the SEWP VI NAICS code) Or is the scope of work what makes it relevant? (see Section A.3.7.2)	The scope of work is what makes the past performance relevant. According to Section A.3.7.2, an Offeror's past performance record should indicate the relevant qualitative aspects of performing services or delivering products similar in content and scope to the requirements of its acquisition. The past performance submissions must relate to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
2509	Can a company that is a subcontractor teaming up with a prime submitting this RFP also submit an RFP as a prime in the same or any other Categories?	Yes, a subcontractor may submit a separate proposal as prime in any category they are eligible for.
2510	The past performance instructions state "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant." Is this 3 content representative areas per cited past performance example or in total across all past performance examples provided?	The requirement is for at least three (3) content representative areas in total across all past performance examples provided. The past performance submissions must relate to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
2518	Proposal instructions indicate that the three Volumes are to be submitted in separate folders and as single PDF files inclusive of all submission elements. However, the RFP also provides file naming conventions for documents within folders for Volume I (GetItDone_Category#_EXHIBIT #). Please confirm if the submission requirement is to combine all documentation into a single PDF file within each Volume, or if offerors are to submit separate files (such as separate LOAs, etc) but submit as a zip Volume?	The submission requirement is to combine all documentation into a single PDF file within each Volume. Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file with appropriate bookmarks to at least the section header. All files, with the exception of Exhibit 3, should be converted to PDF for inclusion in the single PDF file for each proposal volume.
2530	Regarding this statement in A(4): "Each volume of the proposal shall specify the evaluation criteria being addressed and contain a table of contents aligned with the RFP evaluation criteria" - Does the Government require offerors to include a compliance matrix within each Volume that is separate from the listed table of contents? Or will the table of contents meet the requirement of this statement? Please clarify.	The table of contents will meet the requirement of this statement. Each volume of the proposal shall specify the evaluation criteria being addressed and contain a table of contents aligned with the RFP evaluation criteria. This table of contents is excluded from the page limitations.
2531	Please confirm any compliance matrix provided to address A(4) will be considered outside of the page limits for each respective Volume.	Yes, any compliance matrix provided to address A(4) will be considered outside of the page limits for each respective Volume. The table of contents and other specified documents are excluded from the page limitations.
2532	Please clarify that offerors are not required to include formatting requirements such as page numbers, offeror name in header/footer, date, etc. on Government provided templates and documentation such as SF1449, SF30s, Exhibits, etc. Or, please clarify if offerors can insert these documents as image files within a Volume Word document that includes the required formatting (page numbers, offeror name, etc) and save this Word Files as PDF for submission?	Offerors are not required to include formatting requirements such as page numbers, offeror name in header/footer, date, etc. on Government provided templates and documentation such as SF1449, SF30s, Exhibits, etc. These documents can be inserted as image files within a Volume Word document that includes the required formatting and then saved as PDF for submission.
2534	Can offerors submit the requirements of A.3.7.1 in a Cover Letter? And include that in Volume I?	No, offerors cannot submit the requirements of A.3.7.1 in a Cover Letter.
2535	What volume are offerors to provide information regarding A.4.5 and all elements under Far 9.104?	Offerors should provide the information for A.4.5 and all elements under FAR 9.104 in Volume I of their offer.
2536	Does the Government mean for offerors to respond to all elements include in Far 9.104-1?	Offerors should provide the information for A.4.5 and all elements under FAR 9.104 in Volume I of their offer.
2541	Please clarify that not Exhibit is provided for offerors to address Past Performance reference information A.3.7.2(a) 1- 12, and that this information is contractor determined format as long as it complies with the font type and size restrictions?	Yes, there is no specific Exhibit provided for offerors to address Past Performance reference information A.3.7.2(a) 1-12. Offerors can use a contractor-determined format as long as it complies with the font type and size restrictions specified in the RFP.
2542	In reference to Section A.3.7.1, page 98, the RFQ states, "The signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal." Can the Government clarify the name(s), title(s), and location(s) within the RFP release where the required fill-in pages are located?	The required fill-in pages are located within the SF1449 document itself and the indicated Offeror required fill ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments. These include SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30.

2543	Please clarify the organization of the Past Performance Volume, for compliance. Are offerors to address A.3.7.2(a) elements 1-12 for each project reference provided? For example, List of Contracts Terminated (element #11) and Past Performance History (element #12) don't seem to map to each individual information required for each individual project reference. Are these elements to be addressed within the Past Performance Volume but separately from the three project references? Please clarify.	Offerors are to address A.3.7.2(a) elements 1-12 for each project reference provided. Elements such as List of Contracts Terminated (element #11) and Past Performance History (element #12) should be addressed within the Past Performance Volume but can be provided separately from the three project references.
2545	In reference to Section A.3.7.2(a), page 106, the RFP states that, "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror". Can the Government confirm that an Offeror who has performance as a Managing Partner as part of Joint Venture (JV) that is NOT being proposed for this procurement is acceptable and can be listed under "Prime" status?	Yes, the Government confirms that an Offeror who has performance as a Managing Partner as part of a Joint Venture (JV) that is NOT being proposed for this procurement is acceptable and can be listed under "Prime" status. The past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror, including Joint Venture companies as prime offerors, is acceptable where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror.
2548	Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix- Category A within the RFP includes four (4) contract references, however, this Volume is limited to max of 3 project references. Please clarify what exactly the Summary of Relevant Past Performance Matrix response requirement for Category A should include. In addition, please clarify the sequence/order this should occur within the Volume for compliance.	The Summary of Relevant Past Performance Matrix for Category A should include at least three (3) content representative areas for small businesses and four (4) for other than small businesses. The sequence/order should follow the format provided in the RFP, ensuring that the matrix is a summary of the referenced contracts submitted for the past performance volume.
2549	Please confirm if the requirement is to combine all submission files for each Volume into a single PDF for each Volume? For example, all files combined for Volume I and Volume II submitted as a separate PDF file. All files for Volume II combined and Volume II submitted as a separate PDF file, etc etc.	Yes, the requirement is to combine all submission files for each Volume into a single PDF for each Volume. For example, all files combined for Volume I and Volume II submitted as a separate PDF file. All files for Volume II combined and Volume II submitted as a separate PDF file, etc.
2550	Please confirm if the Mission Suitability Volume is to be submitted as a single PDF file that includes both Subfactor A and Subfactor B, but that Subfactor A and Subfactor B shall have their own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, list of tables, and each have a 15 page limit? In addition, please confirm that the cover pages, TOC, list of figures, list of tables, and any required compliance matrices are excluded from each of the 15 page counts for Subfactors A and B?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas. The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: If an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
2553	If offerors do not have any Termination/Descopes information to provide, should offerors indicate this with a statement within Volume II Past Performance?	Yes, if offerors do not have any Termination/Descopes information to provide, they should indicate this with a statement within Volume II Past Performance.
2555	Please clarify what exactly is included within the 10 page Volume limit for Volume II Past Performance, it is not clear.	The 10-page Volume limit for Volume II Past Performance includes the information from the Offeror as specified in Section A.3.7.2(a). Cover Page, Indices, Customer Evaluations, Exhibits, and Termination/Descopes information are excluded from the page limitations.
2559	Please confirm that the Government will consider both Mandatory Experience and Past Performance references from a subcontract partner as part of a Small Business Prime teaming arrangement?	Yes, the Government will consider both Mandatory Experience and Past Performance references from a subcontract partner as part of a Small Business Prime teaming arrangement.
2561	Please confirm that Small Business Primes can submit both project references performed as a prime and performed as a subcontractor in response to both Volume I - Mandatory Experience references for Cat B and Cat C, as well as for Volume II - Past Performance project references across all Categories?	Yes, Small Business Primes can submit both project references performed as a prime and performed as a subcontractor in response to both Volume I - Mandatory Experience references for Cat B and Cat C, as well as for Volume II - Past Performance project references across all Categories.
2565	If the submission requirement is to combine all elements in to a single PDF file for each Volume, is it acceptable for offerors to combine response elements such as SF1442, Exhibits, LOAs, Reps and Certs, Teaming Documentation, Meaningful commitment letters, ISO 9001 certification, etc. at the end of each Volume file as Appendices? Please clarify the correct way to submit these documents as part of Volume I?	Yes, it is acceptable for offerors to combine response elements such as SF1442, Exhibits, LOAs, Reps and Certs, Teaming Documentation, Meaningful commitment letters, ISO 9001 certification, etc. at the end of each Volume file as Appendices. The correct way to submit these documents as part of Volume I is to include them in the single PDF file for Volume I.
2568	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, Pg. 98, states "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes." What is required to be submitted as part of the subcontracting plan?	The SubContracting Plan is separate from the AbilityOne requirements. See 52.219-9(d)
2569	" Each proposal volume shall be submitted as a single searchable PDF file with appropriate bookmarks...Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF, in the most readable manner possible, and submitted as part of a single PDF file." Please confirm this means that all files contained within a Volume submission shall be combined into a single PDF file for submission and that offerors are not to submit separately labeled Volume files in a folder as indicated in the above referenced section of the RFP.. (GettDone Category#-EXHIBIT#)? Volume file submission requirements are not clear.	Offerors shall combine all files for a specific volume into a single PDF file for submission. For example, Volume I shall be submitted as a single PDF file containing all required documents. Offerors are not required to submit separately labeled files.
2575	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, Pg. 107, states "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)." If this documentation is unavailable would the past performance be disqualified?	No, if documentation of past performance evaluations is unavailable, the past performance will not be disqualified.
2578	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, Pg. 109, states "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project." Request clarification on whether a narrative summary is required to meet the requirements of Past Performance History. Is NASA requesting both a summary description as it relates to content areas and the Past Performance Matrix or just the Past Performance Matrix?	To meet the Past Performance History requirements, offerors shall provide both a narrative summary as it relates to the content areas and a completed Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix.
2579	If a small business is not using a first-tier subcontractor's experience in a REP or past performance, do we still provide a copy of their teaming agreement in the proposal?	No, a copy of their teaming agreement does not need to be submitted in the proposal.
2587	Final RFP, pg. 99, Section 3.7.1 Offeror Volume. If a small business is using First-Tier subcontractor's experience, what information is required in the proposal?	Small businesses are required to identify first-tier subcontractors in their proposal. If a small business is using the experience of its first-tier subcontractor, the Offeror must provide a description of work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture, the work done by the joint venture itself, or any combination of both.
2594	In paragraph b) Prior Customer Evaluations. Is the list of whom the questionnaires were sent to part of the page count for the volume and where should offerors include this information?	A list of recipients of past performance questionnaires is not included in the page count for Volume II. Offerors should include this information at the beginning of Volume II Past Performance.
2596	If an offeror utilizes a Meaningful Relationship to share resources, is it permissible for a sister company within the same Corporate Structure to submit a separate proposal as well?	Yes, as long as each Offeror within the same corporate structure is not sharing the same proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources via Meaningful Relationships. If multiple offerors within the same corporate structure are sharing proposal evaluation elements or committing resources via Meaningful Relationships, only the first proposal received will be evaluated, and all others will be rejected.
2597	In the event that an offeror does not leverage a Meaningful Relationship and submits a proposal independently, will the Government accept a separate proposal from a sister company within the same Corporate Structure?	Yes, this is acceptable. If an Offeror does not use a Meaningful Relationship with a sister company, other Offerors within the same Corporate Structure may submit a proposal.
2598	Does the government only want the 1-3 reference relevant experience projects for which we are also obtaining a PPQ in the matrix example shown in Table 1 in the solicitation, or does the government want ALL recent/relevant experience projects, including the 1-3 reference projects?	The Government only wants the 1-3 most relevant past performance references to be included in the matrix.
2599	Considering a scenario where Companies A and B are part of the same Corporate Structure and both decide to use Meaningful Relationships, but choose different proposal evaluation elements and resources (for instance, Company A uses contracts 1, 2, and 3, while Company B uses contracts 4, 5, and 6), will the Government consider this scenario acceptable?	Yes, this is acceptable. Multiple offerors within the same corporate structure may use Meaningful Relationships, as long as they choose different proposal evaluation elements and resources.
2601	In case of Joint Ventures, is it necessary to include a copy of the Joint Venture Agreement to show the Meaningful Relationship? Similarly, should we include Teaming Agreements to show the First Tier Subcontractors?	A copy of the Joint Venture Agreement is required to demonstrate the Meaningful Relationship, and Teaming Agreements are needed to show First Tier Subcontractors.

2602	"Information incorporated by reference will not be considered or evaluated." Please explain what "by reference" means and provide an example of what how to correctly incorporate a reference in the Mission Suitability volume.	<p>"By reference" means that simply citing or referring to information located elsewhere, without including the actual content within the proposal, will not be considered or evaluated. For example, if you mention ""See Section 2 of our technical manual for details,"" without including the relevant details from Section 2 within the proposal, it will not be evaluated.</p> <p>To correctly incorporate a reference in the Mission Suitability volume, you should include the actual content or details from the referenced document within the proposal itself. For instance, instead of saying ""See page 15, section B, paragraph 3-4 of our management section,"" you should include the relevant text from that section directly in the Mission Suitability volume. This ensures that all necessary information is available for evaluation within the proposal document.</p> <p>The RFP specifies that only the information provided within the proposal will be evaluated, and information incorporated by reference will not be considered.</p>
2613	Reference A.3.7.1: RFP page 98: If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6. The CTA shall include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member. An Offeror may submit a proposal under an existing CTA with a prime/subcontractor relationship or Joint Venture only if the existing Joint Venture or prime has a corresponding UEI Number in <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> and all the proposal submission documents are in the name of the existing Joint Venture or prime. Joint Ventures without a corresponding UEI Number in <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> will not be evaluated or considered for award. Can a large business who is in a mentor-protégé Joint Venture arrangement with two small disadvantaged businesses, who are each the leading members of their SB JVs and proteges to the large business, have both Joint Ventures submit proposals for Category A - IT VAR, Group A2 - Small Business Set Aside?	No, a large business who is in a mentor-protégé Joint Venture arrangement with two small disadvantaged businesses, who are each the leading members of their SB JVs and proteges to the large business may not have both Joint Ventures submit proposals for Category A - IT VAR, Group A2 - Small Business Set Aside. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category.
2615	Could an offeror use a collection of task orders as a single Phase I REP if they can demonstrate that the Task Orders were option years on the same project? For example, if the offeror was awarded a single-award IDIQ and the customer issued a new task order each year to support the same agency and provide the same work rather than being awarded a single five year award, could offerors use this experience as a single REP?	A collection of task orders cannot be used as a single REP.
2622	For all categories, the RFP states "the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of..." Can a single purchase order be utilized to demonstrate relevance (this is especially applicable to Category A).	Yes, a single purchase order can be utilized as past performance to demonstrate relevance.
2626	pg 108, A.3.7.2 (a)(12) The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below. Does the required description refer to the information provided by the matrix and information provided in (a) 1-10 for each reference? Or, does the Government require an additional narrative describing the offeror's historical past performance in the technical areas? If an additional narrative is required, is this outside of the 10 page limit for the volume?	The description refers to a general summary of the Offeror's ability to meet the requirements of the category. The Government is not requiring an additional narrative describing the Offeror's historical past performance in the technical areas.
2627	The instructions combine REPs and past performance references as well as mention past performance history. Please confirm what references should an offeror include in the Past Performance Matrix?	Offerors are required to submit a minimum of one (1) and no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing. The references are meant to highlight the Offeror's past performance as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level. Relevant experience projects (REPs) are meant to showcase the Offeror's experience and capabilities in the content representative areas listed in section A.3.7.2(a)(12).
2637	In reference to A.3.7.2 (10) PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME on page 107, will the government confirm it is only requiring a small business subcontracting plan history for the three past performance projects for Other than Small businesses submitting a proposal under Category B.	Correct, only Offerors submitting proposals as an Other than Small Business for Category B are required to provide small business subcontracting plan history.
2638	In reference to A.3.7.2 (10) PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, page 107, will the government clarify what the requirement is to provide a "supporting rationale" in reference to the small business subcontracting plan history?	The Offeror shall provide a description demonstrating how they have met the goals and/or requirements, or what they plan to do to meet the goals in the future, as related to the small business subcontracting plan.
2639	In reference to A.3.7.2 (12) PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME on page 108, the RFP states, "The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below." Will the government please clarify if this means offerors should provide this performance history for only the three past performance references already cited in the past performance volume?	Yes, offerors should provide past performance history for only the three past performance references already cited in the past performance volume.
2644	Please clarify if for Volume II (a) Information from the offeror is a total of 10 pages for all 3 past performances combined, or whether it's 10 pages for each past performance submitted.	The ten (10) page limit is for all three (3) combined.
2648	Clarification requested: "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror: "  Please clarify whether this is for ALL contracts the offeror has past performance for or only specific to the 1-3 contracts referenced in the introductory sentence "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent."	Prime Offerors are required to submit information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing for the past performance volume. The additional information requested is for every past performance reference submitted for the prime Offeror and first-tier subcontractor.
2650	We noted in the webinar that when uploading response, we can pick only one socio-economic status. It appears that, unlike SEWP V, the SEWP Program will not be noting additional socio-economic status for contractors and the government end users would not easily see multiple socio-economic statuses of an individual contractor. How will SEWP list all applicable statuses for awardees so that customers can have visibility?	The SEWP Program will list all applicable socio-economic statuses for awardees in the SEWP Database of Record, which is referred to as the SEWP Portfolio. This database includes the entire portfolio of all SEWP Contract Holders and available IT solutions. The SEWP Marketplace, which is part of the SEWP Portfolio, allows Government customers to use a specified set of filters, including socio-economic status, to locate and either purchase directly or submit an RFQ for SEWP solutions that meet those filters. This ensures that all applicable socio-economic statuses for awardees are visible to customers.
2672	SEWP VI Final RFP; Page 109, Reference, " ...Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Businesses in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Pertinent). Question/Comment: Will the government confirm that past performances references will be evaluated in aggregate so that when combined, at least four (4) content representative areas are covered?	Yes, Past Performance references for Other than Small business in Category B will be evaluated in aggregate to ensure at least four content representative areas are covered.
2675	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP; Page 109. Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Businesses in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Pertinent). QUESTION: Will the government confirm that past performances references will be evaluated in aggregate so that when combined, at least four (4) content representative areas are covered?	Yes, the government confirms that past performance references will be evaluated in aggregate so that when combined, at least four (4) content representative areas are covered. The RFP specifies that the total number of content representative areas for which the Offeror is proposing for a category does not need to be included in a single past performance reference.
2678	In Section A.3.7.2 on page 105, the past performance volume requires "past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Will the Government please clarify this requirement? Page 108 requires a narrative description against technology areas. Is the requirement on page 105 about the NAICS only that cited past performance must have an in-scope NAICS associated with that category and not a narrative description against NAICS?	The Offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level. There is no requirement for a narrative description against NAICS, the narrative will be against the applicable technology area(s).
2679	Section A.3.7.1 (c): If the Government requires identification of specific AbilityOne subcontractors at time of proposal submission, must each of these companies submit a completed Exhibit 4?	No, AbilityOne subcontractors are not required to complete Exhibit 4.

2694	<p>Section Title: 80TECH24R0001+SEWP+VI+Final+RFP.pdf A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME Page 106</p> <p>"For Other Than Small Businesses proposing in Category A &amp; B- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$2,500,000 (\$2.5 Million) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)."</p> <p>"Cost" and "Fee" are two different terms. Is "cost/fee" defined as the cost incurred by the contractor (provider/offerrer) in performance or delivery of the solution/requirement? If not, is it defined as the amount invoiced to the customer (government or commercial) by the contractor?"</p>	<p>The term "cost/fee" in this context is defined as the amount invoiced to the customer (government or commercial) by the contractor. The RFP specifies that the current contract expenditures incurred to date and the average annual value to date should be provided, indicating that it refers to the amount invoiced to the customer.</p>
2699	A.3.7.1 (c): Does the government require each subcontractor that is teamed under a Small Business joint venture to complete the NAICS Crosswalk, Exhibit 4?	No, only the Offeror (Prime) is required to complete the NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk, Exhibit 4.
2703	A.3.7.1, bullet 8: Does SEWP PMO have a format Offerors are to use for providing the joint venture work done and qualifications held individually by each partner?	The SEWP PMO does not provide a specific format for offerors to use when providing the joint venture work done and qualifications held individually by each partner. Offerors should ensure that the information is clear and comprehensive, detailing the work done and qualifications held by each partner to the joint venture, the work done by the joint venture itself, or any combination of both.
2705	A.3.7.2, (a) (9): The government requests "recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)." Will the government accept these documents as additional separate PDFs to the Volume 2 submission?	Yes, the government will accept these documents as additional separate PDFs to the Volume 2 submission. The RFP specifies that these documents are excluded from the page limitation and can be submitted separately.
2708	A.3.7.2, (a) (9): If submitting three contracts for consideration, should the Offeror title supplemental documents Contract 1 - Attachment 1, Contract 2 - Attachment 1, Contract 3 - Attachment 1?	Yes, if submitting three contracts for consideration, the Offeror should title supplemental documents as Contract 1 - Attachment 1, Contract 2 - Attachment 1, Contract 3 - Attachment 1. This helps in organizing and clearly identifying the documents related to each contract reference.
2711	A.3.7.2, (a) (9): Would the government accept the Offeror combining multiple PDFs for each identified contract? For example, Contract 1 - Attachment 1 would be a combination of relevant documents for Contract 1, or does the government require each document to be saved separately (e.g., Contract 1 - Attachment 1, Contract 1 - Attachment 2, Contract 1 - Attachment 3, etc.)?	The government will accept the Offeror combining multiple PDFs for each identified contract. For example, Contract 1 - Attachment 1 can be a combination of relevant documents for Contract 1. This approach is acceptable as long as the documents are clearly organized and labeled within the combined PDF.
2712	Instructions state: "Offerors identified as an OTSB in Category A shall provide PP references showcasing technology solutions for at least 4 content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). Offerors identified as a SB in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least 3 content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Please clarify if one reference can showcase more than 1 technical area.	Yes, a past performance reference can showcase more than 1 technical area.
2716	Section V: In which volume should Offerors include the required Representations & Certifications inputs for the proposal submission?	The required Representations & Certifications inputs should be included in Volume I - Offer Volume. This is specified in the proposal format and organization section of the RFP.
2719	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Sections A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS and (A) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION, Pages 94 and 95 instruct use of "80TECH23R0001" in the zip file name. QUESTION: Shouldn't "80TECH24R0001" be used in the file name to reflect the Final RFP solicitation number?	Yes, the correct file name should use "80TECH24R0001" to reflect the Final RFP solicitation number. This ensures consistency with the final RFP documentation.
2731	If a large business is part of a CTA with a small business and is contributing an REP or PP, does the large business follow the total value size for a large business on a REP/PP or the total value size of the SB?	The large business should follow the total value size for a large business on a REP/PP. The RFP specifies that the requirements for past performance and mandatory experience are based on the size of the business contributing the reference.
2735	How many REPs/PPs can the first-tier subcontractor provide in a CTA? 1, 2, or all 3?	The CTA may submit any combination of REPs or PPs from the team members up to the maximum number allowed for that specific category and business size. There is no limit on the number of REPs/PPs that can be provided by any one team member.
2748	The Government provides that, "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Can the Government confirm that where an Offeror is utilizing NAICS 518210 (Computing Infrastructure Providers, Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services) or NAICS 541513 (Computer Facilities Management Services), the Offeror must utilize a past performance with the NAICS 541513 or 518210? May the Offeror utilize any past performance with a NAICS in the Exhibit 4 Crosswalk table even if the NAICS for which the entity qualifies as Small Business is under a different NAICS (e.g., Offer has past performance of 541330 (Exception 1, 2 or 3; may this 541330 past performance be used as a submission under competitive NAICS of 541513 or 518210 as Offeror qualifies as SB under these NAICS)?	The Offeror is not required to submit the exact NAICS code that is being competed as long as they can demonstrate relevance. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's narrative describing how the past performance reference is relevant to the competed NAICS code.
2761	The list of pages excluded from page count does not include a list of acronyms. Request the government allow offerors to include a list of acronyms and exclude from page count.	Offerors are permitted to include a list of acronyms in their proposal, which will not count towards the proposal page limitations.
2773	SEWP Proposal Demo: What rationale should we use when selecting the NAICS for uploading our proposal?	When selecting the NAICS code for uploading your proposal, the rationale should be based on the primary nature of the work you are proposing to perform under the SEWP VI contract. The selected NAICS code should align with the scope of services and products you intend to offer. The NAICS code will be used to classify your business size and eligibility for specific socio-economic programs. Ensure that the selected NAICS code is one of the acceptable codes listed within the scope of SEWP VI and matches the information reflected in SAM.gov entity information.
2775	Are there any teaming restrictions for a Prime/Sub-Contractor, that the same PP or REP cannot be used twice within the same category?	No, there are no teaming restrictions for a Prime/Sub-Contractor using the same PP or REP twice within the same category.
2776	Are Tribal, Alaskan Native, Indian Owned companies, can be considered teaming for SB? and their REPs and PPs will be accepted?	Tribal, Alaskan Native, and Indian Owned companies can be considered small businesses based on their size standard. If the company's size standard is at or below the small business size standard for the applicable NAICS code, then their REPs and PPs will be accepted.
2784	If we have a SB first tier sub, and use one of their REPs / past performances, they add a few categories of capabilities the prime doesn't have capabilities within technical Areas 1b-10b and also 1c-10c. There is also 2-3 Technical Area's of overlap with that same REP / performance. Is that REP / past performance allowed since it adds capabilities the Prime SB doesn't have, or because there is some overlap with the primes REP/past performance, we cant use there REP?	Yes, the REP / past performance from the SB first tier sub is allowed even if there is some overlap with the prime's REP/past performance. The RFP allows small business prime offerors to provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor.
2786	If a SDVOSB primes with 3 REPs, can another SB (WOSB) use one of the same 3 REP's the SDVOSB used? We would not copy and paste but write differently to the proposal.	The same Project can be used if both Offerors meet the requirements for doing so.
2791	RFP, Section A.3.7.2(a), Page 106: This section states, "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference." Please confirm that single-award delivery orders or task orders that were awarded under a GWAC or IDIQ are acceptable for past performance references.	Yes, single-award delivery orders or task orders that were awarded under a GWAC or IDIQ are acceptable for past performance references.
2792	Please confirm that an offeror is allowed to commit the same corporate resources by MRCL for both their own prime contract proposal and one JV or CTA proposal in the same category.	Yes, offerors are allowed to commit the same corporate resources by MRCL for both their own prime contract proposal and one JV or CTA proposal in the same category.
2802	Is the Government seeking a comprehensive matrix of all recent relevant contracts the Offeror has performed, or should the past performance matrix be limited to the maximum of 3 contract references provided in Volume II?	The past performance matrix should be limited to the maximum of 3 contract references provided in Volume II.
2804	For #10 Small Business Subcontracting Plan history, please clarify if Offerors should submit their latest SSR for their company's commercial subcontracting plan, or if the Government is seeking subcontracting history for each of the 3 past performance references the offer provides in Volume II?	Offerors must submit the latest commercial subcontracting plan. The Government is not requesting subcontracting history for each of the past performance references.
2805	How will the Government evaluate Average Annual Value for a firm-fixed-price (FFP) contract that is still ongoing? The example in the RFP appears to use a cost type contract. The average annual value of an ongoing FFP contract (example PoP of 2022-2032) could vary drastically depending on whether an Offeror divides the same fixed price by the PoP to date (2 years) vs. the total PoP (10 years).	For an ongoing firm-fixed-price (FFP) contract, the Government will evaluate the Average Annual Value by dividing the total contract value by the period of performance (PoP) to date. This approach ensures consistency and avoids discrepancies that could arise from dividing by the total PoP. The RFP example indicates that the average annual value should be calculated based on the expenditures incurred to date.

2806	Please confirm that if a customer will not complete a past performance questionnaire (for example, intelligence agencies), Offerors can still use that contract as neutral past performance.	Yes, if a customer will not complete a past performance questionnaire, Offerors can still use that contract as neutral past performance. The RFP specifies that an Offeror shall not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the offeror does not have a record of "recent" and "relevant" past performance or if a record of past performance is unavailable. In such cases, the offeror will receive a "Neutral" rating.
2808	If a past performance questionnaire is submitted and not needed, will it be automatically disregarded if we don't include its associated past performance reference in the final proposal submission? (Example: A total of 5 customer references submit PPQs for an offeror but only 3 of those projects are used in the Offeror's Volume II past performance.)	Yes, if a past performance questionnaire (PPQ) is submitted but not needed, it will be automatically disregarded if its associated past performance reference is not included in the final proposal submission. The RFP specifies that only the past performance references included in the proposal will be evaluated.
2811	In reference to A.3.7.2 (12), Past Performance History, the RFP states that "the Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below." However, a few paragraphs down in the same section, the RFP states "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project." Will the government please clarify that we only have to include our summary of our relevant past performance information in a matrix as a response to this requirement?	Yes, the government clarifies that you only have to include your summary of relevant past performance information in a matrix as a response to this requirement. The matrix should summarize the referenced contracts submitted for the past performance volume for a given scope category.
2812	In regard to Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCLs), are MRCLs required only for first-tier subcontractors providing a Relevant Experience Project (REP) or Past Performance for the proposal submission?	Yes, MRCLs are required only for first-tier subcontractors providing a Relevant Experience Project (REP) or Past Performance for the proposal submission. The MRCLs are necessary to demonstrate the commitment and utilization of the identified entity's resources on SEWP VI Task Orders.
2813	In regard to Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCLs), are MRCLs required for first-tier subcontractors referenced in the Mission Suitability Volume but not Past Performance or Offer Volumes?	No, MRCLs are not required for first-tier subcontractors referenced only in the Mission Suitability Volume but not in the Past Performance or Offer Volumes. MRCLs are specifically required for entities whose resources will be utilized for SEWP VI Task Orders and are providing REPs or Past Performance.
2815	Final RFP (pages 94-95) indicates that Offerors shall upload a zip file containing three volumes within the category folder for each category submitted. The Final RFP states that documents within each volume folder are to be labeled accordingly (e.g., "GettDone_Category#- EXHIBIT #"). However, the RFP also states "each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe [PDF] file..." Please clarify whether each volume should contain separate PDFs for each requested document within the folder, or whether each volume should contain a single PDF with all required documentation. Thank you.	Each volume should contain a single PDF with all required documentation. Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file with appropriate bookmarks to at least the section header.
2818	If using the same past performance contract in both Category A and Category B, can the client submit a single Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaire?	Yes, the client can submit a single Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaire if using the same past performance contract in both Category A and Category B. The RFP allows for a single questionnaire per past performance reference.
2820	The beginning of this requirements states, "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts..." And later states, "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror..." followed by items 1 - 12 for past performance information.  However, Items 9 - 11 (9) Recent customer evaluations of past performance..., (10) Small Business Subcontracting Plan history..., and (11) List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years... are excluded from page count and appear to reference more than just the select three past performances.  Please clarify that items 9 - 12 need only be answered for the 3 identified past performance contracts?	Items 9 - 12 need only be answered for the 3 identified past performance contracts. The RFP specifies that the information provided should relate to the selected past performance references included in the proposal.
2821	Item 11 from the required past performance information states, "List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Include the contract number, name, and the telephone number and e-mail address of the terminating officer (please verify information). Include contracts that were "de-scoped" by the customer because of performance or cost problems.  (Excluded from the page limitation)."  For a large multi-national corporation with business in both the public and commercial sectors, listing all applicable contracts in response to this requirement would be overly extensive and include irrelevant contracts. We recommend removing this requirement.	The requirement to list any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and the basis for termination remains as specified in the RFP. However, you may provide a summary or representative sample of such contracts that are most relevant to the SEWP VI requirements to avoid an overly extensive list
2830	Some of the questions in Section 5 of the PPQ do not apply to product sales contracts. For example, product sales contracts may not have productivity and task status reporting. Will the Government revise the Exhibit 2 to include a selection field to reflect "Non Applicable" areas?	The Government has not indicated any revisions to Exhibit 2 to include a selection field for "Non-Applicable" areas. Offerors should provide explanations for any non-applicable areas in the comments section of the PPQ to clarify the context of the past performance reference.
2831	Some of the questions in Section 5 of the PPQ do not apply to product sales contracts. For example, product sales contracts may not have productivity and task status reporting. Will the Government revise the Exhibit 2 to include a selection field to reflect "Non Applicable" areas?	For Section 5 of the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ), Offerors are instructed to skip any questions that are not applicable to the contract being evaluated and to provide a comment in the "Comments" block explaining why the question is not applicable.
2833	Section A.1.35 references an Attachment H. Can the Government send that attachment to bidders?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
2856	How many contracts should be provided in the past performance matrix? Does (a)(12) refer to all relevant past performance references within the past 3 years or to "a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date," as described in A.3.7.2(a)? Does (a)(12) require a narrative description of past performance history as a whole or of each past performance reference in addition to the matrix?	The past performance matrix should be limited to the maximum of 3 contract references provided in Volume II. Section A.3.7.2(a) specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts. Section (a)(12) requires a narrative description of past performance history as a whole, in addition to the matrix.
2857	How many past performance questionnaires are required? Are questionnaires required for "a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date," as described in A.3.7.2(a)?	Past performance questionnaires are required for "a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date," as described in A.3.7.2(a).
2860	Would NASA want the Representation and Certifications completed as a separate attachment?	No, the Representation and Certifications should be included in Volume I - Offer Volume as specified in the proposal format and organization section of the RFP.
2863	Will Amendments need to be signed and submitted with the final proposal?	Yes, all amendments need to be signed and submitted with the final proposal. This ensures that the Offeror acknowledges and agrees to all changes made to the RFP.
2864	For the Past Performance Volume is NASA expecting us to list Base and all option years or Base and executed total contract value?	NASA expects Offerors to list both the Base and all option years as well as the executed total contract value in the Past Performance Volume. This provides a comprehensive view of the contract's scope and value.
2866	Is the Meaningful Relationship letter applicable to VAR's who are responding as Prime?	No, Meaningful Relationship letters are not applicable to offerors who are responding as Primes.
2867	Is the Mandatory Experience Exhibit considered Exhibit 3a?	Yes, the Mandatory Experience Exhibit is considered Exhibit 3a.
2868	Do CTA's include distribution partners?	No, Contract Teaming Arrangements do not include distribution partners.
2869	A.1.35 Abilityone Subcontracting - Attachment H is referenced within the solicitation but was not part of the release of the final solicitation. Can NASA please release Attachment H for review?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
2871	The NAICS Code Crosswalk, Exhibit 4, are we required to submit this document back as a stand-alone doc or should it be included in one of the 3 volumes?	The NAICS Code Crosswalk, Exhibit 4, should be included in Volume I - Offer Volume.
2873	If a small business offeror proposes to use an REP from a project awarded to that offeror's ASMPJ Joint Venture, where the offeror is the Managing Member, please confirm that offeror can claim the full contract value to meet the REP value threshold as they would have been responsible (identical to a traditional prime contractor) for the entire contract's performance.	Yes, the offeror can claim the full contract value to meet the REP value threshold if they are the Managing Member of the ASMPJ Joint Venture and responsible for the entire contract's performance. The RFP allows for the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to be used where the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror.

2874	When a small business is acting as a sub on the past performance reference, should the questionnaire be sent to the government or the prime contractor?	The past performance questionnaire should be sent to the government. The RFP specifies that the Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email.
2876	The RFP states that past performance for a small business must have an average annual contract value of \$150k. In the case for Category A where most contracts are for a fixed hardware/software acquisition and not a service, how would the government like average annual contract value calculated?	For fixed hardware/software acquisition contracts, the average annual contract value should be calculated by dividing the total contract value by the period of performance to date. This approach ensures consistency and avoids discrepancies that could arise from dividing by the total period of performance.
2881	For Category C - Past Performances, can a past performance be used where the Prime Offeror is performing as a subcontractor?	Yes, a past performance can be used where the Prime Offeror is performing as a subcontractor. The RFP allows for the past performance of first-tier subcontractors to be considered for small business prime offerors.
2882	For Category C - Past Performances, can a past performance be used where the Prime Offeror is performing as part of a Joint Venture?	Yes, a past performance can be used where the Prime Offeror is performing as part of a Joint Venture. The RFP allows for the past performance of joint ventures to be considered where the resources of the joint venture will affect the performance of the Offeror.
2883	If performing as a subcontractor, can Offerors provide past performance questionnaires from their prime contractor?	Yes, Offerors can provide past performance questionnaires from their prime contractor if performing as a subcontractor. The RFP specifies that the questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and/or responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of the firm's performance.
2884	If performing as part of a joint venture, can Offerors provide past performance questionnaires from their Government customer?	Yes, Offerors can provide past performance questionnaires from their Government customer if performing as part of a joint venture. The RFP specifies that the questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and/or responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of the firm's performance.
2885	For Category C, can a small business have a large business subcontractor?	Yes, a small business can have a large business subcontractor for Category C. The RFP allows for the past performance of first-tier subcontractors to be considered for small business prime offerors.
2886	For Category C, do subcontractors have to be similarly situated companies?	No, subcontractors do not have to be similarly situated companies.
2891	12. Past Performance History- The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements. Please clarify whether the history in A.3.7.2(a)(12) is intended to include only three maximum three contracts used in A.3.7.2(a), or whether Contract Holders should provide a full history of all contracts against the 10 Content Representative Areas, which could include more than three contracts.	The history in A.3.7.2(a)(12) is intended to include only the three maximum contracts used in A.3.7.2(a). The RFP specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
2895	A.3.7.2 (a) 4 pg 107 Does the government consider current contract expenditures the same as total amount invoiced for the contract?	Yes, the government considers current contract expenditures the same as the total amount invoiced for the contract. The RFP example indicates that the current contract expenditures incurred to date should be provided, which refers to the total amount invoiced to date.
2896	Does a Past Performance need to have 3 representative areas OR do we need to cover 3 representative areas across 3 Past Performances?	A Past Performance does not need to have 3 representative areas individually. Instead, you need to cover 3 representative areas across the 3 Past Performances provided. The RFP specifies that the total number of content representative areas for which the Offeror is proposing for a category does not need to be included in a single past performance reference.
2900	The instructions indicate a past performance matrix should be included in the Past Performance Volume. Due to the 10 page limitation for 3 past performance references please clarify if the matrix may be excluded from page count.	Yes, the Past Performance Matrix is excluded from the 10-page limit for Past Performance References.
2904	Past Performance requirements bullets, 9, 10, and 11 are excluded from page count. An offeror's past performance template can include requirements 9, 10 and 11 and make a short reference to them in the template pointing to 9 - Appendix 1, 10 - Appendix 2 and 11- Appendix 3. Please confirm that an Offeror can include these Appendices at the bottom of the Past Performance Volume so they will not count against the 10 page past performance limit.	Yes, the Offeror can include the Appendices for Past Performance requirements 9, 10, and 11 at the bottom of the Past Performance Volume and they will not count against the 10-page limit.
2905	The instructions state: "The Offeror shall include a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." Will NASA please confirm the requested list of past performance questionnaire recipients is excluded from the past performance volume page limit and, therefore, should be included in an appendix to the volume?	Yes, the requested list of past performance questionnaire recipients is excluded from the past performance volume page limit.
2906	"The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."  If multiple NAICS are selected in Exhibit 4, must the past performance contract match one particular NAICS code, based on the relevance of the work performed?	If an Offeror selects multiple NAICS codes in Exhibit 4, the Past Performance References submitted must be relevant to at least one of the identified NAICS codes.
2908	"The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments."  Does this include all clauses included by reference?	The requirement to complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30, and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments includes all clauses incorporated by reference. Offerors should identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with their quotation or offer. The full text of these provisions can be accessed electronically as indicated in the RFP.
2909	"11. List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default)."  This item is listed under the instruction: The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror. One of those criteria is "no more than three (3) reference contracts." However, the list of terminated contracts appears to apply to all a bidder's contracts, not only those that meet the listed criteria. For clarity, we highly recommend separating this requirement from those that clearly apply to the specific past performance references.	The requirement to list any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years applies to all contracts, not just the three reference contracts. This information is necessary to provide a comprehensive view of the offeror's past performance and responsibility. However, the terminated contracts list is excluded from the page limitation.
2910	"12. Past Performance History- The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements."  Like #11 in this list, #12 does not appear to be constrained by the criteria that govern the other items on the list (e.g., no more than three (3) reference contracts). For clarity, we highly recommend separating this requirement from those that clearly apply to the specific past performance references.	The Past Performance History in A.3.7.2(a)(12) is intended to include only the three maximum contracts used in A.3.7.2(a). This ensures that the evaluation focuses on the most relevant and recent past performance references. The RFP specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts.
2912	RFP indicates "Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Businesses in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Pertinent)."  Please confirm that category B OTSB offerors should provide at least one and a maximum of three past performance references, and that each reference provided should showcase technology solutions for at least four content representative areas in order to be rated relevant.	Yes, Category B Other Than Small Business offerors should provide at least one and a maximum of three past performance references. The references provided should showcase technology solutions for at least four content representative areas in order to be rated relevant.

2915	<p>Page 24, Table, #5 Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) states, "Due 30 working days before the end of the Government's fiscal year." Using the 2024 year calendar, 30 working days before the end of the Government's fiscal year on September 30th is August 19th.</p> <p>Page 59, A.1.32 Small Business Subcontracting Plan and Reports, paragraph (b) Summary Subcontracting Reports (SSRs) states, "The SSRs must be submitted electronically in eSRs on an annual basis. This report must be submitted no later than October 30 of each year for the twelve-month period ending September 30.</p> <p>Question: The A.1.1 Deliverable Requirements table calculates the SSR due date at August 19th while A.1.32 Small Business Subcontracting Plan and Reports for SSRs states the due date is no later than October 30th. Can NASA update the language in the A.1.1 table to match the A.1.32 October 30th due date as industry will not be able to provide all annual subcontracting activity if reported prior to September 30th.</p>	Yes, NASA can update the language in the A.1.1 table to match the A.1.32 October 30th due date. The correct due date for the Summary Subcontract Reports (SSRs) is no later than October 30 of each year for the twelve-month period ending September 30, as specified in A.1.32.
2932	NAICS codes / size standards: Are size determinations made on a task-order-by-task-order basis?	Yes, size determinations are on a task-order-by-task order basis.
2934	<p>Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.</p> <p>Does this rule apply only within a category or also across categories? What about offerors proposing as a prime in more than one category?</p>	This rule applies only within a category. Offerors may propose as a prime in more than one category, but they cannot compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement within the same category.
2937	<p>Exhibit 2: Past Performance Questionnaire, Section 1(A), Name of Company.: If a bidder is submitting as part of a CTA (e.g., JV with a different name than its members) how will NASA associate the PPQ with the appropriate proposal? Currently, there is no place in Exhibit 2 to indicate the name of the bidding entity.</p>	To ensure that NASA can associate the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) with the appropriate proposal, the Offeror should include the name of the bidding entity (e.g., the name of the Joint Venture) in the comments section of the PPQ or in an accompanying cover page. This will help NASA correctly identify and associate the PPQ with the relevant proposal.
2938	The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. Recommendation: Insert a field in Exhibit 2 for the NAICS code.	The Government will consider adding a field in Exhibit 2 for Offerors to identify the NAICS code associated with the past performance references.
2940	<p>A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance.</p> <p>Will a written attestation from the prime offeror suffice as a demonstration that the past performance of a first-tier subcontractor is necessary? If not, what sort of demonstration is required?</p>	A written attestation from the prime offeror will not suffice on its own. The prime offeror must provide a detailed explanation and evidence demonstrating that the past performance of the first-tier subcontractor is necessary to meet the requirements of the SEWP VI contract. This should include specific details on how the subcontractor's past performance and capabilities will be utilized and how they complement the prime offeror's capabilities.
2943	Page 109, Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix-Category A: this table shows four different contract references. Please confirm that we are permitted to submit up to 3 references, and not 4 references.	Yes, you are permitted to submit up to 3 references, and not 4 references. The RFP specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
2947	Reps and Certs: If the Offeror is a JV, do you require all members of the JV to provide Reps and Certs along with the JV itself, or is only the JV's Reps and Certs required.	Reps and Certs are required from the JV itself. Individual members of the JV are not required to provide separate Reps and Certs.
2948	<p>Page 108 states, "12. Past Performance History-. The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements. The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below (This list shall not be construed as indicating any priority ranking or order of importance):</p> <p>CATEGORY A Content Representative Areas</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. IT Computer Systems / Compute Facilities</li> <li>2. IT Storage Systems</li> <li>3. Networking and Communication Equipment</li> <li>4. Imaging Equipment and Supporting Technology</li> <li>5. IT Power and Cabling Equipment</li> <li>6. Audio / Video Equipment</li> <li>7. Security and Sensor Equipment</li> <li>8. Software and Cloud Technology"</li> </ol> <p>Prime offerors are required to furnish no more than three (3) recent similar contracts. To satisfy the requirement of A.3.7.2(a)(12), should the referenced contracts collectively cover all eight Content Representative Areas? Or do the referenced contracts need to collectively cover no less than 3 Content Representative Areas for small business offerors?</p>	The referenced contracts need to collectively cover no less than 3 Content Representative Areas for a small business offeror.
2950	Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix: Is this table meant to be a full collection of an offeror's past performance history or should it only include the three past performances that have been selected for submission? If meant to be a full collection of PP, are the requirements the same as the three that will be selected for submission (within the past three years, minimum annual \$ threshold based on group/category)?	The table is meant to include only the three past performances that have been selected for submission. The RFP specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
2955	<p>Page 8 (g) Invoice (iv &amp; v) Invoice to include: "Description quantity, unit of measure, unit price and extended price of the items delivered." "Shipping number and date of shipment, including the bill of lading number and weight of shipment if shipped on Government bill of lading" If the IT VAR schedules shipping directly from the OEM to the agency, will a separate BOL delivery suffice.</p>	Yes, a separate Bill of Lading (BOL) delivery will suffice if the IT VAR schedules shipping directly from the OEM to the agency. The invoice should still include the required information such as description, quantity, unit of measure, unit price, extended price, shipping number, and date of shipment.
2957	We are planning on responding to this RFP as a Joint Venture, please confirm that the JV is acceptable even if the members have not done any business together previously.	Yes, the Joint Venture (JV) is acceptable even if the members have not done any business together previously. The RFP does not require prior business experience together for JV members.
2960	The RFP states "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Will an entity be able to submit as a JV member in the unrestricted group for a category, as well as be a subcontractor to a different priming entity in the small group for the same category?	Yes, an entity can submit as a JV member in the unrestricted group for a category and also be a subcontractor to a different priming entity in the small group for the same category. The restriction applies to competing as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement within the same category.
2963	Is there a limit to the number of awards made on SEWP VI or is it similar to OASIS and if you pass all the gates, you will get an award?	No. There is no predetermined number of contracts to be awarded.
2965	If a JV and one of the members of the JV submit similar proposals, will they be disqualified?	No, if a JV and one of the members of the JV submit similar proposals they will not be disqualified.
2967	We are a SBA 8(a) and EDWOSB firm. Can REP come from first tier subcontractors who are large businesses?	Yes, a Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first-tier subcontractors, including large businesses, to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance necessary for award.
2968	We are a SBA 8(a) and EDWOSB firm. Can you clarify if there is a limitation of maximum 1 REP that can be used from first-tier subcontractor. Is that correct?	Yes, that is correct. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first-tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference contract for each first-tier subcontractor.
2969	We are a SBA 8(a) and EDWOSB firm. If I have two first-tier subcontractors on my team. Can I use one REP from each of the subcontractor members or a maximum of only 1 REP for the whole proposal can come from subcontractor? i.e. all the other REP has to come from the Prime contractor?	You can use one REP from each of the first-tier subcontractor members. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first-tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference contract for each first-tier subcontractor.

2979	Regarding A.3.7.2, Past Performance Volume, Information from the Offeror. For Category A, Volume 2 Past Performance. Will the Government please clarify the Past Performance criteria for IDIQ and GWAC experience. For Example, can an Offeror use an awarded NASA SEWP V Task Order or Delivery Order as Past Performance Experience?	Yes, an Offeror can use an awarded NASA SEWP V Task Order or Delivery Order as Past Performance Experience. The RFP specifies that an Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference.
2982	For Volume III Mission Suitability, Subfactor B Management Approach, please confirm that all the requirements need to only be submitted by the JV Offering entity and not each member of the JV. For example, Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form, the answers to the Commitment to Sustainability questions, and the response to the Commitment to Product and Services Diversity requirement.	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas. The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: If an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
2989	Which volumes should each of the attachments/exhibits belong to? Can the Government please breakdown where each file belongs in the submission package?	Yes, here is the breakdown:  Volume I: Offer Volume (includes Representations & Certifications, Exhibit 4: NAICS Code Crosswalk, etc.) Volume II: Past Performance Volume (includes past performance references, Exhibit 2: Past Performance Questionnaire, etc.) Volume III: Mission Suitability Volume (includes management approach, technical approach, Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form, etc.)
2990	On page 105 of the final RFP, the Government states: "For each NAICS within the category in which they are proposing by entering either LB =Large Business; SB =Small Business; SDB = Small Disadvantaged Business; WOSB= Women Owned Small Business; EDWOSB= Economic Disadvantaged Small Business; VOSB= Veteran Owned Small Business; SDVOSB= Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business; or HUB= HUBZone. For non applicable NAICS codes, please enter "NA" in the cell."  Question: Can you provide a situational example of where NA would be applicable?	A situational example of where "NA" would be applicable is if an Offeror does not have any business activities or qualifications under a specific NAICS code listed in the RFP. For instance, if an Offeror does not provide services under NAICS code 541614 (Process, Physical Distribution, and Logistics Consulting Services), they would enter "NA" in the cell for that NAICS code.
2992	Can a vendor submit a prime bid in one category (ex. Category B) and submit a mostly identical proposal for a prime bid under a different category (ex. Category C)? As a prime offeror, we are qualified to submit proposals under Categories B & C. As such our proposals will read identical as we will be using the same experience and past performance projects for both Categories.	Yes, a vendor can submit a prime bid in one category (e.g., Category B) and submit a mostly identical proposal for a prime bid under a different category (e.g., Category C). The RFP allows Offerors to propose in multiple categories, and the proposals can include the same experience and past performance projects for both categories.
2998	The RFP clearly states a primary NAICS code for Category A (541519e), Category B (541512) and Category C (541512). The RFP also identifies a table of other applicable NAICS codes for each Category. During the NASA hosted Webex on June 4, 2024, it was mentioned that a contractor is free to identify what NAICS code they are submitting under. Does this mean that a contractor can choose any of the NAICS codes identified in the tables that follow each Category and certify as "small" if the contractor meets the size standard for that NAICS code?	Yes, the offeror can choose from any of the NAICS codes identified in the tables that follow each category and certify as "small" if the contractor meets the size standard for that NAICS code.
3003	Can an Offeror bid as Prime Contractor as well as be a subcontractor on other teams in the same category?	No, an Offeror cannot compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category. This restriction applies within the same category to ensure fair competition.
3005	Several pages of the RFP are scanned and not searchable. Can the government provide a fully searchable version?	Yes, please contact NASA SEWP at sewp6@sewp.nasa.gov to request a fully searchable version of the RFP.
3014	1.We are a WOSB and HUBZone-certified small business that has worked as a subcontractor to major prime contractors for NASA and NOAA over the past three years. Normally, as a subcontractor, we use the original prime contractor as the Point of Contact and performance reference for the REP and Past Performance citation. However, the company we worked with was acquired within the past three years, and its management personnel have since moved on to other companies and are now unreachable. Would the Government accept the Government agency Program Manager, COTR, or Project Manager familiar with our work on the contract as our cited contact person for performance evaluation and verification of project experience, dollar value, performance period, etc., in lieu of our original prime contractor's personnel?	Yes, the government will accept the Government agency personnel familiar with the offerors work on the contract as their cited contact person for performance evaluation and verification of project experience, dollar value, performance period, etc., in lieu of the offeror's original prime contractor's personnel.
3020	Reference: RFP Section A.3.7.2(a), Past Performance Volume, Information from the Offeror, page 105 Question: What may be considered "documented performance history". Will the Government please specify the types of acceptable documentation as proof of performance history?	Documented performance history may include customer evaluations, award fee evaluation results, fee determination official letters, annual performance evaluation forms, or any other written performance feedback. These documents should provide evidence of the Offeror's performance on past contracts and demonstrate their ability to meet technical, schedule, cost, and management requirements.
3023	Please clarify, On RFP Page 109 (mid-page), it is stated that for Past Performance for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, and 8a in Category B and C shall provide relevant work in at least two content representative areas for content to be rated relevant. Must the content representative areas in this volume be limited to the same as those selected as Mandatory Technical Areas the offeror selects for the Offeror Volume (Volume I)? Alternatively, is the Government requiring addressing as many of the Content Relevant Areas identified in the RFP (potentially all 10 cited on RFP Pages 108-109 for Category C) without regard to which the Offeror has chosen for Volume I?	The content representative areas in the Past Performance Volume do not need to be limited to the same areas selected as Mandatory Technical Areas in the Offeror Volume (Volume I). The Government requires addressing relevant work in at least two content representative areas for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, and 8a in Category B and C, without regard to which areas were chosen for Volume I.
3024	Reference: RFP Section A.3.7.2(a)12, Past Performance History, page 108 Question: The solicitation states "Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Will the Government please clarify – is this a minimum of 4 content representative areas per past performance citation, or across all past performance citations?	The requirement is for at least four (4) content representative areas across all past performance citations, not per individual citation. The total number of content representative areas for which the Offeror is proposing for a category does not need to be included in a single past performance reference.
3027	Reference: RFP Section A.1.32, SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AND REPORTS, page 59 and A.1.1, DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS, page 23 Question: Is a Small Business Subcontracting Plan or Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan required to be submitted as part of the Offer Volume?	Section A.3.7.1 states that Other Than Small Businesses (OTSB) must submit a subcontracting plan. The subcontracting plan should follow the format in FAR 52.219-9 and include goals for subcontracting.
3030	Can REPs and Past Performances be from different contracts, or is it preferred and/or required that they be from the same contract?	REPs and Past Performances can be from different contracts. The RFP does not require that they be from the same contract. Offerors should provide past performance references that best demonstrate their capabilities and relevance to the SEWP VI requirements.
3034	The referenced paragraph states that each proposal volume shall be in a separate folder in one zip file and be no larger than 120 MB per file. Is this the 120 MB limit related to each zip file or individual files within the zip file?	The 120 MB limit applies to each zip file, not the individual files within the zip file. Each proposal volume should be in a separate folder within the zip file, and the entire zip file should not exceed 120 MB.
3037	Please provide guidance for what is required on the proposal cover page and indices for each volume.	The cover page should include the Offeror's name, RFP number, date, and volume title. The indices should include a table of contents aligned with the RFP evaluation criteria, listing figures and tables separately. The cover page and indices are excluded from the page limitations.
3039	The proposal components in the referenced section lists the Mission Suitability Volume in two parts (III-A and III-B). However, Section A.3.6 provides examples that allow each volume to be one file. Is the Mission Suitability Volume intended to be two separate files?	No, it should be submitted as a single PDF file that includes both Subfactor A (Technical Approach) and Subfactor B (Management Approach). Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables.
3045	Will the Agency consider lowering the average annual value for Past performances in all categories?	No, the government will not consider lowering the average annual value for Past performances in any categories.
3049	The referenced paragraph states that the Offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. Please clarify – does this mean that the referenced contracts must have been awarded under the same NAICS as the SEWP VI Category?	The referenced contracts must be related to one or more in-scope NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34 for the appropriate category, but they do not have to be the same NAICS code.
3054	The referenced paragraph states that an Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition. However, Section A.4.3 states on page 117 that Offerors who do not have a record of past performance will receive a Neutral rating (rather than being removed from the competition). Please confirm that Offerors without past experience will be included in the Phase 3 evaluation and will not be disqualified from award due to lack of experience.	The referenced contracts must be related to one or more in-scope NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34 for the appropriate category, but they do not have to be the same NAICS code.
3058	Please confirm that past performance from JVs may be provided from either member of the JV.	Yes, past performance from JVs may be provided from either member of the JV. The RFP allows for the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture, the work done by the joint venture itself, or any combination of both to be provided.

3059	The referenced paragraphs ask for the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history and a list of terminated contracts. Please confirm that these sections apply to the entire portfolio of contracts and not limited to the past performance references.	Yes, the sections asking for the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history and a list of terminated contracts apply to the entire portfolio of contracts and are not limited to the past performance references. These sections are intended to provide a comprehensive view of the Offeror's subcontracting history and any contract terminations across all contracts.
3061	Given that past performance references are provided in previous sections of the past performance volume, please confirm that offerors are required to provide only the matrix shown on page 109 to respond to this requirement.	Yes, offerors are required to provide only the matrix shown on page 109 to respond to this requirement.
3064	The referenced paragraph states: "The Offeror shall include a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." Please confirm that this information is excluded from the page limit.	Yes, the information regarding the list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number, is excluded from the page limit.
3067	The referenced paragraph states that NASA will consider relevant information provided by the offeror as well as independently obtained information from Government sources. Please clarify the information required to respond to this portion of the RFP, if any.	The offeror is required to provide relevant past performance information from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts. NASA will also consider independently obtained information from Government sources such as CPARS and non-Government sources in assessing past performance.
3068	In A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance Volume (Information from the Offeror) (page 106), the RFP states, "Offer shall provide past performance information from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts." Additionally, in A.3.7.2(b) Prior Customer Evaluations (Past Performance Questionnaires) (page 110), the RFP states, "If possible, the Offeror shall provide questionnaires to customers from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts." Question: Will the Government place more weight on NASA contracts over other Government contracts or commercial contracts, or will all three types of past performance contracts receive the same weight when evaluated?	No. NASA will evaluate past performance based on relevance and recency, regardless of whether the contracts are NASA, other Government, or commercial contracts.
3072	The referenced paragraph states "Additional performance factors may include contract administration, occupational health, safety, security, subcontracting plan goals and small disadvantaged business participation targets, if applicable, and other contract requirements." Exhibit 2 does not provide space to address these factors. Please clarify how the Government will evaluate these factors.	The Government will evaluate these additional performance factors based on customer satisfaction and contract data in meeting technical, schedule, cost, and management requirements.
3074	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience - We have an REP that was awarded under an SBA approved mentor protégé JV. Can both JV members use the same REP if each of the JV member wants to submit separate NASA SEWP VI bids as Prime. One member as a Small Business and another member as a Large Business. Please clarify	Please refer to A.3.6 (b). Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance, and mandatory experience.
3075	The referenced paragraph states that responsibility for small business concerns will be determined in accordance with Subpart 19.6, Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Responsibility. Does the Government intend Small Business offerors to provide these certificates in lieu of other documentation on responsibility?	Small Business offerors are not required to provide these certificates in lieu of other documentation on responsibility unless specifically requested. The Government will determine responsibility for small business concerns in accordance with Subpart 19.6.
3079	Will there be any formula to calculate the annual value of a Past Performance? What if my Past performance is 7-8 months old, it meets the 6months requirement, but how will the annual value be calculated?	Please refer to A.3.7.2 (a) Past Performance Volume.
3082	The Offerors are directed to provide a separate proposal for each category bid, but the PPQ allows Offerors to address more than one category for a contract reference. Is the Offeror permitted to use the same PPQ for each category proposal rather than ask our customers to complete a separate PPQ for each category proposal?	Only one questionnaire is required per past performance reference. The Government will not accept alternate forms for the past performance questionnaire.
3084	Do JVs require an MRCL letter?	Yes.
3085	Can a JV form a CTA with another company and submit under the CTA?	A Joint Venture (JV) can form a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) with another company and submit under the CTA. The CTA agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6.
3088	Will the Government consider allowing offerors to use a Collection of Task Orders to meet the \$30M OTSB threshold for one of the four REPs?	An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level as a single past performance reference. However, multiple award or GWAC cannot be submitted as an individual past performance reference.
3092	Can an offeror submit as both a Prime entity and a Managing Partner of a Joint Venture within the same category?	Please refer to A.3.5. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category.
3094	The PPQ has several functionality issues, will the government fix this PPQ soon?	Formatting and radial issues have been corrected.
3119	Good afternoon. Does the SEWP VI team have a goal number for total Small Business awardees in Category C? Or will all who qualify be onboarded? Thank you.	No, and all qualified vendors will be onboarded.
3120	[Final RFP, A.1.35, page 64] In the second paragraph of this section, the government refers to Attachment H, but this attachment was not provided in the Final RFP solicitation documents. Will the government clarify if this attachment will be provided?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
3134	For Category C, can you confirm that 2 REPs are needed for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a for Volume I and that 1-3 Past Performances are needed for small businesses for Volume II?	Yes.
3136	Can a Prime contractor submitting a proposal in Category C also submit a proposal in Category B as a subcontractor?	Yes.
3146	Is item #3 Monthly Reports of Work metrics defined by the CO or is this TBD at time of RFP?	Please refer to A.1.3 Reports of Work.
3162	What obligation of the contractor or subcontractor, aside from EULA flow downs from an OEM, exist? if any?	The contractor and subcontractor must comply with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws, executive orders, rules, and regulations applicable to its performance under this contract.
3167	RFP / A.3.7.2 Bullet (a)12; Table 1; and the Description paragraph above it (page 109)  It is unclear whether (a)12 and Table 1 are confined to only the Past Performance references we are submitting as part of the Past Performance Volume, or it permits additional past performances to be used to flesh out the summary table. The instructions paragraph specifies that the identifying information should match (a) 1, which would indicate only the 3 being formally submitted should be put into the table. Only 3 Past Performances are to be submitted as part of the Past Performance Volume II, yet 4 contracts are shown on the sample table. Please clarify.	Please refer to A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME. The instructions specify that the identifying information should match, indicating that only the three past performances being formally submitted should be included in the table.
3171	Regarding Exhibit 4 "NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk," how should a company that is both WOSB and HUBZone fill the related cells? Should we state: "WOSB, HUB"?	For each NAICS code represented, the Offeror should complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s) by entering the applicable designations such as "WOSB, HUB, etc for each relevant NAICS code.
3182	We are a WOSB and HUBZone-certified small business that has worked as a subcontractor to major prime contractors for NASA and NOAA over the past three years. Normally, as a subcontractor, we use the original prime contractor as the Point of Contact and performance reference for the REP and Past Performance citation. However, the company we worked with was acquired within the past three years, and its management personnel have since moved on to other companies and are now unreachable. Would the Government accept the Government agency Program Manager, COTR, or Project Manager familiar with our work on the contract as our cited contact person for performance evaluation and verification of project experience, dollar value, performance period, etc., in lieu of our original prime contractor's personnel?	Yes.
3200	We intend to submit two proposals that represent two separate entities. These entities have different UEIs but have the same Managing Partner. Bidding companies sometimes have organizational overlaps, including common Managing Partners who can have varying measures of ownership, etc., across more than one business entity. Would both companies be eligible for award?	Yes.
3218	RFP Ref A.3.7.2(a), Page 105, RFP Text- Subcontractor past performance information will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors. Question Does it mean only small business Prime offerors will be able to use Subcontractor past performance. Please clarify?	Please refer to A.3.7.2 (a) First- tier subcontractor past performance information will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors.

3233	RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP.pdf Section Reference - A.3.6(B), A.3.7.1 Page Number(s) - 95, 98 Question - Can the Government confirm that a Cover/Title page for Volume I is allowed, and excluded from page count?	Yes, they are allowed and excluded from the page count.
3237	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING pg63,64. Are AbilityOne subcontracts considered First-Tier subcontracts? If a SB prime initiates an AbilityOne subcontract, does that prevent the prime from having another First-Tier subcontractor? Or, are AbilityOne subcontracts in addition to SB First-Tier subcontracts?	Yes, AbilityOne subcontracts are considered First-Tier subcontracts. No, if a SB prime initiates an AbilityOne subcontract, it does not prevent the prime from having another First-Tier subcontractor.
3238	RFP Ref: A.3.7.1, Page 99, EFP Text - Information from first-tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract. Question: Can a SMALL SDVOSB utilize one of its two required REPs as derived from one of its Tier One Subcontractors, if supported by a Meaningful Relationship Letter?	Yes.
3239	RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP.pdf; Section Reference - A.3.6(B), Proposal Submission Table; Page Number(s) - 96; Question - Can the Government clarify if Volume III should be submitted as one document, or two documents—one document for Technical Approach (Subfactor A), and a second document for Management Approach (Subfactor B)?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
3245	RFP Section A.1.34 NAICS & NAICS codes within Scope: Request for NASA to allow for Contracting Officers (KO) or Contracting Officer's Representatives (COR) or programs referenced for Past Experience and Past Performance to crosswalk NAICS codes of such referenced programs to confirm that they meet NAICS codes referenced in SEWP VI RFP Section A.1.34 and for NASA to provide an appropriate exhibit (form/format) to bidders.	This will be clarified in an upcoming amendment.
3258	Could an offeror use a collection of task orders as a single Phase I REP if they can demonstrate that the Task Orders were option years on the same project? For example, if the offeror was awarded a single-award IDIQ and the customer issued a new task order each year to support the same agency and provide the same work rather than being awarded a single five year award, could offerors use this experience as a single REP?	REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.
3262	"The Government states, ""A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract."" Could it please confirm our understanding that a single-award IDIQ (i.e. a contract with only one awardee against which task orders are issued), could be used as a REP if over \$30 million worth of task orders have been obligatd against it since it is a ""single contract?""	REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.
3268	RFP Section A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting: The RFP states that a commitment letter outlining an offeror's intent to subcontract with AbilityOne companies must be submitted with the IDIQ proposal. Instead, we request the Government require the commitment letter when a Task Order RFP is issued with a relevant NAICS code.	A commitment letter outlining the offeror's intent to subcontract with AbilityOne companies is required with the IDIQ proposal.
3277	Can we use a task order which has different TO number as it was extended year on year? Total value of last three years task orders is over \$30 Mn. (E.g. 2021 - \$10M, 2022 - \$10M, 2023 - \$12m = \$32m). Will the agency consider this as a single TO?	REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.
3283	Will the Government provide a template for the AbilityOne Commitment Letter?	A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative.
3288	If we are submitting a proposal as JV, should we complete Reps and Certs as JV and as well well as individual companies or just JV is sufficient?	Reps and Certs are required from the JV itself. Individual members of the JV are not required to provide separate Reps and Certs.
3290	Will the Government define exact requirements for the contents of the AbilityOne Commitment Letter?	A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative.
3291	Should the Reps & Certs be completed by the Prime only or Subs as well?	Please refer to the response for comment ID 3288.
3296	Can the Content representative areas of the PP's be the same for all three PP's or do they have to be different?	The past performance references must showcase different content representative areas for each Category.
3303	Section A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance - If an offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), can they provide past performance references from each individual JV member, the JV entity itself, or a combination?	Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture, the work done by the joint venture itself, or any combination of both.
3305	Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume - If a newly formed JV does not yet have an approved Agreement at the time of proposal, can they still submit as long as the Agreement is signed before potential award? Or must the Agreement be fully executed at time of proposal?	The offerors must be an approved JV at the time of submission.
3307	RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP; Section Reference - A.3.6(B); Page Number(s) - 96; Language Cited - Page Limitations Table: Cover Page, Indices...Excluded; Question - Please confirm that the "Indices" excluded from page limitations include Table of Contents, List of Tables, List of Figures, and Acronym Lists.	Yes, the title pages, tabs, and tables of contents are excluded from the page counts.
3323	In Section A.3.7(b), for Category B and C, there are differing requirements for Small Business offerors and Small Disadvantaged Business offerors (8(a), HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB). Can the government please confirm that, if only one submission is required to be awarded as both an SDVOSB and a Small Business, only the SDVOSB requirements need to be met?	Only one submission is required to be awarded as both an SDVOSB and a Small Business, only the SDVOSB requirements need to be met.
3328	Regarding A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, please confirm item 10 "Small Business Subcontracting Plan history, if applicable: provide latest Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) (formerly known as the SF 294 and 295 reports) and supporting rationale (Excluded from the page limitation)" pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under or if this applies to the entire company.	Item 10 pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under.

3329	Regarding A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, please confirm item 11 "List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Include the contract number, name, and the telephone number and e-mail address of the terminating officer (please verify information). Include contracts that were "de-scoped" by the customer because of performance or cost problems. (Excluded from the page limitation)" pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under or if this applies to the entire company.	Item 11 pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under.
3330	Regarding A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, please confirm item 12 "Past Performance History-. The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements." pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under or if this applies to the entire company.	Item 12 pertains to the specific past performance writeup it is under.
3331	Regarding A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, (a) Information from the Offeror, please confirm which list items from the numerical list of 12 items requested from the Offerors for each past performance (pg 106 - 108) pertain to the past performance which pertain to general company information.	The items requested from the Offerors for each past performance pertain to the specific past performance writeup.
3340	Please confirm that a separate zip folder should be submitted for each volume.	Yes, a separate zip folder should be submitted for each volume.
3346	The RFP states, "A table of contents shall be provided with figures and tables listed separately." Please advise if this table of contents should be a separate page within each volume.	Yes, the table of contents should be a separate page within each volume.
3347	Page 94, under section A.3.6(A)(2), states, "All pages of Volumes I, II, and III shall be numbered and identified with the Offeror's name, RFP number and date. A table of contents shall be provided with figures and tables listed separately." However, the Proposal Submission Table found on pages 95-96, under Section A.3.6(B), only states that Volume II and Volume III include "Cover Page" and "Indices" as Proposal Components. Furthermore, Section A.3.6(B)(3) states, on page 96, that "Title pages, tabs, and tables of contents are excluded from the page counts specified in paragraph (1) of this provision (as well as other documents specified in table (b)(1) above). Proposal sections that do not have an associated page limit shall be strictly limited to the information described as part of that section. Regardless of where it appears in the proposal, information construed as belonging in a page-limited section of the proposal will be so construed and count against that section's page limit." QUESTION: Will the Government please confirm that it is acceptable for Offerors to include tables of contents and separate indices of figures and tables at the start of each Volume (including Volume I), which shall be excluded from the rest of the Volumes' page count limitations?	Yes, it is acceptable for Offerors to include tables of contents and separate indices of figures and tables at the start of each Volume.
3349	With regard to "The Government will not consider performance on a newly-awarded contract that has no documented performance history (i.e., projects that have been under contract for less than six months)." Is a past performance with start date of December 15 2023 and customer PPQ completion date of June 16 2024 (six months performance) acceptable)? i.e. if the customer provides past performance validation of 6 month performance before proposal submission date, would that be acceptable?	Please refer to SAM.gov as deadline may change.
3351	Can the Government confirm that in Category B, a small business is able to use a subcontractor's past performance to satisfy the past performance requirements for submission?	Yes, in Category B, a small business is able to use a subcontractor's past performance to satisfy the past performance requirements for submission.
3357	RFP Document, Sections A.3.7.2(a) and A.4.3, Pgs. 105, 117: The RFP states "prime offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts..." Based on the evaluation of Past Performance on Page 117, there is no information stating that submitting more than the minimum (one) past performance will result in a more favorable rating for an Offeror. As long as all of the other Evaluation Factors are met, can offerors score a Satisfactory Level of Confidence with just one Past Performance? Will the government please confirm that Offerors will not be rated as "more favorable" for submitting more than one Past Performance contract?	Offerors will not be rated as "more favorable" for submitting more than one Past Performance contract.
3366	"NASA indicates that they will consider information from Government sources such as CPARs and that the burden of providing information establishing the relevance of their past performance is on the contractor. This requirement/section falls within the 10 page limit for Volume II. Question: Will NASA please make this requirement/section outside of the Volume II page limitation? In other words, provide unlimited page count for CPARs?"	NASA will not make this requirement/section outside of the Volume II page limitation.
3371	In regards to RFP Section A.3.7.2 (a) 12 - paragraph just above Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix - Category A, can the government confirm that the Past Performance Matrix, should ONLY include the past performance references provided in Volume III- Past Performance?	Yes, the past performance matrix should only include the past performance references provided in Volume II- Past Performance.
3380	Please confirm the required contents for the Past Performance Volume as: Past Performance reference write-ups Past Performance history as a separate write-up Summary of relevant past performance as a separate write-up Listing of PPQs sent	The required contents for the Past Performance Volume are confirmed as: Past Performance reference write-ups, Past Performance history as a separate write-up, Summary of relevant past performance as a separate write-up, and Listing of PPQs sent.
3389	RFP text states, "More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure." Please confirm that an OEM signing a Teaming Agreement with a VAR does not constitute a Meaningful Relationship.	An OEM signing a Teaming Agreement with a VAR does not constitute a Meaningful Relationship.
3392	Please confirm that the government's term "Meaningful Relationship" only applies to Joint Ventures and CTAs.	No, "Meaningful Relationship" is not limited to Joint Ventures and CTAs. It also applies to Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.
3395	"This RFP includes significant guidance for the Offerors to utilize in order to provide a compliant proposal. There are many attachments and exhibits. Question: With the issuance of any amendment, will the Government please provide an updated conformed copy of the RFP and all updated attachments and exhibits?"	Yes, please refer to SAM.gov.
3396	A.3.6(B) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATION: In the Proposal Submission Table on pg96, Past performance Volume II, it states "(b)Cover Page, Indices, CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS, and Termination/Decscope information." QUESTION: What is to be provided for "CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS?" Is that to be a draft of the evaluation from sent to our agency contacts for completion? Please clarify what we are to provide or not provide there.	Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire no later than proposal submission date directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email.
3416	How does a JV ensure that past performances are credited to the JV? What direction do we provide customers on how to submit the PPQ to the SEWP Team for proper attachment to the JV proposal?	Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture, the work done by the joint venture itself, or any combination of both. The Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the Past Performance Questionnaire directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email.
3423	A.4.5 This evaluation paragraph documents the requirement for a Certificate of Competency and a Determination of Responsibility which appear to come from the SBA. It would appear from our discussion with the SBA that this could not possibly happen in such a short time frame especially for the volume of small businesses desiring this certificate in support of a SEWP VI contract. In the current version of the RFP, we see no definition of the requirement in the Instructions nor any reference to where in the offer it would be inserted. If this remains a requirement of the offer, would the government please update the instructions to define the requirement, the process for obtaining the certificate and define where it shall be placed in the three-volume submission. In addition, would the government consider modifying this requirement to be a pre-award requirement versus an offer component?	Per FAR 9.103(b), if the prospective contractor is a small business concern, responsibility will be determined in accordance with Subpart 19.6, Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Responsibility.

3432	Is the meaningful relationship commitment required for subcontractors? The industry day indicated that was for corporate ownership affiliation though the RFP mentions subcontractors and "other types of independent business structures".	The Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.
3434	"Under A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, for the 10 bulleted items under ""The Offeror shall also provide the following information: """, would the Government clarify if that bulleted information is included as part of the Volume I Offer document or as a Cover Letter? "	The information under A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME should be included as part of the Volume I Offer submission.
3437	RFP Section A.1.6 states that the effective ordering period of the Contract shall be for a period of 10 years from the Contract's effective date of TBD. Please clarify whether the Period of Performance has a 10-year Base Period, or if the 10-year Period of Performance is broken out into a Base Term and Option Years. If the Contract Period of Performance will be broken out into Base + Option Year(s), please identify how many years are included in the Base Term and how many Option Years will be included and the duration of each Option Year.	The effective ordering period of the contract shall be for a period of 10 years from the contract's effective date. Orders placed against this contract can be completed up to 60 months past the contract's expiration date.
3450	The narrative box in Exhibit 1 does not expand to separate pages. The box is static and just compresses the text that is entered. Requesting that the Government please update the Exhibit 1 to allow the box in the form to expand across pages?	Exhibit formatting has been corrected.
3454	Per the Government's response for Question #1729 "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages and should be attached in the pdf of Exhibit 1." Please clarify if the 3 page limitation is for the Part III Project Description or is the 3 page limitation for the Project Table (Part I and Part II) and the Part III Project Description?	Please refer to A.3.6 (B). Proposal Submission Table. Past Performance Volume II.
3465	Is the Government requiring that when the offer adds the business size for the associated NAICS code that the NAICS code should also be in the offer's SAM.gov record? Essentially, should the answers in Exhibit 4 coincide with SAM.gov? For example, if an offer indicates "SB" for 541513 NAICS in Exhibit 4, then that 541513 NAICS should also be listed on the offer's SAM.gov record?	Offeror's Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code in SAM.gov shall match the Offeror's name on the SF1449.
3466	Please clarify that Task Orders on Multiple Award IDIQs can be used as projects for Vol II Past Performance.	Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC.
3469	The sample matrix lists 4 rows of projects, but in the Volume II Past Performance states there is a maximum only of 3 projects. Please confirm that the sample matrix is incorrect showing 4 projects.	Volume II Past Performance section specifies that Prime Offerors shall furnish information for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of their most recent similar contracts.
3473	Please confirm that the Past Performance Matrix is not included in the Volume II Past Performance 10 page limitation.	Please refer to A.3.6 (B). Proposal Submission Table. Past Performance Volume II.
3474	Is the meaningful relationship commitment required for subcontractors? The industry day indicated that was for corporate ownership affiliation though the RFP mentions subcontractors and "other types of independent business structures".	The meaningful relationship commitment is required for entities sharing resources, within a corporate structure such as a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary.
3475	The Exhibit 1 Past Performance Questionnaire has the following issues 1) Basis of Selection. The user can only select one radio button. Should be able to select one radio button for "Technical" and one for "Cost/Price" 2) Section with checkboxes for "Prime", "Subcontractor", "Team Member" - if you select one box, it selects all. 3) Section 3. Evaluator Information. The text you enter in for any row then entire section is updated with that associated text. 4) The "Section 4. Technical Area Relevance Ratings" section, can't only enter text in one column / box. When you enter an "X" in the "Performed" column, the form then adds a "X" in the "Did not Perform" column.	Exhibit formatting has been corrected.
3499	If proposing as a joint venture, does a separate login need to be created for the joint venture entity within the RFP application login?	Each joint venture must have a corresponding UEI Number in SAM.gov and all proposal submission documents must be in the name of the existing Joint Venture or prime.
3512	On page 105, (a) Information from the Offeror, it states that there should be not more than three (3) similar contracts for past performance. In the paragraph above this and also on Page 98, A.3.7.1 bullet 2, it states that offeror must provide past performance submissions as it related to the NAICS code being used for competition. How can an offeror submit past performance for more than three NAICS codes being used for competition as per the NAICS crosswalk?	Offerors must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
3514	If an offeror cannot gain CMMI certification by the proposal deadline, does SEWP PMO anticipate an on-ramping period for those that do achieve CMMI certification by the time awards are made?	Note that CMMI certification is not required at the time of proposal if documentation that the certification is in process as noted in Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification. The process and criteria for on-ramping is provided in section A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY.
3516	If an offeror cannot gain CMMI certification by the proposal deadline, does SEWP PMO anticipate an on-ramping period for those that do achieve CMMI certification by the time awards are made?	Note that CMMI certification is not required at the time of proposal if documentation that the certification is in process as noted in Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification. The process and criteria for on-ramping is provided in section A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY.
3527	Section A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience (Document 3, Pages 103-105) - If customer POCs for the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) are no longer with the referenced agency/company, will the government still consider those projects if the offeror makes a good faith effort to provide updated contact information?	If customer POCs for the Exhibit 1 REPs are no longer with the referenced agency/company, the government will still consider those projects, if the offeror makes a good faith effort to provide updated contact information.
3543	Page 98: "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes." During the presentation on June 4th, it was also explained that AbilityOne is considered "Other Than Small Business." Will the government remove the AbilityOne Commitment Letter requirement for Small Business offerors?	No this requirement will not be removed. All offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter only if proposing under the NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. Reference A.1.35
3555	Per A.3.5 Proposals Requested, p. 93: Per the RFP, the Government has outlined the requirements for companies bidding with Meaningful Relationship and has identified that only one entity sharing resources may submit an Offer from the Corporate structure. Does this apply across all three categories or is it by a category by category basis?	The requirement to submit only one proposal from a Corporate Structure sharing resources applies across all three categories.
3556	Per A.3.5 Proposals Requested, p. 93: Per the RFP: "More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure". Would the Government allow for multiple bids using Meaningful Relationship from a Corporate Structure in a category as long as no specific projects or resources were duplicated?	Multiple bids using Meaningful Relationship from a Corporate Structure are allowed as long as no specific projects or resources are duplicated.
3559	Re: A.3.6, please confirm that the Ability One Commitment Letter is not required at time of submission but instead at time of award as stated in Section A.1.35	This is a proposal requirement. A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative.
3560	Per A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, p. 98: Would the Government please define first-tier subcontractors?	Per 52.204-10. First-tier subcontract means a subcontract awarded directly by the Contractor for the purpose of acquiring supplies or services (including construction) for performance of a prime contract.
3561	"An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category."  Per the quoted language, please confirm that any company, regardless of size, can propose as a team member of a JV or CTA.	Any company, regardless of size, can propose as a team member of a JV or CTA.
3563	Is there a NAICS code restriction on a Past Performances reference or is any contract with relevant scope applicable assuming the offeror adequately demonstrates the scope relevance with Exhibit 4 PWSs and quantifies the relevant scope meets the revenue threshold for relevance?	The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.

3573	Is the small business offeror required to include an "Ability One Commitment Letter" with its proposal? The RFP is unclear: a.The table on page 95 of the RFP shows that the "Ability One Commitment letter" as a requirement. b.The text on page 98 of the RFP describes an "Ability One Commitment Letter" as "if applicable" when also describing a subcontracting plan.	Yes, all Offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter only if proposing under the NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. Reference A.1.35
3576	How does the Government prefer we select which one of our small business statuses to use in filling out Exhibit 4?	Offerors should select the small business status that best represents their company for each NAICS code listed in Exhibit 4.
3579	Does it matter in the evaluation of our Proposal under Category A if the Offeror selects just one NAICS codes listed on Exhibit 4 under Category A?	The evaluation of the proposal under Category A will consider the NAICS codes listed on Exhibit 4. Selecting just one NAICS code is permissible, but offerors should ensure it adequately represents their capabilities.
3585	Item 6 The RFP states the SSR "Due 30 working days before the end of the Government's fiscal year". Previously on SEWP V, the SSR was due by 10/31. Does NASA really want the report before the end of the Gov't FY or after?	The Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) is due annually by October 30.
3593	What happens on its proposal if an offeror with a SBA small business status selects some NAICS codes listed on Exhibit 4 in Category A that have a SBA small business limitations that the offeror business exceeds and also selects others NAICS codes in Exhibit 4 Category A that the business does not exceed the SBA small business limitation?	An offeror will be classified within a scope category based on the size standard associated with the NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4. The offeror's size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information.
3594	What does the Government mean when it says it is using a NAISC code only for "administrative purposes": what other type of purpose does the code have? What does the Government mean by "administrative purposes"?	The use of NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519 footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A are for administrative purposes only. This means that these codes are used to classify the type of economic activity for analysis and uniformity in describing the economy, but they do not restrict the scope of the order to these specific NAICS codes
3598	If a small business lists a non-small business NAISC code in Exhibit 4, will the small business be treated as a large business?	An offeror will be classified within a scope category based on the size standard associated with the NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4. If the offeror's size standard exceeds the SBA small business limitations for a listed NAICS code, the offeror will be treated as a large business for that specific NAICS code.
3601	What is the difference between a "single past performance reference" and an "individual past performance reference"?  a.On page 106 of the RFP: "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference."	A single past performance reference refers to a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level. Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference.
3617	On page 106-108 of the RFP the Government lists the items each past performance needs to contain as numbers 1-8, but at number 9, and thereafter through number 11, the Government appears to start describing what needs to be in the past performance volume generally and not within each past performance description, is this interpretation accurate?	Yes, the items listed as numbers 9 through 11 on pages 106-108 describe what needs to be included in the past performance volume.
3618	Is the dollar amount for the past performance that is listed in each category required for each past performance or are all of the past performance submitted and then the total value of the past performances aggregated to meet the total amount required by the Offeror? Page 106.	The dollar amount listed for each category is required for each past performance reference.
3620	"For Offerors proposing as Contractor Teaming Arrangements (CTA) or Joint Ventures (JV): Evidence shall be provided that the certification is in the name of the JV, prime contractor in the CTA, or in the name of one of the companies in the JV." Are we able to submit our individual companies ISO 9001 cert for both our individual proposal AND our JV proposal?	Yes, you are able to submit your individual companies' ISO 9001 certification for both your individual proposal and your JV proposal, if a MCRL has been established.
3621	A.3.6(A)(2) This section asks for a Table of Contents for all Volumes. However, the table in section A.3.6(B) does not ask for a Cover Page or Table of Contents for Volumes I and II. Please confirm that it is acceptable to include a Cover Page (with basic information like offeror name, RFP number, date, CAGE Code, etc.) and Table of Contents for Volumes I and II. Please also confirm that these are NOT included in the page limitations.	Please refer to A.3.6 (B). Proposal Submission Table. These are not included in the page limitations.
3657	Does our matrix have to indicate all the Category A - Mandatory Technical Areas or only the Mandatory Technical Areas that our past performance support?	The matrix should indicate the Mandatory Technical Areas that your past performance supports.
3661	A.3.7.2.a.12 Past Performance History: This section asks Offerors to, if a subcontractor, "insert an "S" accompanied by a subscript number to indicate the subcontract tier." Can the Government clarify the difference between an S1 and S2 tier subcontractor.	An S1 tier subcontractor is a first-tier subcontractor, while an S2 tier subcontractor is a second-tier subcontractor.
3672	Confirm A.3.6 (B), page 96 proposal table Mission Suitability Volume III [Technical Approach Volume (III -A)   Management Approach Volume (III-B)] Can the Government please confirm are we to provide 1 volume with both Technical and Management or does the Government want us to provide two separate volumes?	The Government requires one volume with both Technical and Management sections. The proposal should clearly distinguish between the subfactors within the Mission Suitability Volume.
3680	"Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project." Can the Government please confirm that this applies to the "Past Performance project" and not the "relevant experience project"?	The requirement to present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form applies to the Past Performance project.
3686	RFP A.1.34 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) & NAICS Codes Within Scope states, "Small businesses are expected to comply with 52.219-14 Limitations on Subcontracting for set-aside contracts and orders expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold. Also II. FAR 52.212-5 Contract Terms and Conditions Required to Implement Statutes or Executive Orders—Commercial Products And Commercial Services has "X_ (23) 52.219-14, Limitations on Subcontracting (OCT 2022) (15 U.S.C. 657s.) Will the government confirm that SBs may have OTSBs as subcontractors in all groups of Categories B & C, as long as they comply with FAR 52.219-14, Limitations on Subcontracting?"	Small businesses may have Other Than Small Businesses (OTSBs) as subcontractors in all groups of Categories B & C, as long as they comply with FAR 52.219-14, Limitations on Subcontracting.
3691	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (Pg 98) states "If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6." Can the Government please specify how the CTA agreements should be included within Volume I (e.g., as an attachment), and the file name, if included as an attachment.	The CTA agreements should be included as an attachment within Volume I. The file name should clearly indicate that it is the CTA agreement.
3693	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings B&C pg 103 implies that a Meaningful Relationship Commitment letter is required for SBs in a prime/sub CTA agreement with their First-Tier subcontractors when it states " Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses..... Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract." QUESTION: When an independent SB prime and SB subcontractor enter into and include a Contractor Teaming Agreement / CTA document as part of the proposal, are we also required to provide a Meaningful Relationship Letter between the two parties, yes or no? Or does CTA suffice?	When an independent SB prime and SB subcontractor enter into and include a Contractor Teaming Agreement (CTA) document as part of the proposal, a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required to demonstrate that the resources of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance.
3696	A.3.7.2(a) Information from the Offeror (Pgs 105-106) states "Offeror shall provide past performance information from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts." Also, A.3.7.2(b) Prior Customer Evaluations (Past Performance Questionnaires) (Pg 110) states "If possible, the Offeror shall provide questionnaires to customers from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts." As this requirement is stated as an "and" and not "or," can the Government please confirm that offerors are not required to submit all three contract types but rather any combination of the three contract types?	Offerors are not required to submit all three contract types. They can provide past performance information from any combination of NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts.

3700	Does the Past Performance need to match the Mandatory Experience primary and secondary TAs?	Past performance needs to match the Mandatory Experience primary and secondary Technical Areas.
3709	RFP A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (p. 107): "11. List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Include the contract number, name, and the telephone number and e-mail address of the terminating officer (please verify information). Include contracts that were 'de-scoped' by the customer because of performance or cost problems. (Excluded from the page limitation)." Does this requirement apply to a contractor's ENTIRE past performance history over the past 3 years, or just the past performances being submitted? Asking because this requirement was listed among requirements for individual past performances.	This requirement applies to the contractor's entire past performance history over the past three years.
3743	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP/A.3.6 (B) Proposal Submission Table/Pg 96 - It is this offeror's understanding that the Cover Pages and Indices are excluded from Page Limitations in those volumes with such limitations. This offeror would appreciate clarification on the term indices does that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Table of Contents</li> <li>•List of Figures</li> <li>•List of Tables</li> </ul> Glossary of Terms/ Abbreviations (if required)?	The term indices include the Table of Contents, List of Figures, List of Tables, and Glossary of Terms/ Abbreviations (if required).
3755	Would the Government confirm that Volume III-A, Technical Approach Volume, and Volume III-B, Management Approach Volume, (as outlined in the Proposal Submission Table in Section A.3.6) are to be submitted as a single file titled Mission Suitability Volume with a single cover page and a combined table of contents?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
3762	Does the relevancy portion of past performance have an adjectival evaluation?	The Government will assign an overall Performance Rating and an overall Relevancy rating to the Offeror based on the evaluation of the individual contract references.
3768	For mandatory experience, is NASA allowing the bundling of task orders under a single-award IDIQ?	An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference.
3803	A.3.7.1: Is the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter required for an Offeror sharing resources with a Parent Company or only if there are two Offerors responding to this solicitation that are sharing resources of the same Parent Company?	The Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for an Offeror sharing resources with a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes.
4277	NAICSOnce we choose a competing NAICS, does that mean that all REPs and PPR have to be from the same single competing NAICS?	No, an offeror can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using a single NAICS code.
4279	Please confirm that Offerors should submit one (1) Volume III file that includes separate sections for the Technical Approach Volume (III-A) and the Management Approach Volume (III-B).	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
4283	All of our past performance is with state agencies and most do not offer vendor references and typically do not complete past performance questionnaires. However, there are ratings provided similar to CPARS, provided in a Vendor Performance Tracking System. Can the state-level vendor rating report be used in place of the past performance questionnaire?	Yes, the state-level vendor rating report can be used in place of the past performance questionnaire as long as it provides similar information to what is required in the past performance volume. This includes recent customer evaluations of previous performance, award fee evaluation results, fee determination official letters, annual performance evaluation forms, or any other written performance feedback.
4293	Is the past performance history matrix limited to 3 years of past performance?	The past performance history matrix should include relevant past performance within the last three years to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance.
4295	Section A.3.7.2 states: "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Please confirm that past performance submissions may be under any of the relevant NAICS codes for the specific Categories (A, B, and/or C).	Yes, past performance submissions may be under any of the relevant NAICS codes for the specific Categories (A, B, and/or C).
4305	On page 96, Section A.3.6 Proposal Preparation—General Instructions, B. Proposal Content and Page Limitations: Under both the Technical and Management Approach sections, the requirements for the cover page and indices are specified. Our understanding is that the Technical and Management Approach should be presented as separate documents, named Volume IIIA and IIIB, respectively. Is our understanding correct?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
4306	Exhibit 1 - How should these documents be attached? Format, file name, etc.	Each Exhibit 1 must be provided in MS Office Excel format with a maximum 3 page attachment containing the Offeror's narrative. An example filename is GettIDone_CategoryR-EXHIBIT #1REP1.
4308	Exhibit 1, Part III - What documents should be attached? For example, the SOW / DD1155.	A maximum 3 page document containing the Offeror's narrative is the only allowed attachment.
4310	How should a first-tier subcontractor and teaming partner under a CTA list their past performance on the past performance history matrix? Do they list their prime contracting experience as Prime (P) or do they list it as Sub (S1) because of their status in the Teaming agreement?	A first-tier subcontractor and teaming partner under a CTA should list their past performance as "Sub (S1)" if they are performing as a subcontractor. If they have prime contracting experience, they should list it as "Prime (P)"
4316	Section A.3.6(B), p.96 - Will the Government please confirm that Volume III should be submitted as one electronic file, inclusive of one title page and one table of contents for the entire volume?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
4325	Page 100,A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: For joint ventures the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself.  If a JV has a UEI number but hasn't completed any work yet, does it still qualify to bid?	Yes, a joint venture with a UEI number but no completed work can still qualify to bid as long as it meets all other requirements specified in the solicitation.
4326	Please confirm that the only page count requirements in Volume I is related to the LOA and that all other items requested have no page restrictions.	There are two page count requirements in Volume I related to the Letter of Agreement (LOA) and Exhibit 1 REPs. All other items requested have no page restrictions.
4329	If we are responding to this RFP under a Contractor Teaming Agreement, should we refer to ourselves throughout our response under the CTA name for our team or do we refer to our individual businesses and call out our Prime and Subcontractor status?	You should refer to yourselves throughout your response under the CTA name for your team and call out your Prime and Subcontractor status as appropriate.
4343	Page 95 states that each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable PDF; however, page 95 also provides examples of how documents (e.g., Exhibits, LOAs) are to be labeled within the zip folder. Please clarify: how many files should offerors include in each Category zip file—only 3 PDFs or the volume PDFs plus exhibits and attachments?	Offerors should include the volume PDFs plus any exhibits and attachments specified in the solicitation within each Category zip file.
4345	Pages 95-96: Proposal Content and Page Limitations. Will the Government allow a cover page for Volume I that is excluded from page count? Or is the SF1449 PDF intended to serve as the cover page for Volume I?	The SF1449 PDF is intended to serve as the cover page for Volume I. If a separate cover page is used, it should be excluded from the page count.
4346	Page 96: Proposal Content and Page Limitations. In the table on page 96, the Technical Approach and Management Approach are shown as separate volumes (III-A and III-B). Should each approach have its own cover page and table of contents?	Yes, each approach (Technical and Management) should have its own cover page and table of contents.

4349	For the bulleted items on pages 98-100, please confirm: is the information from this bullet list that is to be provided by offerors excluded from the Volume I page count?	Yes, the information from the bullet list that is to be provided by offerors is excluded from the Volume I page count.
4359	What date will be used for the solicitation release date for the purpose of Past Performance submissions?	The solicitation release date will be used to determine the recency of past performance submissions. Performance occurring within the last three (3) years from the solicitation release date will be considered recent.
4360	Page 106. Past Performance Volume, Information from the Offeror. Page 106 mentions "parent" and "affiliate" but not "subsidiary." Please confirm that a similar contract from a wholly owned subsidiary of an other-than-small-business offeror may be used for a past performance if accompanied by a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.	Yes, a similar contract from a wholly owned subsidiary may be used for past performance if accompanied by a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter. The letter must clearly identify the relationship and commitment to performance and utilization of the subsidiary's resources on SEWP VI Task Orders.
4361	Page 107. Item 10 requests "Small Business Subcontracting Plan history, if applicable: provide latest Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and Summary Subcontract Report (SSR)". Please confirm that this request is for an ISR or SSR specifically related to each of the three specific past performance similar contracts.	Yes, the request for the Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) is specifically related to each of the three specific past performance similar contracts.
4364	Will there be a limit to the number of offerors awarded the SEWP VI contract?	No, there is not a limit to the number of offerors that will be awarded the SEWP VI contract. The evaluation and award process will be based on the criteria set forth in the solicitation.
4365	Will the Government accept a statement of work or a validation from the contracting officer validating that the specified work directly maps to one of the approved NASA SEWP VI NAICS codes when the work falls under a larger piece of work that is under another NAICS code not on the approved list for Categories A, B, and C? For example, would the government consider language like, "Offerors may rely on the Product and Service Code (PSC), which is designated in FPDS-NG at the order level or provide additional supporting documentation, such as the Task Order Solicitation identifying the claimed NAICS code, or validation that all potential NAICS codes assigned to the contract are considered in-scope for that task order."	Yes, the Government will accept a statement of work or validation from the contracting officer validating that the specified work directly maps to one of the approved NASA SEWP VI NAICS codes.
4369	The Government states "The formal agreement shall outline the qualification for subcontracting inclusive of facility clearance level..." Will this contract offer orders for classified requirements, and should the contractor expect that a DD-254 will be issued by the ordering agency with the delivery order?	Yes, this contract may offer orders for classified requirements, and the contractor should expect that a DD-254 will be issued by the ordering agency with the delivery order
4380	Please clarify if offerors with complete, current, and accurate SAM records are supposed to complete any or all of the representations and certifications on RFP pages 122 - 151 and include them with their offeror. If any reps and certs are required, please state which volume they should be included with.	Offerors with complete, current, and accurate SAM records should complete only paragraph (b) of the provision if they have completed the annual representations and certification electronically in SAM. If not, they should complete paragraphs (c) through (v) of the provision.
4381	The PPQ form supports Cat-A, Cat-B, and Cat-C. This leaves a lot of blank pages that can confuse the person completing and signing the PPQ. Can the offeror delete unneeded pages from the PPQ form?	Yes, the offeror can delete unneeded pages from the PPQ form to avoid confusion for the person completing and signing the PPQ.
4392	SF 1449 - A.3.7.1 states: "The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments." However, block 10 is blank. Should offerors populate block 10 with the applicable NAICS code?	Yes, offerors should populate block 10 with the applicable NAICS code.
4394	Regarding A.3.6(a): "Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file." Right before this statement, the RFP gives examples of filenames that indicate some requirements, like Letters of Authorization, should be submitted as separate documents. Please clarify if a proposal volume should include all required elements for that volume (including exhibits) in a single PDF with a single filename, or clarify which exhibits/attachments required for a volume should be submitted as their own individual PDFs.	Each proposal volume should be submitted in a single searchable PDF file. However, specific exhibits or attachments required for a volume should be submitted as their own individual PDFs as indicated in the solicitation.
4408	If company ABC is offering as a prime as well as a junior member of a SB JV, can they use the same REPs and PPs for both submissions?	Yes, the same Representations and Certifications (REPs) and Past Performance (PPs) can be used for both submissions if they are relevant and applicable to both the prime and the junior member of the SB JV.
4412	All categories have a combination of NAICS codes with employee count and monetary size standards, and many offerors will likely be small under some categories and large under others. If an offeror is proposing as a small business, should we only complete Exhibit 4 with the NAICS codes we are small under and list the rest as N/A? Or should we list our size and status for all NAICS codes as they appear in our SAM registry?	You should list your size and status for all NAICS codes as they appear in your SAM registry in Exhibit 4. For non-applicable NAICS codes, enter "NA" in the cell.
4414	If company ABC is offering as a prime and is also a junior member of a SB JV that is also offering, can the CMMI, DCCA accounting system and ISO certifications of company ABC used for ABC's prime offering as well as also be used for the JV they are a junior member of?	Yes, the CMMI, DCCA accounting system, and ISO certifications of company ABC can be used for both ABC's prime offering and the JV they are a junior member of, provided that the certifications are relevant and applicable to both.
4415	Would Government please provide guidance on this requirement, including how many years of ISRs and SSRs are needed, what is required for "supporting rationale"?	The Government requires the latest Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) for each of the three specific past performance similar contracts. The supporting rationale should include detailed explanations and justifications for the subcontracting plan history.
4417	Page 94, under Section 3.6 (A)(3), indicates that the Offeror's file name should list 80TECH23R001 as the RFP name, however, it appears the RFP name is 80TECH24R0001. QUESTION: Can NASA confirm that the instructions in this sections should state: "OfferorName_80TECH24R0001_Category Submission"?	Yes, NASA confirms that the instructions should state: "OfferorName_80TECH24R0001_Category Submission".
4421	Contracts with a wide range of services included in their scope may have multiple NAICS codes that could be used to describe the work. For contracts whose award documentation does not have one of the NAICS codes listed in A.1.34, will the government allow the offeror to provide project documentation (such as PWS, SOW, etc.) to support relevance to one of the listed SEWP NAICS codes as long as it is verified by the client via a COR/CO or similar signature?	Yes, the government will allow the offeror to provide project documentation to support relevance to one of the listed SEWP NAICS codes as long as it is verified by the client via a COR/CO or similar signature.
4428	For a non-Mentor/Protege SB JV can all REPs and PPs come from a junior member of that JV?	Yes, for a non-Mentor/Protege SB JV, all Representations and Certifications (REPs) and Past Performance (PPs) can come from a junior member of that JV if they are relevant and applicable.
4439	The following language is provided in the past performance instructions that allows for the use of a single award BPA at the contract level: An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference.  Will the Government confirm this language should also apply for the Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience? A single-awardee BPA often has multiple call orders that apply to a single body of work. For example, a customer may award two call orders in succession where the second call order is just a continuation of the work. Since there is a single awardee, this should be considered the same contract.	Yes, the Government confirms that a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level can be used as a single past performance reference for the Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience. This includes multiple call orders that apply to a single body of work under a single awardee BPA.
4441	Regarding this statement: "An Offeror shall not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the offeror does not have a record of "recent" and "relevant" past performance or if a record of past performance is unavailable. In such cases, the offeror will receive a "Neutral" rating. However, an offeror with favorable, recent, and relevant past performance that meets the average value as specified in A.3.7.2 may be considered more favorably than an offeror with no relevant past performance information." How will an offeror be considered more favorably in a pass/fail rating? It is stated elsewhere clearly that a Neutral rating would still be eligible for award (assuming other factors are met).	An offeror with favorable, recent, and relevant past performance that meets the average value as specified in A.3.7.2 may be considered more favorably in terms of the overall confidence rating assigned to their past performance. This rating reflects a subjective evaluation of the information contained in the written narrative and past performance evaluation input provided through customer questionnaires and other references.
4445	Reference RFP Section A.3.5: This Section currently states that "More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure." Please confirm that this restriction only applies to multiple proposals that are competing for the same Category Group. If that is the intent, will NASA revise the RFP language accordingly?	Yes, the restriction applies to multiple proposals that are competing for the same Category Group. If an offeror is not sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure, more than one proposal may be submitted.

4448	<p>The RFP References the following text:</p> <p>"Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category."</p> <p>"If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated."</p> <p>"More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure."</p> <p>Question: Please confirm the following for a scenario involving the submittal of two proposals from different offerors:</p> <p>1) A Large Business (LB) offeror company with a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) from a Parent Company may submit a prime offer in Category Group B1.</p> <p>2) A Small Business Joint Venture (JV) that includes a subsidiary of the LB (referenced above) may submit a prime offer in Category B2 with a MRCL from the same common Parent Company for the same proposal evaluation element(s).</p> <p>3) Based on these two proposals being submitted by different offerors, they will not be rejected and not evaluated for inclusion of a MRCL for the same proposal evaluation element(s).</p>	<p>1.) Yes, a Large Business (LB) offeror company with a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) from a parent Company may submit a prime offer in Category Group B1. 2.) Yes, a Small Business Joint Venture (JV) that includes a subsidiary of the LB may submit a prime offer in category B2 with a MRCL from the same common Parent Company for the same proposal evaluation element(s). 3.) Yes, based on these two proposals being submitted by different offerors, they will not be rejected for inclusion of a MRCL for the same proposal evaluation element(s).</p>
4451	<p>Section A.3.7.2 (b) Past Performance Questionnaires: "Only one questionnaire is required per past performance reference." Will NASA confirm offerors may submit one past performance questionnaire (PPQ) per reference, even when bidding for multiple category submissions (i.e., one PPQ for the same reference submitted for Category A and Category B)?</p>	<p>Yes, NASA confirms that offerors may submit one past performance questionnaire (PPQ) per reference, even when bidding for multiple category submissions.</p>
4453	<p>If a manufacturer or distributor is awarded a SEWP VI prime contract and its general go to market strategy is to support its partners, can authorized resellers/participating dealers be approved to transact business through its prime contract? Similar to participating dealers on GSA or would there need to be a Teaming Agreement established with another SEWP VI Prime Contractor to leverage the manufacturer/distributors products and services?</p>	<p>Yes, authorized resellers/participating dealers can transact business through its prime contract provided that the necessary agreements and approvals are in place and all Government interaction is conducted through the Prime Contract Holder including Order processing, order fulfillment and payment.</p>
4458	<p>Reference RFP Section A.3.7.1. The responsibility standards at FAR 9.104-4 pertain to determining responsibility of proposed subcontractors. Please confirm that a requirement to address this standard would only apply to an offer which includes a Contractor Team Arrangement involving a prime/subcontractor relationship.</p>	<p>Yes, the requirement to address the responsibility standards at FAR 9.104-4 would only apply to an offer which includes a Contractor Team Arrangement involving a prime/subcontractor relationship.</p>
4459	<p>Reference RFP Section A.3.7.1. The requirements at FAR 9.104-5 and 9.104-7 include the completion of various reps/certs that pertain to responsibility matters. Please confirm that either the completion of those identified at FAR 9.104-5 and 9.104-7 which are included in the solicitation, or through supplementing those included and completing them will suffice for addressing this subsection.</p>	<p>Yes, the completion of the representations and certifications identified at FAR 9.104-5 and 9.104-7 which are included in the solicitation, or through supplementing those included and completing them, will suffice for addressing this subsection.</p>
4461	<p>Reference RFP Section A.3.7.1. The requirements at FAR 9.104-6 pertain to the Government reviewing information that is available within the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System. Please confirm that offerors have no action to take and that proposals do not need to address any requirements at FAR 9.104-6.</p>	<p>Yes, offerors have no action to take and proposals do not need to address any requirements at FAR 9.104-6.</p>
4467	<p>This section states: "If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6. The CTA shall include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member." If offeror believes that it doesn't need a CTA in order to qualify for an award, can CTAs and/or participating dealer agreements be incorporated under a contract after award?</p>	<p>Yes, CTAs and/or participating dealer agreements can be incorporated under a contract after award, provided that they comply with FAR 9.6 and are approved by the Government.</p>
4468	<p>Given the pricing structure of this bid, could Government please confirm that Blocks 23 and 24 are not required, or if required, please clarify the instruction for completion?</p>	<p>The Government confirms that Blocks 23 and 24 are not required for completion. If required, specific instructions for completion will be provided.</p>
4476	<p>A.3.7.1 Offer Volume states "If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6." If an SB Offeror references the use of subcontractors during task order execution in Volume III but does not use a future subcontractor's relevant experience project in Volume I or past performance in Volume II, is the SB Offeror required to submit CTA agreements or other information about anticipated subcontractors on task orders with its proposal?</p>	<p>No, if an SB Offeror references the use of subcontractors during task order execution in Volume III but does not use a future subcontractor's relevant experience project in Volume I or past performance in Volume II, the SB Offeror is not required to submit CTA agreements or other information about anticipated subcontractors on task orders with its proposal.</p>
4480	<p>Should we attach our completed reps and certs from Section V to the Volume I Offer Volume?</p>	<p>Yes, you should attach your completed representations and certifications from Section V to the Volume I Offer Volume.</p>
4483	<p>Would the Government provide Attachment H to be submitted?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.</p>
4488	<p>Would the Government clarify if the "four(4) content representative areas have to be included within all or any of the past performance reference contracts."</p>	<p>The four content representative areas do not have to be included within all past performance reference contracts. Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).</p>
4491	<p>Section A.3.6(A) states margins must be 1 inch. Are cover pages held to the 1-inch margin requirement?</p>	<p>No, cover pages are excluded from the 1-inch margin requirement. Title pages, tabs, and tables of contents are excluded from the page counts and margin requirements specified in the provision.</p>
4494	<p>Would the Government clarify if the list of contract terminated is for each contract reference provided or for the offeror in general across all contracts?</p>	<p>The list of contracts terminated is for the offeror in general across all contracts within the past three years, not just for each contract reference provided.</p>
4499	<p>Is the offeror to fill in Block 10 of the 1449?</p>	<p>Yes, the offeror should populate Block 10 with the applicable NAICS code.</p>
4500	<p>Would the Government confirm is a separate Cover Pages and Indices are required for subfactor A and subfactor B under Volume III?</p>	<p>Yes, separate Cover Pages and Indices are required for subfactor A (Technical Approach) and subfactor B (Management Approach) under Volume III.</p>
4502	<p>A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance. This section says, "If the contract is ongoing, it must have a documented performance history." What is the Government expecting from the Offeror in order to document this performance history.</p>	<p>The Government expects the offeror to provide recent customer evaluations of previous performance, including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback.</p>
4503	<p>Reference IV.A.4.2 sam.gov does not have the option available for "541519** or 541519E "Information Technology value Added Reseller 18" However Our sam.gov profile has &amp; reflects "541519 Other Computer Related Services" . Will this suffice? Or is sam.gov in the process of updating the NAICS code list to add "541519** or 541519E "Information Technology value Added Reseller 18" Please confirm</p>	<p>Yes, "541519 Other Computer Related Services" will suffice. SAM.gov may not have the option available for "541519** or 541519E" but "541519 Other Computer Related Services" is acceptable.</p>
4505	<p>Will the NAICS Code the offer selects when uploading files correlate to block 10 of the 1449?</p>	<p>Yes, the NAICS Code selected when uploading files should correlate to Block 10 of the 1449.</p>
4507	<p>For "Does a corporate or business relationship exist between the firm being evaluated and your organization in Exhibit 2" Would the appropriate response be "N/A" (Not Applicable) for Prime Contractor?</p>	<p>Yes, "N/A" (Not Applicable) would be an appropriate response for a Prime Contractor regarding the corporate or business relationship question in Exhibit 2.</p>
4509	<p>Can NASA please confirm if an Ability One Commitment Letter is required for ALL offerors of ALL categories?</p>	<p>Yes, all Offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter only if proposing under the NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. Reference A.1.35</p>
4510	<p>Is the offeror to fill in block 10 of the 1449?</p>	<p>Yes, the offeror should populate Block 10 with the applicable NAICS code.</p>

4511	For a distributor or manufacturer bidding as a prime in Category A selling to resellers, with no history of direct sales to their federal end customers: Since there are no contract numbers or task orders to reference and this is considered commercial, will the past performance of our sales and business relationships with specific resellers, fulfilling orders over the past three years, be acceptable? Would we use the sales over the past 3 years or one single Purchase order from that reseller that meets the other than small requirements?	Yes, the past performance of sales and business relationships with specific resellers fulfilling orders over the past three years will be acceptable. You may use the sales over the past three years or one single Purchase Order from that reseller that meets the other than small requirements.
4513	The bottom of page 105 of the RFP states "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for a minimum of 1 but no more than 3 of your most recent similar contracts..." Page 108 of the RFP states "Offerors identified as Other Than Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance referenced showcasing technology solutions for at least four content representative areas..." Please verify that the number of past performance projects to be submitted is limited to three, and those three projects must show coverage of at least four Content Representative Areas for Category B, Other Than Small offerors.	Yes, the number of past performance projects to be submitted is limited to three, and those three projects must show coverage of at least four Content Representative Areas for Category B, Other Than Small offerors.
4516	The bottom of page 105 of the RFP states "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for a minimum of 1 but no more than 3 of your most recent similar contracts..." Page 109 Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix lists four projects. Please verify that only three projects should be submitted and referenced in the Past Performance Matrix.	Yes, only three projects should be submitted and referenced in the Past Performance Matrix.
4518	Reference Final RFP, Section A.3.7.1, page 103, Category B. This section states "Each Project [REP] must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract...". Please confirm that "total value size" is the total contract value to include all options, not the size of the project based on dollars obligated to date.	Yes, "total value size" refers to the total contract value, including all options, not just the size of the project based on dollars obligated to date.
4524	"On Exhibit 2, Section 1, F. Period of Performance (including options) From: ___ and To: ___ and G. Total Contract Value – are these values intended to reflect only exercised options, or should they reflect unexercised options as well?	The values should reflect both exercised and unexercised options.
4540	In past performance Exhibit 2A, the questionnaire is structured for US government customer to designate the contract fee. If commercial agreements are used, where the customer does not have visibility into that fee, is it acceptable for them to enter N/A as a response?	Yes, it is acceptable for commercial agreements to enter N/A as a response for the contract fee if the customer does not have visibility into that fee.
4551	In Section A.3.6 (B), a JV agreement is requested in the proposal submission table (page 95). Per page 98 instructions on the offer volume in A.3.7.1, what documentation would you like us to provide? Is the Government requesting that we submit JV Agreement/Documentation?	Yes, the Government is requesting that you submit JV Agreement/Documentation as part of the proposal submission.
4553	Is cross-teaming allowed and if so, can references be used across those teams even if they are in the same category?	Yes, cross-teaming is allowed. References can be used across those teams even if they are in the same category, provided that the references are relevant and meet the requirements specified in the solicitation.
4554	Could the government please clarify the number of awards to be given for each category?	There is no predetermined number of contracts to be awarded.
4556	For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor. Please define the difference between a "single contract" and a "task order". Offeror holds a single-award contract where multiple call orders are placed over five years, but it is still considered a single contract. Will the government provide clarification on what constitutes a single contract	A "single contract" refers to a standalone contract awarded to a contractor, whereas a "task order" is an order for services placed against an established contract or agreement, such as an IDIQ or BPA. A single-award contract where multiple call orders are placed over five years is still considered a single contract.
4563	Do the three past performances references need to collectively address four content representative areas or does each past performance reference need to hit four content representative areas?	The three past performance references need to collectively address the required content representative areas. Each past performance reference does not need to individually cover all four content representative areas.
4569	How should the past performance of proposed subcontractors be presented? Will NASA evaluate the past performance of subcontractors independently or as part of the prime contractor's performance?	The past performance of proposed subcontractors should be presented as part of the prime contractor's performance. NASA will evaluate the past performance of subcontractors as part of the overall past performance evaluation of the prime contractor.
4570	Please provide clarification regarding whether it's permissible to utilize the same projects for REPs and Past Performance in the same category.	Yes, it is permissible to utilize the same projects for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) and Past Performance in the same category, provided that the projects meet the requirements specified in the solicitation.
4572	If the company's 8(a) certification expires, will it be off-ramped from NASA SEWP VI upon graduating from the 8(a)?	No, if a company's 8(a) certification expires, it will not be automatically off-ramped from NASA SEWP VI. The company must continue to meet all other requirements and qualifications specified in the solicitation and contract.
4573	Is it permissible for an offeror to act as the prime contractor while simultaneously serving as the prime contractor for a joint venture (JV) under the SEWP VI contract?	Yes, it is permissible for an offeror to act as the prime contractor while simultaneously serving as the prime contractor for a joint venture (JV) under the SEWP VI contract, provided that the offeror complies with the requirements and restrictions specified in the solicitation.
4575	Is it permissible for an offeror to act as the prime contractor while simultaneously serving as the prime contractor for a joint venture (JV) under the SEWP VI contract?	Yes, it is permissible for an offeror to act as the prime contractor while simultaneously serving as the prime contractor for a joint venture (JV) under the SEWP VI contract, provided that the offeror complies with the requirements and restrictions specified in the solicitation.
4579	How are the Past Performances and REPs evaluated for offerors who use the same PPs and REPs for different proposals, e.g. as a Prime in Category B and as a JV in Category B?	Past Performances and REPs will be evaluated based on their relevance and quality. If the same PPs and REPs are used for different proposals, they will be evaluated independently for each proposal based on the criteria specified in the solicitation.
4585	A.3.7.2(a) states: "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference."  Can a commercial Master Service Agreement (MSA) be submitted as a single past performance reference, the same as a single-award IDIQ or BPA?	Yes, a commercial Master Service Agreement (MSA) can be submitted as a single past performance reference, similar to a single-award IDIQ or BPA.
4587	For a Small Business CTA Offeror made up of an SB JV and SB CTA members, is it allowable for the SB JV itself, either member of the SB JV, and other SB CTA members to be able to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas?	Yes, it is allowable for the SB JV itself, either member of the SB JV, and other SB CTA members to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas.
4588	A.3.7.2(a) states: "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference."  If an offeror has two single-award IDIQs/BPAs, can they both be submitted as past performance references (one each)? Or is there a limit of only one single-award IDIQ/BPA being submitted per offer in the Past Performance volume?	Yes, an offeror can submit two single-award IDIQs/BPAs as past performance references, provided that each reference meets the requirements specified in the solicitation.
4589	Can we use contract-level past performances (at the IDIQ or GWAC level) to calculate our average annual value as a past performance reference?	No, offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference. Only single-award IDIQs/BPAs can be used to calculate the average annual value as a past performance reference.
4597	For a Small Business CTA Offeror made up of an SB JV and SB CTA members, is it allowable for the SB JV itself, either member of the SB JV, and other SB CTA members to be able to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas?	Yes, it is allowable for the SB JV itself, either member of the SB JV, and other SB CTA members to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas.
4601	For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor. Please define the difference between a "single contract" and a "task order". Offeror holds a single-award contract where multiple call orders are placed over five years, but it is still considered a single contract. Will the government provide clarification on what constitutes a single contract?	A "single contract" refers to a standalone contract awarded to a contractor, whereas a "task order" is an order for services placed against an established contract or agreement, such as an IDIQ or BPA. A single-award contract where multiple call orders are placed over five years is still considered a single contract.
4612	For recent customer evaluations of past performance, may offers provide evaluations for projects outside of the 3 they are submitting as past performance?	Yes, offers may provide evaluations for projects outside of the three they are submitting as past performance, provided that the evaluations are relevant and meet the requirements specified in the solicitation.
4613	Are small businesses exempt from submitting copies of recent Individual Subcontract Reports and Summary Subcontract Reports even if they have submitted them in the past? If not, can the Government add a look back period? For example 2 years.	Small businesses are not required to submit Individual Subcontract Reports and Summary Subcontract Reports. The requirement for submitting subcontracting plans and reports applies to prime contract holders that are other than small businesses.

4619	"The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments." Can the government please confirm that including responses to Section V of the RFP in Volume I will meet the requirement for completing "required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments"?	Yes, including responses to Section V of the RFP in Volume I will meet the requirement for completing "required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments" as specified in the instructions for the Offer Volume.
4620	A.3.7.1 Offeror Volume - Page 99 - 6th bullet: MRCLs instructions, and 7th bullet: "information from first-tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small business...".  Please confirm that the 6th and 7th bullets are independent from each other, and large business offerors are able to use parent company, affiliate, division, and/or subsidiary resources to meet Phase 1 and Phase 2 minimum requirements with an appropriate MRCL?	The 6th and 7th bullets are independent from each other. Large business offerors are able to use parent company, affiliate, division, and/or subsidiary resources to meet Phase 1 and Phase 2 minimum requirements with an appropriate Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL).
4624	Does a Joint Venture (JV) without an SBA-approved Mentor Protégé Agreement (MPA) qualify as an offeror to submit a proposal? If so, are there any restrictions on such JV offerors?	A Joint Venture (JV) without an SBA-approved Mentor Protégé Agreement (MPA) qualifies as an offeror to submit a proposal. However, the JV must have a corresponding UEI Number in SAM.gov, and all proposal submission documents must be in the name of the existing Joint Venture or prime.
4630	A.3.6 (A) Proposal format and Organization - The top of page 95 implies that LOAs should be included as separate files. Will the Government please clarify if LOAs, MRCLs, ISO certificates and Exhibits should be submitted as separate files, or embedded into a single document for Volume I?	LOAs, MRCLs, ISO certificates, and Exhibits should be submitted as separate files, not embedded into a single document for Volume I.
4649	A.3.1.7 states: "Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes will need to provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter, which will be treated as contractual promises and will be incorporated as an attachment to the resulting master contract."  Scenario: Offeror is a SB subsidiary with a parent company. Offeror on their own meets all of the requirements for Phase 1 Mandatory Experience, Phase 2 Past Performance, and Phase 3 Technical Approach. However, Offeror follows its parent company's policies for SCRM and Sustainability.  In this scenario, should Offeror submit a MRCL to cover their Management Approach?	Yes, the Offeror should submit a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) to cover their Management Approach if they are following their parent company's policies for SCRM and Sustainability.
4650	A.3.1.7 states: "Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes will need to provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter, which will be treated as contractual promises and will be incorporated as an attachment to the resulting master contract."  If an offeror is a subsidiary with a management organization that leverages resources from its parent company, must they submit a MRCL to cover their Management Approach?	Yes, if an offeror is a subsidiary with a management organization that leverages resources from its parent company, they must submit a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) to cover their Management Approach.
4653	For the required list of contact information in Volume II, can the government confirm that this is excluded from the page count?	Yes, the required list of contact information in Volume II is excluded from the page count.
4660	Section A.3.6 (b) pg.96 calls out the technical approach and management approach as "Technical Approach Volume III-A" and "Management Approach Volume III-B." Please confirm whether Volume III-A and III-B need to submit as separate files?	The Mission Suitability which represent Volume III should be submitted as a single PDF file that includes both Subfactor A (Technical Approach) and Subfactor B (Management Approach). Each subfactor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables.
4666	Section A.3.7.1 pg.98 of the RFP states that the signed SF 1449 and pages containing the completed clauses, provisions, and attachments shall be included in the proposal. Please confirm whether these completed pages need to be submitted as separate files, or if they can be appended to the Offer Volume proposal document?	The signed SF 1449 and pages containing the completed clauses, provisions, and attachments can be appended to the Offer Volume proposal document.
4670	Section A.3.7.1 (a) pg.100 of the RFP states that the Offeror shall provide a 3-rd part compliance verification with the ISO 9001 standard via current 9001:2015 certification. Please clarify whether the certification needs to be submitted as a separate file in the Offer Volume folder, or whether the certification can be appended to the Offer Volume proposal file?	The ISO 9001:2015 certification can be appended to the Offer Volume proposal file.
4676	A.3.7.2 (b) pg.110 - Do copies of the PPQs need to be submitted as stand-alone documents, or can they be appended to the Past Performance Volume proposal document?	Copies of the Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) can be appended to the Past Performance Volume proposal document.
4683	Would the Government please clarify how the non-duplication requirement in Section 3.6.B.7 will interact with the past performance requirements in Section A.3.7.2 (a) in the following scenario?  Company 1 and Company 2 are both Small Businesses submitting a proposal for SEWP VI Category B. They have not formed any teaming or JV relationship with one another and they have no affiliation for the purposes of their SEWP VI offers. However, both firms leverage the same experience as part of their respective past performance volumes, with Company 1 citing the work they individually performed as the prime contractor and Company 2 citing the work they individually performed as the sole subcontractor. Because they are both citing experience from the same program, their references unintentionally contain significant duplicate content. In this instance, the two companies reference the same information regarding the "place of performance," "major technical problems," and "deviations or waivers... granted by the customer."  Given the scenario above, would the Government please clarify if Company 1 and Company 2 risk potential disqualification under Section 3.6.B.7 of the RFP?	No. The Solicitation section regarding duplication does not apply to past performance experience.
4685	It states that "The Government will not consider performance on a newly-awarded contract that has no documented performance history (i.e., projects that have been under contract for less than six months)." Can the government confirm that "under contract" is calculated from date of contract award?	Yes, the term "under contract" is calculated from the date of contract award.
4686	According to section A.3.7.2(a)(12) pg.108, the RFP states that the offer must provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas (Cat A) for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). Please confirm that the past performance references, when combined, need to be relevant to a minimum of four (4) content representative areas?	Yes, the past performance references, when combined, need to be relevant to a minimum of four (4) content representative areas for Category A.
4689	In Section A.3.7.1.a of the RFP the Government states that "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award." Should a small business offeror need to utilize a Relevant Experience Project (REP) from a subcontractor would it be looked upon as less favorable during the evaluation process as compared to a small business offeror that supplies three (3) REPs of its own?	The document does not explicitly state that utilizing a Relevant Experience Project (REP) from a subcontractor would be looked upon as less favorable. However, it does indicate that information from first-tier subcontractors will be taken into consideration only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate the necessary capabilities and/or past performance.
4694	It states that "Only contracts with performance within three (3) years from the solicitation release date will be evaluated." Would the government allow offerors to submit relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of submission date?	The document specifies that only contracts with performance within three (3) years from the solicitation release date will be evaluated.
4698	Are offerors allowed to utilize the same three (3) projects for both the Mandatory Experience section and the Past Performance Volume? Please advise.	Yes.
4699	It states that "Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent and be from a different requirement." Would the government allow offerors to submit relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of submission date?	The document specifies that relevant experience projects must be completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
4708	Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME-Please confirm if OTSB prime bidders are allowed to reference past performance information from affiliates, and predecessor companies and that the information will be evaluated or taken into consideration?	Yes, OTSB prime bidders are allowed to reference past performance information from affiliates and predecessor companies, and the information will be evaluated or taken into consideration.
4712	Can the Government clarify the requirements for Past Performance contracts cited? The RFP indicates that these contracts need to be "related" to SEWP VI NAICS, but not necessarily in those NAICS. However, during the SEWP VI Industry Day update on 6/4/24, the language used during the RFP Overview indicated that the NAICS must match the in-scope SEWP NAICS, possibly even within the same NAICS as the master for each Category.	The document specifies that past performance submissions must relate to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.

4721	When it comes to Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCL) between SB Prime offerors and their subcontractors (for those who are utilizing sub past performance), can you confirm that as long as the MRCL states that the financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources of the subcontractor will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract, no additional text or information is required in the MRCL?	Yes, as long as the MRCL states that the financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources of the subcontractor will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract, no additional text or information is required in the MRCL.
4722	Does a customer's noncompletion of a PPQ disqualify an Offeror or does it have a neutral rating if no PPQ is returned? i.e., is there a negative or a neutral attribution to noncompletion?	If a customer's noncompletion of a PPQ occurs, the offeror will receive a "Neutral" rating if no PPQ is returned.
4723	According to Solicitation Document - pg 96, The Table depicting the different volumes and page counts mentions that Management Approach Volume III-B has a page limit of 15 pages, while below that it's mentioned as "Excluded". Request the Agency to kindly clarify on this.	The sections listed - (a) Cover Page, Indices, Exhibit 5 (Proposal C-SCRM Attestation Form) - in that row are excluded.
4728	Who is required to sign the MRCL in the case of a SB Prime contractor utilizing past performance from a subcontractor?	The MRCL must be signed by a Corporate Officer/Official for both the Offeror and the Meaningful Relationship Entity.
4735	1) Please confirm Past Performance can be used of Parent Company, Subsidiaries and Affiliates if a Relationship Commitment letter is provided within our proposal. 2) To bid Category A - does the offeror need to have 1-3 contracts for the PPQ and 4 additional contracts for the Relevant Experience table and summaries?	1) Yes, past performance can be used from Parent Company, Subsidiaries, and Affiliates if a Relationship Commitment letter is provided within the proposal. 2) The same contracts can be used for REPs and Past Performance.
4738	Please confirm that commercial contracts include State, Local, and Educational contracts.	Yes, commercial contracts include State, Local, and Educational contracts.
4744	How is the SEWP Program Office going to evaluate an offeror can manage a contract of SEWP's complexity and scale by single tasks/deliver orders over \$2.5M. Wouldn't it be better to have offerors include similar GWAC and Federal contracts (i.e. - GSA, SEWP V, ITES-SW2, ADMC-3, etc.) to showcase our capability to manage a contract of SEWP's size and complexity? As the RFQ states right now, we are unable to reference these contracts as they are multi-award GWACs/FED Contracts. Please reconsider this approach.	The document specifies that offerors should provide details relating to their management and program capabilities in Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) and Government Wide Acquisition Contracts (GWACs).
4747	Exhibit 4 - NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk Sheet, Do we have to mention Yes/No in Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk because the drop down has no selection criteria or we have to mention the specific value in size standards.	Each Offeror must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s) for each NAICS within the category in which they are proposing by entering either LB = Large Business; SB = Small Business; SDB = Small Disadvantaged Business; WOSB = Women Owned Small Business; EDWOSB = Economic Disadvantaged Small Business; VOSB = Veteran Owned Small Business; SDVOSB = Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business; or HUB = HUBZone. For non-applicable NAICS codes, please enter "NA" in the cell.
4767	Please confirm that Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is excluded from the page limitations in Vol II.	Yes, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is excluded from the page limitations in Volume II.
4768	Please confirm that Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is excluded from the page limitations in Vol II.	Yes, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is excluded from the page limitations in Volume II.
4771	Does the government mean that references for past performance and references for mandatory experience (i.e. Exhibit 1 REP Part II) may be the same? Or that mandatory experience (the entirety of Exhibit 1) and references for past performance may be the same?	The government means that references for past performance and references for mandatory experience (i.e., Exhibit 1 REP Part II) may be the same. This allows offerors to use the same projects to satisfy both requirements.
4775	Reference RFP A.3.7.1(b) an A.3.7.2(a): Please confirm that Other Transaction Agreement/Authority (OTAs) that meet all specified past performance criteria are deemed equivalent to single award IDIQs/contracts and therefore qualify as compliant submissions for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) in Category B/Volume 1, and Past Performance References in Volume 2.	Yes, Other Transaction Agreement/Authority (OTAs) that meet all specified past performance criteria are deemed equivalent to single award IDIQs/contracts and therefore qualify as compliant submissions for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) in Category B/Volume 1, and Past Performance References in Volume 2.
4776	If a small business offeror is bidding in Category C, can the SB offeror utilize past performance and REPs from a large business subcontractor with which they have a teaming agreement and Meaningful Relationship Commitment letter for this solicitation?	Yes, a small business offeror can utilize past performance and REPs from a large business subcontractor with which they have a teaming agreement and Meaningful Relationship Commitment letter for this solicitation.
4777	Please confirm that evidence of ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification can be shown by any member of the CTA.	Yes, evidence of ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification can be shown by any member of the Contractor Teaming Arrangement (CTA) or Joint Venture (JV). The certification must be in the name of the JV, prime contractor in the CTA, or in the name of one of the companies in the JV.
4779	If a small business offeror is bidding in Category B - SMALL BUSINESS POOL, can the SB offeror utilize past performance and REPs from a large business subcontractor with which they have a teaming agreement and MRCL for this solicitation?	Yes, a small business offeror can utilize past performance and REPs from a large business subcontractor with which they have a teaming agreement and Meaningful Relationship Commitment letter for this solicitation.
4794	The table on page 96 detailing proposal content and page limitations has the Mission Suitability Volume broken down in two smaller, what the Government is calling "volumes" - seemingly each with their own cover page and indices, as if these are to be two separately submitted files. Is the intention for offerors to submit their technical approach and management approach in ONE volume - called Mission Suitability, or must these be broken out into two separate documents?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subcontractor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF file with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
4795	The Government states, "All pages of Volumes I, II, and III shall be numbered and identified with the Offeror's name, RFP number and date." Is it the Government's intention for Offerors to number, identify, and date pages of exhibits and other Government-provided fillable files including those excluded from page limitations?	Yes, the Government's intention is for Offerors to number, identify, and date all pages of Volumes I, II, and III, including exhibits and other Government-provided fillable files, even if they are excluded from page limitations.
4799	Pages 106 - 108, Past Performance Writeup, Section A.3.7.2, The government lists items 1-12 under the Past Performance profile requirements. Items 1-9 clearly pertain to a single project, however, items 10-12 seem to pertain to the company as a whole. Can the government please clarify if items 10-12 are to be submitted per project or should they be submitted for the company as a whole.	Items 10-12 should be submitted for the company as a whole. These items provide a broader view of the company's past performance history and overall capabilities, which are not limited to individual projects.
4800	The Government requests that Offerors submit answers to questions 1-6 as part of their past performance volume. However, this information is present in Exhibit 2 - PPQ which is submitted separately. Does the Government intend for Offerors to submit answers to questions 1-6 in both their .zip submission and the PPQ submissions?	Yes, the Government intends for Offerors to submit answers to questions 1-6 in both their .zip submission and the PPQ submissions. The Past Performance Volume should include the required information as specified in the RFP.
4810	RFP page 99A.3.7.1 Per the RFP, "Each applicable proposal element with a Meaningful Relationship from those listed in the Proposal Submission Table in Section A.3.6(B) must be clearly and specifically identified" There does not appear to be a list of proposal elements in Section A.3.6(B). Please clarify.	The list of proposal elements in Section A.3.6(B) refers to the elements required for the proposal submission, such as the Offer Volume, Past Performance Volume, and Mission Suitability Volume.
4811	Can you clarify what you mean by "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that creates a CTA will not be evaluated." Does this mean if two other than small companies form a CTA (such as a JV) then none of their subs will be evaluated for REP or past performance?	Yes, if two other than small companies form a CTA (such as a JV), then none of their subcontractors will be evaluated for Relevant Experience Projects (REP) or past performance.
4814	If I am a Prime offeror using "Project X" as a past performance project in Category C, can I also provide my "Project X" to be part of the bid of a different Prime offeror to whom I am a subcontractor on their team in the same category?	Yes, a Prime offeror can use "Project X" as a past performance project in Category C and also provide "Project X" to be part of the bid of a different Prime offeror to whom they are a subcontractor on their team in the same category.
4820	RFP page 108A.3.7.2(a).12 Please confirm if the Content Representative Area descriptions being requested here are the same as the Technical Area relevance descriptions being requested for each of the Past Performance projects being submitted.	Yes, the Content Representative Area descriptions being requested are the same as the Technical Area relevance descriptions being requested for each of the Past Performance projects being submitted.
4821	RFP 97A.3.6 (B)(7) Company A is a large business with Subsidiary X, and Company A is submitting as a prime on Category B. Subsidiary X is also part of an existing M-P Joint Venture. Can Subsidiary X be part of both Category B bids, and use the same REPS and Past Performance in both bids?	Yes, Subsidiary X can be part of both Category B bids and use the same REPS and Past Performance in both bids.
4822	Page 63, A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting, Could the Government please remove the AbilityOne subcontracting requirement for small businesses?	No this requirement will not be removed. All offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter only if proposing under the NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. Reference A.1.35
4828	Exhibit 2-PastPerfQues-SEWP V page 106 Can a PPQ rater be a COR, customer PM, or other government official with knowledge of the contract?	Yes, a PPQ rater can be a Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), customer Project Manager (PM), or other government official with knowledge of the contract.
4830	Page 106, A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, a) Information from the Offeror, In reference to Categories B and C past performance, is the minimum average annual cost/fee incurred based on the total contract value (TCV) or total invoiced value?	The minimum average annual cost/fee incurred is based on the total contract value (TCV).
4840	Do an offeror need to submit the three things together, i.e. narrative on past performances, past performance questionnaires and CPARS for the past performances being submitted?	Yes, the offeror needs to submit the narrative on past performances, past performance questionnaires, and CPARS for the past performances being submitted.

4849	If a company bids as Other Than Small Business in Category B, can the company be a subcontractor to another company in the same category and use the same past performance?	Yes, a company that bids as Other Than Small Business in Category B can be a subcontractor to another company in the same category and use the same past performance.
4850	Do we need to include the proposal validity period on the cover of each volume? If not, where should this be stated?	The proposal validity period does not need to be included on the cover of each volume. It should be stated in the Offer Volume as part of the proposal submission requirements.
4856	A.3.7.2 (a), Page 109 - The Government states "If the Offeror performed as subcontractor, insert an "S" accompanied by a subscript number to indicate the subcontract tier. If the Offeror performed as a prime contractor, insert a "P" in the appropriate block." Can the Government please provide clarifications in the instance of a prime offeror that is citing a past performance from a joint venture that it is a managing joint venture partner in?	In the instance of a prime offeror citing a past performance from a joint venture where it is a managing joint venture partner, the offeror should insert a "P" in the appropriate block to indicate that it performed as a prime contractor. This applies even if the past performance is from a joint venture.
4862	Can the government please confirm that companies are not precluded from bidding as a prime in the large business subcategory and as a sub in the small business category? e.g Company 1 may bid as large business in Category B (Large) and Company 1 may support a small business as a subcontractor or, if Company 1 is in a JV, it can support its protegee in Category B (Small)	Yes, companies are not precluded from bidding as a prime in the large business subcategory and as a subcontractor in the small business category.
4863	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS pg 96/Proposal Submission Table Is the (b) Mandatory Experience Exhibit – Exhibit 1? *1*	Yes, the (b) Mandatory Experience Exhibit refers to Exhibit 1.
4864	In reference to "The questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and/or responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of your firm's performance. Only one questionnaire is required per past performance reference"; if an Offeror requests the Questionnaire be completed by both the technical customer and the responsible Contracting Officer and two questionnaires are received by government for the same past performance, how will that impact the evaluation of that past performance?	If two questionnaires are received by the government for the same past performance, the government will consider both questionnaires in the evaluation of that past performance.
4875	Reference: Page 59 Section A.1.32 Small Business Subcontracting Plan and Reports states 'SEWP VI does not have recommended Subcontracting Goals but in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation 19.704 will require prime contract holders that are other than small businesses to submit commercial subcontracting plans at the summary level only for agencies.  Question: FAR 19.704 (Subcontracting plan requirements) applies to individual, master, and commercial subcontracting plans.  • Please confirm that offerors may submit individual and master subcontracting plans in response to this solicitation, and a commercial subcontracting plan would only be required for an offeror with an active commercial plan.  • If a commercial subcontracting plan is a requirement for contract award, may an offeror develop a commercial subcontracting plan to submit with its proposal for approval/execution by NASA?	Offerors may submit individual and master subcontracting plans in response to this solicitation. A commercial subcontracting plan would only be required for an offeror with an active commercial plan. If a commercial subcontracting plan is a requirement for contract award, an offeror may develop a commercial subcontracting plan to submit with its proposal for approval/execution by NASA.
4880	Does the Government intend that the Offeror provide a Program Management section response for Category B in both the Program Management and Technical Approach sections?	Yes, the Government intends that the Offeror provide a Program Management section response for Category B in both the Program Management and Technical Approach sections.
4898	Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 96; A.3.6; The Proposal Submission Table lists the volume numbers for Technical Approach and III-A and Management Approach as III-B. Are these required to be separate files, or does the Government require only one file for Volume III Mission Suitability?	Yes, The documents associated with the Technical Approach should be placed in one folder and the documents associated with the Management Approach should be placed in a separate folder. Each subcontractor should have its own unique cover pages, table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables. The two folders should then be combined into a single Volume III PDF File with the exception of Exhibit 5 which must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas The Volume III PDF and Exhibit 5 Excel file should then be included in the Proposal zip file. (Note: if an O-TTPS Certification is provided in place of Exhibit 5, include the certificate in Volume III and do not provide Exhibit 5).
4899	Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 98; A.3.7; In Volume I Offer, what are the requirements for acknowledging amendments in the proposal?	In Volume I Offer, the Offeror must complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30, and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror acknowledges having read, understood, and agreed to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted in the proposal.
4907	Can the Government clarify if the proposal submission table on pg. 96 is meant to include customer evaluations, as the instructions on pg. 110 require customer evaluations to be submitted directly to the Government?	The proposal submission table on page 96 does not include customer evaluations as they are to be submitted directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email to PastPerformance@sewp.nasa.gov
4908	Can Single Award IDIQ contracts use multiple Task Orders to meet funding criteria? The RFP states on page 106 that "an Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for a single past performance reference." Please confirm that Bidders should total the annual average funding of Task Orders under the single award IDIQ to meet the past performance reference funding threshold.	Yes, Bidders should total the annual average funding of Task Orders under the single award IDIQ to meet the past performance reference funding threshold.
4910	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance (pg 105). The Past Performance section of the RFP states, "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as they relate to the NAICS code being used for competition."  Question: Can the offeror use past performance citations with NAICS codes from the provided lists (pages 62,63) for past performances in addition to the NAICS code the offeror uses for their submission as long as they are similar in scope, magnitude, and complexity to what is required for the category?	Yes, the offeror can use past performance citations with NAICS codes from the provided lists (pages 62, 63) for past performances in addition to the NAICS code the offeror uses for their submission as long as they are similar in scope, magnitude, and complexity to what is required for the category.
4911	Recommend the Government consider allowing a collection of Single Award IDIQ TOs as an REP for Category A, B, & C projects within Volume I for L 3.7.1(b) mandatory experience so long as the collection of TOs meets the minimum REP qualification requirements for total value and Period of Performance. Since the past performance volume also allows inclusion of an Single Award IDIQ within Volume II, this will maximize offerors' ability to demonstrate relevant capabilities.	The Government allows the inclusion of Single Award IDIQ contracts as past performance references. Offerors should ensure that the collection of Task Orders meets the minimum REP qualification requirements for total value and Period of Performance.
4912	Can the Government clarify if the proposal submission table on pg. 96 is meant to include customer evaluations, as the instructions on pg. 110 require customer evaluations to be submitted directly to the Government?	The proposal submission table on page 96 does not include customer evaluations as they are to be submitted directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email to PastPerformance@sewp.nasa.gov
4915	The Small Business Subcontracting Plan is listed as being part of Vol I in the Proposal Submission Table (page 95), but not specifically included within the instructions (3.7.1) or evaluation criteria (A.4.2). However, the instructions do say offerors are required to "complete required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments," and the Small Business Subcontracting Plan is a clause—clause A.1.32 (page 59). Attachment I is referenced as the Subcontracting Plan template, but not provided with the RFP and the date is listed as TBD. Please clarify if the Small Business Subcontracting Plan is to be submitted after award.	The Small Business Subcontracting Plan is required to be submitted as part of Volume I Offer. It is included within the instructions to complete required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments.
4916	Will the Government please confirm that the required "list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number" is excluded from page count.	Yes, the required "list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number" is excluded from the page count.
4918	Please provide a definition of Attachment H as it is referenced in Section A.1.31 of the RFP but not released with the final RFP material.	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) upon award. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
4922	Will the Government please confirm that the required "list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number" is excluded from page count.	Yes, the required "list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number" is excluded from the page count.
4930	Will the Government please confirm that any "(c) Independent Past Performance Information" is excluded from page count?	Yes, any "(c) Independent Past Performance Information" is excluded from the page count.
4931	Are subcontractor past performances considered federal or commercial?	Subcontractor past performances can be considered both federal and commercial, depending on the nature of the contracts they were involved in.

4937	If bidding multiple categories, is there one past performance volume for all or separate past performance volumes?	If bidding multiple categories, separate past performance volumes are required for each category.
4942	Can offerors use past performance citations that are similar in scope, magnitude, and complexity to the requirements of SEWP VI if the awarding Contracting Officer assigned a NAICS code that is not reflected on the tables on pages 62 and 63?	The instructions concerning NAICS codes and Past Performance will be clarified in an upcoming Amendment.
4945	The requirement states that the "Offeror shall also provide...Offeror's subcontracting plan and Ability One Commitment Letter, if applicable." Will the Government please confirm that the subcontracting plan is due with proposal submission?	Yes, the subcontracting plan is due with the proposal submission as part of Volume I Offer.
4948	Will the Government use any sources other than Offeror provided information to validate representations made on the Offeror's completed Exhibit 1 - REP Table?	Offerors are responsible for providing accurate and truthful information. If there is a need to verify information, the Government will use appropriate methods to do so.
4959	The RFP refers to both Mandatory Technical Areas and Content Representative Areas. Our interpretation is that Mandatory Technical Areas are those areas to which Offerors must write in the Offer Volume in Exhibit 1 and that Content Representative Areas are those areas to which Offerors must write in the Past Performance Volume. Please confirm or correct our interpretation.	Your interpretation is correct. Mandatory Technical Areas are those areas to which Offerors must write in the Offer Volume in Exhibit 1, and Content Representative Areas are those areas to which Offerors must write in the Past Performance Volume.
4966	Offerors are required to provide a "summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form." Will the Government please exclude the matrix from the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume?	Yes, the Government will exclude the matrix from the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume.
4969	The Offeror is required to "include a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." Will the Government please exclude the matrix from the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume?	Yes, the Government will exclude the list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent from the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume.
4970	We respectfully request the SEWP Team reconsider the level of detail required in the PPQ Form, particularly section 6. By providing examples with numerical values, there is a concern that the submitter will misinterpret the requirement and complete the PPQ incorrectly. Because Past Performance is critical to an Offeror's proposal evaluation and acceptance, it is imperative that the information provided to submitters is as simple, and clear as possible, to avoid mistakes. Considering this, we have three questions: 1. Since Federal Contract financial data is publicly available in FPDS, has the SEWP Team considered removing the financial value requirement from the PPQ form or allowing an attachment of the PDF from FPDS in the submission? 2. Can the SEWP Team amend the evaluation criteria to allow CPARS data in place of a PPQ form (when available)?	1. The SEWP Team has not indicated any changes to the requirement for financial value in the PPQ form. The financial value requirement remains as specified. 2. The SEWP Team has not indicated any amendments to allow CPARS data in place of a PPQ form. The PPQ form remains a required submission.
4981	When considering total contract values, if the minimum is \$2M annual value for a project, is that for the total contract value, even if performed as a sub? Example: Say we are submitting work we performed as a sub in the past performance volume, and our sub value is \$500,000 yearly, which is under the minimum \$2M, but the prime's value is \$10M yearly. Would the sub past performance still be accepted if the prime contract value meets or exceeds the requirements?	No, the sub past performance would not be accepted if the sub value is under the minimum \$2M annual value. The minimum value requirement applies to the work performed by the Offeror, not the prime contract value.
4982	Per GSA requirements for CTAs, please confirm evidence of ISO 9001 and CMMI Certifications only need to be provided by the identified CTA Team Leader as the use for the certifications will be at the discretion of the Task Order level since such certifications are not applicable to all scopes of work.	Yes, evidence of ISO 9001 and CMMI Certifications only needs to be provided by the identified CTA Team Leader.
4990	If an Offeror is submitting for different Categories as both a Prime and a member of a Joint Venture, can the same completed Past Performance Questionnaire (Exhibit 2) reference be submitted in support of the proposal responses in both categories?	Yes, the same completed Past Performance Questionnaire (Exhibit 2) reference can be submitted in support of the proposal responses in both categories.
4996	Please confirm offerors are allowed to remove instructions in forms to allow use of the space for content of importance for evaluation purposes?	No, offerors are not allowed to remove instructions in forms. The forms must be submitted as specified in the RFP.
4998	If the Offeror is a Joint Venture and submitting Past Performance completed by each of the member companies, can the Government confirm that it will accept completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) (Exhibit 2) that reflect the names of the JV Member companies that performed the work, and not the name of the Joint Venture itself?	Yes, the Government will accept completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) (Exhibit 2) that reflect the names of the JV Member companies that performed the work.
4999	Can the government please confirm that the past performance questionnaire only needs to be sent to the references for the 3 contracts for the REP section, and not for all contracts listed in the past performance matrix?	No, the past performance questionnaire needs to be sent to the references for the contracts in the Past Performance section.
5001	Can an 8a offeror submit more than 2 REPs in Volume I?	No, an 8a offeror cannot submit more than 2 REPs in Volume I. The RFP specifies the number of REPs required for each category and business size standard.
5010	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES, page 98 - RFP states "If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. The cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count as defined in A.3.7." Can the Government clarify what is considered "documentation" and provide an example? Does "documentation" refer to solicitation documents or to the Offeror's proposal?	"Documentation" refers to any supporting documents that the Offeror cites in their proposal. This includes both solicitation documents and any additional documents provided by the Offeror to support their proposal. An example of documentation could be a reference to a specific section of the Offeror's past performance record.
5020	A.3.7.2 "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." and "Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope." and A.4.3 "The Offeror must provide Past Performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition"  Example: Some Gov't agencies do not assign a NAICS Code. Can the Offeror demonstrate tasks relevant to SEWP VI NAICS Codes?  How will the Gov't determine relevance to a NAICS code? Will the Government evaluate that the project is aligned to the SEWP VI NAICS Codes based on the narrative submitted by the Offeror? Will a contract representatives signature on Exhibit 2 suffice as relevancy to the assigned/selected NAICS code?	The instructions concerning NAICS codes and Past Performance will be clarified in an upcoming Amendment.
5031	The RFP states "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Does this mean that all REPs and Past Performance projects being used to qualify in a submission must ALL have the same NAICS code as the one that is chosen at submittal? If so, please explain the reasoning for this, as it is very limiting for small businesses who have many projects which cover a wide range of in-scope technical areas but are not all of the same NAICS code, and will cause a large number of SB offerors to be unable to bid.	No, not all REPs and Past Performance projects being used to qualify in a submission must have the same NAICS code as the one chosen at submittal. The Offeror can use past performance citations with NAICS codes from the provided lists for past performances as long as they are similar in scope, magnitude, and complexity to what is required for the category.
5039	Will the Government please clarify how you get a PPQ for a commercial contract or a Subcontract under DoD? Can the Government please clarify if the PPQ from a subcontract has to be signed by Government's Contracting Officer or can the Prime sign it?	For commercial contracts or subcontracts under DoD, the PPQ can be signed by the Prime contractor if the Government's Contracting Officer is not available. The PPQ should include a description within the past performance volume that corresponds with the NAICS code used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract.
5049	Is the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter only required for Offeror's responding to Category B and C?	No, the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for Offerors in all categories if they are sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.
5054	Section A.3.7.1. (c). states "The Use of NAICS Code 541519 Footnote 18 is only for administrative purposes only". Please explain what this means?	The use of NAICS Code 541519 Footnote 18 is for administrative purposes only, meaning it is used to classify the Offeror within a scope category based on the size standard associated with the NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4. It does not restrict the scope of work that can be performed under the contract.

5067	<p>Regarding small business past performance requirements for Category A, does each past performance reference have to showcase technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant or will the totality of the past performance references need to account for 3 content representative areas?</p> <p>For example:</p> <p>Example 1 of acceptable past performance history: PP1: Showcases content representative areas 2 &amp; 3 PP2: Showcases content representative area 7</p> <p>Example 2 of acceptable past performance history: PP1: Showcases content representative area 3 PP2: Showcases content representative area 4 PP3: Showcases content representative area 2</p> <p>Example 3 of acceptable past performance history: PP1: Showcases content representative areas 2, 5, &amp; 7.</p> <p>Assuming each of the narratives were found to be relevant and other requirements were met, would the Government rate each Volume 2 example distribution of past performance references relevant and for the Small Business group of Category A?</p>	The totality of the past performance references needs to account for at least three (3) content representative areas for small businesses in Category A. Each past performance reference does not need to showcase all three areas individually.
5088	<p>For Category A, "the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$2,500,000 (\$2.5 Million) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Since many Category A reference contracts may be stand-alone awards for hardware, which tend to be one-time deliveries and not multi-year contracts, please confirm that the "average annual cost/fee" would simply be calculated as the standalone total value of the reference contract, and would therefore be rated relevant for the minimum value requirement.</p>	The "average annual cost/fee" would be calculated as the standalone total value of the reference contract divided by the number of years of performance. If the total value meets or exceeds the minimum annual value requirement, it would be rated relevant.
5096	Pg. 93 states "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Will the government allow for 2 JV submissions for a category in lieu of a single Prime Offeror and single JV Offeror?	No, the government will not allow for 2 JV submissions for a category in lieu of a single Prime Offeror and single JV Offeror. The RFP specifies that an Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and one additional time as a member of a JV or CTA.
5099	If an offeror is submitting a proposal in Category B and C as a Small Business and is planning on using the same single award BPA contract in each category, can one (1) Exhibit 2 form be completed and submitted to the CO via email by the contract representative?	Yes, one (1) Exhibit 2 form can be completed and submitted to the CO via email by the contract representative for use in both Category B and C.
5115	In the event that the company's 8(a) certification expires, will the company be off-ramped from NASA SEWP VI upon graduating from the 8(a)?	No, if a company's 8(a) certification expires, it will not be automatically off-ramped from NASA SEWP VI. The company must continue to meet all other requirements and qualifications specified in the solicitation and contract.
5121	Is SBA certification required for VOSB, or is self-certification sufficient?	Self-certification is sufficient for VOSB. The RFP does not require SBA certification for VOSB.
5124	The solicitation requires the annual average value of the past performance to exceed \$500,000 annually. Being that many federal contracts are for a period of three years, would the government consider reducing the total required contract value to be \$1,500,000 to align with a three year contract meeting the minimum average annual value?	The RFP specifies the minimum average annual value requirement and does not indicate any reduction in the total required contract value. The annual average value must exceed \$500,000 annually as specified.
5129	Please confirm that a wholly owned subsidiary of the parent company which is the OEM/Provider may provide the ISO Certification of the parent company OEM/Provider to satisfy the requirement of A.3.7.1(a) when functions covered by the ISO certification are being performed by the parent company as shared services. Is a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter required to support this this?	No, the ISO certification from the parent company does not meet the proposal submission requirement. Offerors may not use the ISO 9001:2015 and/or CMMI certifications of a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure. A Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required to support the use of shared resources.
5143	Can the Government please clarify if Prime Offerors not bidding as a Joint Venture can use a contract where they performed as the managing partner of a Joint Venture as an REP in Category B?	Yes, Prime Offerors not bidding as a Joint Venture can use a contract where they performed as the managing partner of a Joint Venture as an REP in Category B.
5144	541519e- IT Value Added Reseller is not currently listed in the NAICS.com database. Since the SAM validation uses this database, how do we update the existing SAM registration with this exception?	The use of NAICS Code 541519e- IT Value Added Reseller is for administrative purposes only. Offerors should ensure their SAM registration reflects the appropriate NAICS codes for their business size standard.
5148	In Section A.3.6 (B) the RFP requires Indices in the Past Performance Volume and the Technical Approach Volume. Will the Government please clarify that Indices are the same as the Table of Contents?	Yes, indices are the same as the Table of Contents .
5161	<p>Revised Question #3038, submitted June 6:</p> <p>Can a company prime a category and also be a member of a joint venture (whether managing member or minority member) priming within the same category? If so, are there any restrictions or limitations on the projects that company can use FOR BOTH SUBMISSIONS OR EITHER SUBMISSION? For example, can the company that is the prime use the same projects for its prime bid and also use the same projects for its Joint Venture prime bid?</p>	Yes, a company can prime a category and also be a member of a joint venture priming within the same category. The company can use the same projects for both its prime bid and its Joint Venture prime bid.
5163	A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting / June 2024 Industry Day information. QUESTION: Can the government elaborate on how the program fee is applied to the contract? How much is the program fee?	Any AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) subcontracting under SEWP VI must execute a referral agreement and is subject to a fee.
5166	In Section A.3.6(B)(3) the RFP states that title pages, tabs and table of contents are excluded from page counts. Will the Government please clarify what is meant by tabs?	Tabs refer to dividers used to separate sections within the proposal. These are excluded from the page counts specified in the RFP.
5167	Could the Government please clarify whether a prime offeror and its subsidiary can form a Contractor Teaming Arrangement (CTA)? Additionally, would such an arrangement preclude supporting a meaningful relationship between the two entities? *	Yes, a prime offeror and its subsidiary can form a Contractor Teaming Arrangement (CTA). Such an arrangement would require a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter to support the relationship between the two entities.
5169	Do past performance references need to be under the listed NAICS to be relevant?	Yes, past performance references need to be under the listed NAICS codes to be considered relevant for the SEWP VI contract.
5174	Can small business primes use small business subcontractors past performance in Volume 2?	Yes, small business primes can use small business subcontractors' past performance in Volume 2.
5178	If a small business uses a subcontractors experience as an REP for a mandatory area that the prime does not do work in, is it acceptable to also use that PP reference in Volume 2 if it also contains other work the prime does do?	Yes, it is acceptable to use the subcontractor's experience as an REP for a mandatory area in Volume 2 if it also contains other work that the prime does.
5186	PAGE 120 -121 Please confirm that pre-award, in order to comply with the SEWP RFP requirement for responsibility as defined by FAR 9.104 and 9.103, no "SBA determination of responsibility Certificate" is required. SBA is non-responsive to such requests and likely cannot handle the volume of responses necessary to support a GWAC with the scale of SEWP VI.	No, an "SBA determination of responsibility Certificate" is not required pre-award to comply with the SEWP RFP requirement for responsibility as defined by FAR 9.104 and 9.103.
5189	The RFP states that "Only contracts with performance within three (3) years from the solicitation release date will be evaluated." Can the government please define guidance on what qualifies as "performance," especially as it relates to a stand-alone FFP delivery order for hardware? For example, does the performance on this type of delivery order begin at award date and extend through the last shipment/invoicing of the products?	Performance for a stand-alone FFP delivery order for hardware begins at the award date and extends through the last shipment/invoicing of the products.
5195	In Section A.3.6 (3) the RFP states that Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks to at least to the section header. Can the Government please clarify what is meant by "appropriate bookmarks"?	Appropriate bookmarks refer to electronic markers within the PDF file that allow the reader to navigate directly to specific sections or headers within the document.
5199	Does the past performance section have to correlate to products offered in the CLINs for which we are also providing OEM LOA's?	Yes, the past performance section should correlate to the products offered in the CLINs for which OEM LOAs are provided.
5203	A.3.6(A)(3) states all documents must be submitted in PDF format. Can the government clarify if that includes Attachments 3 and 4?	No, Exhibit 3 must be provided in MS Office Excel format with working cell formulas. All other documents must be submitted in PDF format.
5210	Reference bullet 7 in Section A.3.7.1, can small businesses use large businesses as first-tier subcontractors?	Yes, small businesses can use large businesses as first-tier subcontractors.

5222	In Volume I, reference (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings, did NASA intend for these forms to be in PDF format, or can NASA convert to Microsoft Word or can we re-create them?	NASA intends for these forms to be submitted in PDF format.
5236	A.3.7.1OFFER VOLUME (c) Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4). QUESTION: Can the government confirm for Exhibit 4 crosswalk for Category C, do offerors complete this for both REP and Past Performance? *1*	Yes, offerors must complete Exhibit 4 crosswalk for Category C for both REP and Past Performance.
5241	If a company submits in Category A, B, and C; the method in which projects are managed will be near identical, company history will be identical, and possibly certain portions of a technical approach/other processes also may align in similarity. Will the government be more specific as to which areas are considered duplicative that make a company ineligible for an award when proposing across multiple categories?	The duplication section does not pertain to an Offeror's submission in multiple categories.
5250	Where in our proposal and/or submission does an offeror distinguish itself between a SB and a socioeconomic status? If there are two categories when submitting proposals (i.e. A1 = Unrestricted; A2 = Small Business), then how/where do we distinguish our socioeconomic status? Related question - if we qualify under an socioeconomic status and only need to submit 2 REPs and PP for 2 content rep areas, then where are we identifying that we are only submitting this # of REPs and PP bc that's the minimum required? How will the Govt know we qualify and will give us credit for only providing the requirements for what we submitted and not assume we didn't meet the requirements?	Offerors should distinguish their socioeconomic status in Exhibit 4 and ensure that their SAM.gov entity information reflects the appropriate NAICS codes and size standards. The government will verify the Offeror's size standard and socioeconomic status based on the information provided in Exhibit 4 and SAM.gov.
5259	Would small businesses be given the opportunity post contract award to on ramp to other Categories? For example, if contract award was received for Category C, would there be an opportunity in the future to submit for award of Category B.	Yes, small businesses may have the opportunity to on-ramp to other Categories post contract award based on program needs and on-ramp activity determined by NASA.
5260	The RFP states "For Other Than Small Businesses proposing in Category A & B- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$2,500,000 (\$2.5 Million) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Does incurred mean to date, funded, obligated, or total contract value with option years?	Incurred refers to the actual cost/fee incurred to date for the performance of the contract.
5261	RFP states: " For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract." Question: Can multiple orders under GSA MAS Schedule be grouped together in order to meet the minimum total value?	No, multiple orders under GSA MAS Schedule cannot be grouped together to meet the minimum total value. Each REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order 10.
5271	"The Offeror shall also provide the following information: And Information from first-tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/or past performance necessary for award."  Could the government please clarify if First-tier Subcontractors (Teaming Partners) REPs can be submitted to cover mandatory experience technical areas where the Prime proposing Small Business does not meet the mandatory technical experience?	Yes, First-tier Subcontractors (Teaming Partners) REPs can be submitted to cover mandatory experience technical areas where the Prime proposing Small Business does not meet the mandatory technical experience
5276	The PPQ form requests contract number. Would the Government prefer to have this section include the Indefinite Delivery Vehicle, (IDV) such as the SEWP V contract number, to be included with the delivery/task order number?	Yes, the Government prefers to have the Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) such as the SEWP V contract number included with the delivery/task order number in the PPQ form.
5279	Reference Volume I NAICS Codes Crosswalk, Can NASA confirm only the Excel sheet needs uploaded and Offerors do not have to put anything in written?	Yes, only the Excel sheet needs to be uploaded for the NAICS Codes Crosswalk, and Offerors do not have to put anything in written.
5284	Reference Volume II Past Performance, Does NASA want to provide a template to standardize Offeror submissions for easier evaluation and consistency, or should we create our own template/format according to what is required in the Instructions?	NASA does not provide a specific template for Volume II Past Performance. Offerors should create their own template/format according to the requirements specified in the Instructions.
5285	"Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." When requesting PPQs references that will be used to support a Prime Proposal and Separate JV proposal in category A, are offerors required to request 2 PPQs from the government point of contact to be submitted	No, offerors are not required to request 2 PPQs from the government point of contact. One PPQ can be used to support both the Prime Proposal and the Separate JV proposal in Category A.
5287	The RFP states "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror" and then under item 11 it requests offerors "List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default)."  Question: Please confirm, is this requirement for any terminations across ALL of our company's contracts within the past 3 years? If so, are we to understand it doesn't only apply to the three past performance references we're submitting in Volume II?	Yes, this requirement applies to any terminations across ALL of your company's contracts within the past 3 years, not just the three past performance references being submitted in Volume II.
5289	The RFP states "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror" and then under item 12, Past Performance History, it states "The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements."  Question: Please confirm that the Past Performance history in question 12 are the same as the Past Performance references in questions 1-10.	Yes, the Past Performance history in question 12 is the same as the Past Performance references in questions 1-10.
5298	Reference Volume III, Subfactors A and B, Can we include a brief introduction in Subfactors A and B?	Yes, you can include a brief introduction in Subfactors A and B that will be included in the page count.
5304	Section A.3.7.2(a), Item No. 11 directs offerors to "[l]ist any contracts terminated (partial or complete) Rfwithin the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default)." Given that the preamble applicable to Item No. 11 requests "the following information on all past/current contract references," it appears the agency's intent in Item No. 11 was to require offerors to identify only the terminated contracts that they have elected to submit as Past Performance references for this opportunity. Please confirm this understanding.	No, the requirement to list any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years applies to all of your company's contracts, not just the ones submitted as Past Performance references.
5307	Question 9 of the Past Performance instructions (pg 107) requests "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters..." and more. Please confirm these materials are only required for the 1-3 selected Past Performance references being included in offerors' Volume II proposals.	Yes, these materials are only required for the 1-3 selected Past Performance references being included in offerors' Volume II proposals.
5311	Question 10 of the Past Performance instructions (pg 107) requests Small Business Subcontracting Plan history. Please confirm these materials are only required for the 1-3 selected Past Performance references being included in offerors' Volume II proposals.	Typically, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is required for the selected past performance references being included in the proposal. This ensures that the evaluation focuses on the most relevant and significant past performance examples.
5312	Question 11 of the Past Performance instructions (pg 107) requests "...contracts terminated (partial or incomplete) within the last three years and basis for termination...". Please confirm these materials are only required for the 1-3 selected Past Performance references being included in offerors' Volume II proposals.	Information on contracts terminated within the last three years is required for all relevant past performance references, not just the selected ones. This provides a comprehensive view of the offeror's performance history.
5314	Question 12 of the Past Performance instructions (pg 108) asks Offerors to provide past performances "showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas..." Using Category B as an Other than Small Business as an example, please confirm that this means that our three selected past performance examples must collectively (not individually) show relevance across any four of the 10 content representative areas.	Yes, the three selected past performance examples must collectively show relevance across any four of the 10 content representative areas. This means that the combined past performance examples should cover at least four different areas.

5316	RFP pg 109, within the Volume II – Past Performance requirements, instructs offerors to create "...a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project. This information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(12) of this section."  Please confirm this means that only the 1-3 chosen Past Performance projects should be listed within this Sample Past Performance Matrix table.	Yes, only the 1-3 chosen Past Performance projects should be listed within the Sample Past Performance Matrix table. This matrix helps to summarize and align the past performance information with the relevant experience categories.
5317	A3.6.B.7 specifies that "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience." Will the Government clarify how they will distinguish Past Performance Questionnaires for Contracts References that are submitted on both Prime and Joint Venture submissions?	The Government will distinguish Past Performance Questionnaires based on the context provided in the proposal. Offerors should clearly indicate which references are being used for the Prime submission and which are for the Joint Venture submission.
5319	Will the Government confirm that responses to numbered items in A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME are for each individual past performance contract reference (for a total of 3 projects)?	Yes, responses to the numbered items in A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME are required for each individual past performance contract reference, for a total of 3 projects.
5331	Does the requirement to "provide...information on all past/current contract references" apply to all relevant references or only to the "up to 3" selected to show relevance?	The requirement to "provide...information on all past/current contract references" applies to the "up to 3" selected references that are being used to demonstrate relevance.
5333	"Contractors that are members of a joint venture/ contract teaming arrangement and have a standalone award in a given category as a prime are prohibited from competing at the order level as both a prime offeror and as part of a joint venture/ contract teaming arrangement." The scope of this restriction is unclear. Does the prohibition on competition apply across the entire category or only to individual orders?	The prohibition on competition generally applies to individual orders within the same category. Contractors should not compete as both a prime and as part of a joint venture/contract teaming arrangement for the same order.
5337	If an offeror is bidding in multiple categories, such as Category B prime and also in a JV under Category C HUBZone, and where we are using the same past performance references across these RFP response, can we submit just one Past Performance Questionnaire per project? For example, can the PPQ respondent indicate in their email (to PastPerformance@sewp.nasa.gov) that the PPQ is for multiple RFP responses, and indicate which ones are applicable?	Yes, as a Joint Venture (JV) major Partner, you can use the contract/project as one of the past performance contracts.
5340	The RFP states: "The Offeror shall include a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." Question: Can the government please clarify if we should include this list in our proposal under Volume II, or is it to be emailed to NASA before the RFP due date (and if so to whom)?	Yes, you can submit just one Past Performance Questionnaire per project. The PPQ respondent can indicate in their email that the PPQ is for multiple RFP responses and specify which ones are applicable.
5342	The seventh bullet of this section states that "such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources...of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract." The MRCL requirements in the previous bullet apply to "Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes" which does not include first-tier subcontractors. Are offerors proposing as a CTA with first-tier subcontractors required to provide MRCLs from subcontractors?	No, offerors proposing as a CTA with first-tier subcontractors are not required to provide MRCLs from subcontractors. The MRCL requirements apply to Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.
5350	"The offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire...directly to the Government Contracting Officer..." Will Offerors receive confirmation of questionnaire receipt from the Government?	Typically, the Government does not provide confirmation of questionnaire receipt. Offerors should follow up with their references to ensure timely submission.
5353	Are we correct to understand that past performance references for which the PPQ is not returned to the Government will be excluded from evaluation? Will offerors still pass the Phase 2 evaluation if the remaining past performance references show sufficient relevance?	Yes, past performance references for which the PPQ is not returned to the Government may be excluded from evaluation. Offerors can still pass the Phase 2 evaluation if the remaining past performance references show sufficient relevance.
5354	"The Offeror shall include a list to whom the questionnaires were sent..." It is likely that an Offeror sends questionnaires for references that are not used in the final proposal. Are offerors to include references that are not used in the proposal in this list?	No, offerors should only include references that are used in the final proposal in the list. This ensures that the evaluation focuses on the most relevant references.
5356	For calculating the Average Annual Value to Date of a reference, multiple dates could be used depending on invoice timing relative to proposal development. Which date (last invoice before RFP release, last invoice before proposal submission, date of proposal submission, or some other date) should offerors use to complete the calculation?	Offerors should use the date of the latest cost report that reflects the cost/fee total incurred to date for the calculation of the Average Annual Value to Date.
5360	Does the requirement for "all pages" to be "numbered and identified" apply to attachments included within the single PDF per volume?	Yes, the requirement for "all pages" to be "numbered and identified" applies to attachments included within the single PDF per volume.
5362	Does the requirement for 12-point Times New Roman apply to converted documents (not generated by the Offeror) and spreadsheets?	The requirement for 12-point Times New Roman font applies to all proposal content, including converted documents and spreadsheets, unless otherwise specified. Text in diagrams, schedules, charts, tables, artwork, and photographs should be no smaller than 10-point Times New Roman font.
5369	Please confirm that projects or contracts performed as a subcontractor can be used as Relevant Experience project by the Prime offeror.	Yes, projects or contracts performed as a subcontractor can be used as a Relevant Experience project by the Prime offeror.
5371	We supported the same customer as Prime contractor twice – awarded the follow-on contract. Each contract has two different contract / project numbers and two different periods of performance. Both are within the 3 year period from the solicitation release date. Are we allowed to submit these as two separate Relevant Experience Projects?	Yes, you are allowed to submit these as two separate Relevant Experience Projects since they have different contract/project numbers and periods of performance.
5372	Is an OTSB permitted to use REPs from a subsidiary, provided there exists a meaningful relationship and a MRCL is provided?	Yes, an OTSB is permitted to use REPs from a subsidiary, provided there exists a meaningful relationship and a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) is provided.
5373	Is an OTSB permitted to use Past Performance references from a subsidiary, provided there exists a meaningful relationship and a MRCL is provided?	Yes, an OTSB is permitted to use Past Performance references from a subsidiary, provided there exists a meaningful relationship and a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) is provided.
5376	For addressing the financial responsibility element under FAR 9.104(a), will a copy of recent financial statements be sufficient?	Yes, a copy of recent financial statements will be sufficient to address the financial responsibility element under FAR 9.104(a).
5382	The RFP says, "An Offeror's size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information." Would the Government please confirm that the completion of the NAICS crosswalk will determine a contract level size determination for each NAICS code? Contract level representations that flow down to the order level help to expedite ordering as agencies can rely on that representation and can more easily do market research based on the contract level representations.	Yes, the completion of the NAICS crosswalk will determine a contract level size determination for each NAICS code. This helps to expedite ordering and allows agencies to rely on the contract level representations for market research.
5383	Are offerors permitted to utilize additional NAICS codes that align with the SEWP VI categories but are not included in Exhibit?	No, offerors are not permitted to utilize additional NAICS codes that are not included in Exhibit 4. Only the NAICS codes listed in Exhibit 4 are considered in-scope for SEWP VI.
5385	Are members of joint ventures and first-tier subcontractors under a CTA each required to provide a completed copy of the representations and certifications, or are they only to be completed for the Offering entity?	Representations and certifications are only required to be completed for the Offering entity. Members of joint ventures and first-tier subcontractors under a CTA do not need to provide separate representations and certifications.
5386	Will the Government be comparing the NAICS crosswalk to the Offeror's SAM representations at the time of submission or to those at the time of evaluation?	The Government will compare the NAICS crosswalk to the Offeror's SAM representations at the time of evaluation to ensure accuracy and compliance.
5392	For a contractor's submission to be compliant for Category A, Group A2, does a contractor's proposal have to show the contractor as a WOSB in all 4 Technical Areas in Exhibit 3a? Or does the contractor only need to be a WOSB in the NAICS selected in the proposal submission?	The contractor only needs to be a WOSB in the NAICS selected in the proposal submission. It is not required to show WOSB status in all 4 Technical Areas in Exhibit 3a.

5394	The RFP requirements are unclear on what a contractor needs to do to qualify as a small business on Category A Group A2. Does the contractor need to: - Be a small business under 541519e? -Select a NAICS in the proposal submission that they qualify as a small business? -Be a small business on all NAICS in Exhibit 4? -Select only Technical Areas where they qualify as a small business for the NAICS for that Technical Area?	To qualify as a small business on Category A Group A2, the contractor needs to: Select a NAICS in the proposal submission that they qualify as a small business.; Be a small business on the selected NAICS in Exhibit 4.; Select Technical Areas where they qualify as a small business for the NAICS for that Technical Area.
5395	If my company is considered small under NAICS 325910 (750 employees) but large under NAICS 541519 (150 employees), should I follow the small business proposal instructions/minimum requirements or the large business requirements?	You should follow the proposal instructions and minimum requirements based on the NAICS code selected for the proposal submission. If you are submitting under NAICS 541519, you should follow the large business requirements.
5399	A contractor's proposal submission selects Category A Group A2 WOSB with a NAICS they qualify as a WOSB. Will the proposal be deemed compliant if they are a large business in some of the NAICS for the Technical Areas in their Exhibit 3a?	The proposal will be deemed compliant if the contractor qualifies as a WOSB in the selected NAICS for the proposal submission. Being a large business in some of the NAICS for the Technical Areas in Exhibit 3a does not affect compliance as long as the selected NAICS meets the WOSB criteria.
5404	For a contractor's submission to be compliant for Category A, Group A2, does a contractor's proposal have to show the contractor as a WOSB in all of the NAICS in Exhibit 4? Or does the contractor only need to be a WOSB in the NAICS selected in the proposal submission?	The contractor only needs to be a WOSB in the NAICS selected in the proposal submission. It is not required to show WOSB status in all of the NAICS in Exhibit 4.
5408	Given the phrasing and the start of the sentence as "If submitting proposal in more than one scope category", would Government please provide the naming convention for offerors who are submitting only one bid?	For offerors submitting only one bid, the naming convention should be: "OfferorName_80TECH24R0001_CategoryA" (or B or C, depending on the category).
5411	Would Government please confirm that the word "Submission" in the zip file name was to indicate Category Letter (i.e., A, B or C)? For example, is the expectation OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_Category B?	Yes, the word "Submission" in the zip file name is to indicate the Category Letter. The expectation is to use the format: "OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_CategoryB" (or A or C, depending on the category).
5415	If submitting under a Joint Venture, can the project and set asides claims come from EITHER member?	Yes, if submitting under a Joint Venture, the project and set asides claims can come from either member of the Joint Venture
5421	Is the "Past Performance Matrix" required to include all of an Offeror's recent past performance or only those contracts used as past performance references?	The "Past Performance Matrix" is required to include only those contracts used as past performance references.
5424	Does the requirement for "no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor" require that all first-tier subcontractors provide a past performance reference? Is this reference counted against the limit of 3 references?	No, the requirement does not mandate that all first-tier subcontractors provide a past performance reference. If a first-tier subcontractor provides a reference, it is counted against the limit of 3 references.
5430	Are questionnaires required for all references identified in paragraph (a)(12) or only for the three references?	Questionnaires are required only for the three references being used as past performance references.
5442	For Volume II, Past Performance Volume, please confirm that indices include table of contents, list of figures and list of table	Yes, indices for Volume II, Past Performance Volume, include the table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables.
5444	If we are bidding in more than one category, and we use same past performance in category B and C, do we have to submit 2 PPQs as well?	No, you do not need to submit 2 PPQs. One PPQ can be used for multiple categories as long as it is clearly indicated which categories it applies to.
5446	For Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume, please confirm that indices include table of contents, list of figures and list of table	Yes, indices for Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume, include the table of contents, list of figures, and list of tables.
5448	For Volume II, Past Performance Volume, please confirm that the acronym list is excluded from the page count.	Yes, the acronym list is excluded from the page count for Volume II, Past Performance Volume.
5449	The form fields for relevance in Section 4 of the Past Performance questionnaire are text boxes, but the instructions state to "check the appropriate blocks for Relevance." Does placing an "X" in the appropriate text box suffice to meet this requirement?	Yes, placing an "X" in the appropriate text box suffices to meet the requirement for checking the appropriate blocks for relevance.
5450	For Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume, please confirm that the acronym list is excluded from the page count.	Yes, the acronym list is excluded from the page count for Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume
5453	For Volume II, Past Performance Volume, please confirm that a cross reference matrix is excluded from the page count.	Yes, a cross reference matrix is excluded from the page count for Volume II, Past Performance Volume.
5458	For Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume, please confirm that the please confirm that a cross reference matrix is excluded from the page count.	Yes, a cross reference matrix is typically excluded from the page count for Volume III, Mission Suitability Volume.
5466	How will the Government be verifying the accuracy of information on the REP form?	Offerors are responsible for providing accurate and truthful information. If there is a need to verify information, the Government will use appropriate methods to do so.
5469	Subpart 19.602-1 states that an SBA referral is only to be done "upon determining and documenting that an apparent successful small business offeror lacks certain elements of responsibility." Will the Government be referring for a Certificate of Competency from the SBA for all Small Business offerors or only for those offerors that do not independently demonstrate compliance with the requirements of FAR 9.104?	The Government will refer for a Certificate of Competency from the SBA only for those small business offerors that do not independently demonstrate compliance with the requirements of FAR 9.104.
5470	In section (b) of this clause, there are fill-ins for NAICS code and size standard. May small business offerors use any eligible in-scope NAICS and size standard for this clause?	Yes, small business offerors may use any eligible in-scope NAICS and size standard for this clause.
5476	Clauses incorporated by reference: FAR 52.216-16, 52.216-17, and 52.216-32 require fill-ins by the Contracting Officer. Please confirm that copies of these clauses with the fill-ins completed by the Contracting Officer will be provided to Offerors before or at award.	Yes, copies of these clauses with the fill-ins completed by the Contracting Officer will be provided to Offerors before or at award.
5478	Please confirm that the government is requesting Past Performance Questionnaires (page 109-110) for the same one to three similar contracts identified on page 105.	Yes, the government is requesting Past Performance Questionnaires for the same one to three similar contracts identified on page 105.
5493	Please confirm a small business JV entity can be a subcontractor to a small business prime offeror.	Yes, a small business JV entity can be a subcontractor to a small business prime offeror.
5497	Past Performance, Category C (HUBZone small business): Does each contract submitted have to meet at least two (2) content representative areas to be relevant or can the offeror submit two (2) contracts with each addressing a single content representative area? Example 1: Contract X – Application Services/Software Development, Contract Y – Database Services or Example 2: Contract X – Application Services/Software Development; Cybersecurity Services, Contract Y – Database Services; In-Scope Training. Are both Example 1 and Example 2 considered relevant? Please clarify.	Both Example 1 and Example 2 are considered relevant. Each contract does not have to meet at least two content representative areas. The offeror can submit two contracts with each addressing a single content representative area.
5498	Will cost plus fixed fee Task Orders be authorized?	No.
5505	Section A.3.7.1; page 103; The government states "Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract." Please confirm a MRCL is not required for a prime/subcontractor CTA relationship, only the prime/sub teaming agreement.	A Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) is not required for a prime/subcontractor CTA relationship. Only the prime/sub teaming agreement is required.
5517	Exhibit 2; Please provide explanation of "Basis of Selection".	The "Basis of Selection" refers to the criteria or rationale used to select the past performance references included in the proposal. This may include factors such as relevance to the current solicitation, similarity in scope and complexity, and the quality of performance.

5519	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume pg. 106, 109 For the past performance volume, the government states: "The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP." (pg. 106)</p> <p>The government also states: "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." (pg. 109)</p> <p>To be rated relevant, is the government requiring Offerors to showcase three content representative areas per reference contract (9 total) or three content representative areas between all reference contracts (3 total)?</p>	The government requires Offerors to showcase three content representative areas between all reference contracts (3 total), not per reference contract.
5524	<p>Past Performance Volume - (b) Cover Page, Indices, Customer Evaluations, and Termination/Descope Information; Are offeror's required to include copies of the Customer Evaluations with the Past Performance Volume? These Evaluations/Questionnaires are to be sent directly to the Government. Offerors would not have signed copies of the Evaluations, only the version that was sent to the Evaluator. Would the Government clarify?</p>	Offerors are not required to include copies of the Customer Evaluations with the Past Performance Volume if these evaluations/questionnaires are to be sent directly to the Government. Offerors should provide a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent.
5526	<p>Section A3.5 currently allows a firm to propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. Can a firm propose as a member of a JV or CTA for a category and also simultaneously propose for the same category as a member of a different JV or CTA?</p>	No, a firm cannot propose as a member of a JV or CTA for a category and also simultaneously propose for the same category as a member of a different JV or CTA. The firm is limited to one proposal as a prime contractor and one additional proposal as a member of a JV or CTA per category.
5537	<p>Under A.3.6 (A)(3) on page 94, the RFP requires that zip file and file names be titled with, for example, "OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_Category Submission" and "GetItDone_80TECH23R0001_CategoryB." Should these be named with 80TECH24R0001 rather than 80TECH230001?</p>	Yes, the zip file and file names should be titled with "80TECH24R0001" rather than "80TECH230001".
5550	<p>A.3.7.1 (page 99) states the Meaningful Commitment Relationship Letters must include "Signatures of a Corporate Officer/Official for both the Offeror and Meaningful Relationship Entity." Please confirm signatures of the contractual POC for the bidding/offering entity will satisfy this requirement.</p>	No, signatures of the contractual POC for the bidding/offering entity will not satisfy this requirement. The signatures must be from a Corporate Officer/Official for both the Offeror and the Meaningful Relationship Entity.
5551	<p>Section A.3.6 (B)(7). Page 97 – Proposal Content and Page Limitations – the instructions specify that "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience". Can the government clarify if this still holds true if the offeror proposes as a Prime for Category B and as part of a JV for Category C? Or is this only true when proposing within the same category?</p>	This still holds true even if the offeror proposes as a Prime for Category B and as part of a JV for Category C. Offerors may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance, and mandatory experience for different categories.
5569	<p>AbilityOne SubK Requirements - What happens if AbilityOne does not respond to our request to enter into a commercial subcontract agreement? Did the Government confirm AbilityOne's ability and willingness to support all bidders?</p>	If an Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as the prime.
5580	<p>AbilityOne SubK Requirements - Is the cost competitiveness of AbilityOne backed by the government for bidding on future Task Orders?</p>	AbilityOne NPAs will submit their price quotes to the primes for Task Orders so there will be competition.
5620	<p>A.3.7.2(a)12. Can you please clarify if you requesting the Past Performance History for only the Past Performance Contracts that you are submitting the A.3.7.2(a)1-11 details for or are you requiring the information in Past Performance History to be for additional contracts completed in the same timeframe?</p>	The Past Performance History should only address the Past Performance Contracts that the Offeror is submitting details for in A.3.7.2(a)1-11.
5634	<p>A.3.7.2, Page 104. Submitting vendor is qualified for both SB and one or more set-aside. For Categories B and C, the Government is requiring "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted" for the Small Business (SB) "Track." For Categories B and C, under the "HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, offerors," The Government is requesting a "Total of two (2) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." If a Socio-economic SB (WOSB for example), submits three (3) REPs for Category B and Category C to meet the SB qualification requirements, how will NASA evaluate the REPs against both the SB qualification requirements and the Socio-economic SB qualification requirements? Must socio-economic SBs designate the two (2) REPs that NASA should evaluate against the Socio-economic SB qualification requirements?</p>	A WOSB submitting three REPs for Category B and C to meet the SB qualification requirements will have all three REPs evaluated against the SB requirements. Two of those submitted REPs will also be evaluated against the socio-economic category qualification requirements. The socio-economic SBs are not required to designate the two REPs that will be evaluated against the socio-economic qualification requirements.
5686	<p>This section states: "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)."</p> <p>a. Please confirm that three content representative areas passes.</p> <p>b. Is there any advantage to providing content on more than the required three representative content areas?</p>	<p>a. Yes, three content representative areas are sufficient to pass the past performance evaluation.</p> <p>b. There is no advantage to providing content on more than the required three representative content areas for small businesses or four representative content areas for other than small business.</p>
5770	<p>Given the broad list of Past Performance volume requirements, it is our recommendation to the Government that in order to adequately address all items, the page count should be increased. Will the Government please increase the Past Performance volume to 20-pages total?</p>	No.
5778	<p>The past performance instructions in Section A.3.7.2 state that "No information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors." These two sentences appear to be in conflict. Please define what is meant by "no information is requested for proposed subcontractors".</p>	"No information is requested for proposed subcontractors" means that Offerors do not need to submit separate past performance data for subcontractors. However, the past performance of first-tier subcontractors will be considered when evaluating past performance for a small business prime Offeror.
5839	<p>Could the Government clarify the requirement "as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition"? Does this restrict offerors to citing offerors to using orders issued under 541519 or 541512 for references?</p>	The requirement "as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code that the Offeror used to be classified into the given category. It does not restrict Offerors to only citing orders issued under 541519 or 541512 for references.
5846	<p>Would Government please remove the restriction of using a past performance that "relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."?</p>	The requirement for past performance to be related to the NAICS code being competed is a standard provision of the SEWP VI RFP. This requirement ensures that offerors demonstrate relevant experience in the specific industry sector being competed.
5903	<p>We understand the Volume II - Past Performance instructions to be nuanced, for example each contract reference contains sections and subsections that are both included and excluded from the page count. As-is the instructions result in a disjointed contract reference and, therefore will the Government please elaborate on how the Offeror shall organize the response.</p>	Offerors are instructed to organize their Volume II – Past Performance submissions in accordance with the page limitations and content requirements specified in Section A.3.6(B) and Section A.3.7.2 of this RFP. Each contract reference should include all required information and adhere to the prescribed page count. Offerors should clearly label each section and subsection within their submissions.
5908	<p>Can offerors use a single-award IDIQ to create multiple bundles?</p>	An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual Past Performance reference.
5987	<p>Can the Government please confirm that, among the list of 12 required points of information offerors must include in their past performance volume, that #10 Small Business Subcontracting Plan History and #11 List of Contracts Terminated refer to offerors' entire past performance history and that requirements 1-9 and 12 refer specifically and only to the past performance examples offerors are using for the past performance volume?</p>	This is correct. Requirements 1-9 and 12 of the Past Performance Volume refer to the recent and relevant Past Performance contracts that the Offeror is providing. #10 Small Business Subcontracting Plan History and #11 List of Contracts Terminated refer to the entire Past Performance history of the Offeror.
6118	<p>Can the Government clarify whether bidders need to establish a formal agreement and/or Commitment Letter with AbilityOne for proposal submission? Re: RFP, A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>	Yes, all Offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter with their proposal only if proposing under the NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. A specific commitment letter will be provided to all Price Contracts when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative. Reference A.1.35.
6123	<p>Can the government please provide CLINs in order to serve as a foundation for this agreement? Re: RFP, A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>	This RFP does not require Offerors to provide CLINs related to an AbilityOne agreement.

6124	Additionally, Attachment H and the Ability One Commitment Letter have not been included in the original solicitation documents. Will the Government please provide these? Re: RFP, A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. The Attachment H agreement between the Prime Contractor and the AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency is required upon contract award.
6209	Can the Content representative areas of the PP's be the same for all three PP's or do they have to be different?	The RFP does not specify if the representative areas for each PP have to be different. Offerors should submit the best three (3) recent and relevant past performance references for evaluation regardless if there are any overlaps in the representative areas.
6232	Can we submit state or local contracts as Past performances?	Yes.
6233	Can subcontractor submit the Past Performances? And How many can a subcontractor submit?	Small business prime Offerors may provide past performance references for their first-tier subcontractors if the Offeror is not able to independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance required for award. Each first-tier subcontractor is limited to a single (1) reference contract.
6387	Referring to Section: "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference." For submitting Exhibit 2-PastPerQues-SEWP VI, We would need the Total Contract Value and For BPAs we do not have Total Value specified. What should we provide instead?	You should provide the maximum value of the BPA in the Total Contract Value section.
6389	Referring to section: "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." For Category B bidding as Small Business, Do we need to provide the only Three Past Performances for at least the Three (3) Content representative areas or we have to provide three past Performances for each of any three Content Representative Area below 1. IT Managed Services 2. Enterprise-Wide Network Services 3. Enterprise-Wide Innovation Services 4. IT Service Management 5. Enterprise Service Program Integration 6. Enterprise-Wide Information and Data Analytics Services (IDAs) 7. Enterprise-Wide Application Services/Software Development 8. Enterprise-Wide Cybersecurity Services 9. Enterprise-Wide Cloud Services 10. Enterprise-Wide Digital Multimedia and Technical Communications Services	You are required to submit three (3) past performance references that show relevant work in at least three (3) different content areas listed for Category B. The three past performance references may not be from the same content area.
6393	Referring to section: "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." For Category B bidding as Small Business, Do we need to provide the only Three Past Performances for at least the Three (3) Content representative areas or we have to provide three past Performances for each of any three Content Representative Area below: 1. Innovation Services 2. Information and Data Analytics Services (IDAs) 3. Application Services/Software Development 4. Cybersecurity Services 5. Cloud Services 6. Digital Multimedia and Technical Communications Services. 7. IT Operations and Maintenance / Help Desk/Call Center Support 8. Network Services 9. Database Services 10. In-Scope Training	You are required to submit three (3) past performance references that show relevant work in at least three (3) different content areas listed for Category A. The three past performance references may not be from the same content area.
6442	For the NAICS crosswalk, do we complete our size for all codes or only the one used for competition and submitting our past performance under?	An offeror must select a single NAICS code that will be used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract award.
6457	RFP A.3.7 (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings, pg 104, For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors...Each Project must have had a minimum of \$4M in total value size of a single order or contract...". Would an 8(a) contract that had an original total contract value of \$4.5M but came to completion under budget at \$3.77M still qualify as an REP for Category B under the \$4M TCV socio-economic representation?	An 8(a) contract that had an original total contract value of \$4.5M but came to completion under budget at \$3.77M would not qualify as an REP for Category B under the \$4M total contract value socio-economic representation.
6460	A.3.7.1 - Offer Volume: Can you provide additional information or specific criteria with reference to the use of generative artificial intelligence; examples: name of platform, document, location?	The Government is not requesting specific criteria or a specific platform, document, or location with reference to the use of generative artificial intelligence.
6461	Sections 1 - 4 are to be completed by the Offeror and verified by the evaluator; however, in Section 3 Role and length in Program might not be known by the contractor. Can the instructions be changed to include the input of the evaluator?	The Government will consider revising the instructions for the Past Performance Questionnaire to include evaluator input on the Offeror's role and length in the program.
6466	Could separate Questionnaires be provided specific for each category to avoid confusion for the evaluator?	Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES) states that Offerors must send Exhibit 2 to clients for completion. Separate Questionnaires will not be provided for each category.
6470	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume Section (a) for Small Businesses proposing in CAT C. P. 106 Will the Government clarify how many past performances are required from the Prime (in the Prime/Sub arrangement)?	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume Section (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR on page 106 states that for Small Businesses proposing in CAT C, the prime shall furnish a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts.
6471	"List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default)."  Please confirm, is this information for all contracts performed within the past three years?  Please confirm that this information is excluded from the page count and follows Customer Evaluations.	Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR on page 105 requests information for any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Offerors are required to include this information for all contracts terminated (partial or complete) in the past three (3) years. The page count does not apply to this requirement and the information must be provided following customer evaluations.
6473	A.3.7.2 Past Performance – (c) Independent Past Performance Information – "NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance."  Please clarify how other Past Performance information such as CPARS will be weighted or evaluated in addition to the Past performance Volume and Customer Evaluations.	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance – (c) Independent Past Performance Information states that NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. Other Past Performance information such as CPARS will be evaluated subjectively and may affect the level of confidence rating.
6475	Section 6 seems burdensome for the evaluator, would the government please consider reducing the questions on contract value or make this only pertain to B and C?	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
6482	A.3.5 Proposals Requested "More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure." Can the Government clarify what constitutes proposal evaluation elements and if this impacts traditional Joint Venture structures?	Proposal evaluation elements constitute ISO 9001 and/or CMMI certifications, Mandatory Experience and offerings, NAICS Code Crosswalk, Past performance rating, and Mission Suitability rating as specified in Section A.4. This does not impact traditional joint venture structures, as long as offerors are not sharing any of these proposal evaluation elements.

6483	A.3.7.1(B) Mandatory Experience/Offerings (Pg. 101) Can the Government please confirm which Volume folder to place Exhibit 3 in?  (Note to the team: The mandatory experience in Vol. 1 includes the Exhibit 3b and 3c language, but it makes more sense as a Vol. 3 document. In the page limits for Vol. 3, it has "Cover Page & Indices" as 'None,' which points to the cover and tabs within the Exhibit 3 Excel spreadsheet, and then a separate page limit for Category B (15 pages) and Category C (15 pages) where we would speak in narrative to the requirements.)	Exhibit 3 should be included in Volume 1, the Offer Volume.
6507	For a OTSB to reach the \$30M total value - can an offeror use an agency catalog and sum up multiple STNs within that catalog to show over \$30M? Likewise, can a SB to reach \$5M in total value size use a combination of STNs that add up to over \$5M if all the STNs fall under the same agency catalog?	Offerors may not use an agency catalog and sum up multiple STNs within that catalog to reach the \$30M or \$5M minimum total values.
6510	Section A.3.7.2 page 109: "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project...if the Offeror performed as subcontractor, insert an "S" accompanied by a subscript number to indicate the subcontract tier. If the Offeror performed as a prime contractor, insert a "P" in the appropriate block." Will the Government please clarify how to show prime or sub if the Offeror is a JV and both the mentor and protege are referencing their prime projects in the submission?	Section A.3.7.2 page 109 states that Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form and accompany each category of the relevant experience project. If a Joint Venture is proposing, they will need to identify both the mentor and protege's role as either "P" for prime or "S" for subcontract in the appropriate block.
6512	Are commercial projects qualified as REPs?	Commercial projects are qualified as REPs.
6520	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR page 105: Please clarify what you mean by: Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. No information is requested for proposed subcontractors.	Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR page 105 states that Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. No information is requested for proposed subcontractors. The Offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level. An Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition.
6533	Please confirm that an offeror may be a prime as part of a small business joint venture and also a subcontractor to a different small business prime within the same category.	Yes, this is permissible.
6546	RFP Section A.3.7.2(a), Page 106: The instructions state "If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror (including Joint Venture companies as prime offerors and/or a parent or affiliated company being otherwise proposed as a subcontractor on this effort) where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance in the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter." Can the Government confirm that this instruction applies to the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) as well. Can the Government confirm that this instruction applies to the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) as well? As one of many federal contractors that are structured with multiple, tightly integrated subsidiaries, we believe it would significantly limit competition for this contract vehicles if this was not allowed.	Yes, offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated company for the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs).
6562	Exhibit 1, Regarding the Contractor Name field, for an REP in which the prime for the Contract Number is a joint venture, please confirm that the Contractor Name is the joint venture prime name, and not the Offeror name.	The Contractor Name in Exhibit 1 should be the joint venture prime name.
6563	In Phase II, your past performance rating, if there is a high rating of Commercial work would it translate to a satisfactory rating or downgraded to neutral since it is not a Government entity?	Section A.3.7.2, Past Performance Volume states that NASA will consider information from Government sources and non-Government sources.
6568	Exhibit 1 & 2, When Offerors use joint venture contracts as REPs, should Offerors indicate in Exhibit 1 the relationship of the Offeror to the JV (e.g., Offeror is member of joint venture)?	Yes, Offerors should indicate in Exhibit 1 the relationship of the Offeror to the JV.
6570	If a contract was awarded to us over several procurement cycles, therefore under different contract numbers, can we combine those into one REP to meet the minimum dollar value requirement?	No, an Offeror may not combine task orders or contracts under different contract numbers into one REP to meet the minimum dollar value requirement.
6574	Under the SBA Mentor Protégé Program, a small business protégé and a large business mentor may form multiple joint ventures together to pursue set aside contracts per SBA regulations. In an example, Protégé Company ABC and Mentor Company XYZ form two sequential joint ventures (JV #1 has completed its bidding lifecycle and JV #2 has been created). The JVs are made up of the same member companies and use the same resources from their respective member companies (i.e., workforce, management, facilities, and other resources). JV #1 and JV #2 are only separate corporate entities because of SBA regulations for the time periods/number of award each JV can receive. Using this scenario for the following questions, JV #2 submits an offer for SEWP VI:  In accordance with RFP A.3.7.2(a) "Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an offeror (including Joint Ventures)," can the government confirm that JV #2 can use the past performance experience of JV #1 in its offer?	Yes, in accordance with RFP Section A.3.7.2(a) "Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an offeror (including Joint Ventures)," JV #2 may use the past performance experience of JV #1 in its offer.
6580	RFP Section A.3.7.2(a), Page 106: The instructions state "If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror (including Joint Venture companies as prime offerors and/or a parent or affiliated company being otherwise proposed as a subcontractor on this effort) where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance in the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter." Can the Government confirm that this instruction applies to the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) as well. Can the Government confirm that this instruction applies to the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) as well? As one of many federal contractors that are structured with multiple, tightly integrated subsidiaries, we believe it would significantly limit competition for this contract vehicles if this was not allowed.	Yes, offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated company for the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs).
6589	Since Category C is reserved for Small Businesses only in the 541512 NAICS size standard, will the Government provide clarity on how the lateral on-ramp will occur for small businesses that grow out of the Category C NAICS?  The verbiage from the RFP is below, and it seems to imply that an SB would vertically on-ramp to Large Business in Category B if they outgrow it and I'm assuming the same would happen with SBs laterally on-ramping from Cat C/SB to Cat B/LB. However, if the criteria for Cat B/LB cannot be met, the BPA is dormant.	If a small business (SB) grows out of the size standard for Category C (reserved for SBs), the Contract will become dormant.
6601	Section A.3.6(B)(7) on Page 97 states, "Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is a duplication or replica of another offeror (company), that is not a part of a joint venture or contractor teaming arrangement, will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government."  Can the Government please clarify if this statement pertains to per Category?	Section A.3.6(B)(7) applies to the complete proposal volume and is not specific to any individual category.
6602	A.3.6(A) Proposal Format and Organization, Item (3) states that each proposal volume shall be submitted as a single PDF file with bookmarks to at least the section header. However, the examples above show naming conventions for exhibits, past performance, and LOAs. Can these attachments be submitted as separate files within the category submission or do they need to be in an appendix as part of a single PDF submission for their respective volume?	Attachments like Exhibits, Past Performance Questionnaires, and Letters of Authorization should be submitted as separate files within the corresponding category folder. These should not be included as an appendix within a single PDF for their respective volume.

6613	Under Category B REP requirements, can an offeror use a Hubzone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB or 8a offeror use an IDIQ totaling \$4million in value to satisfy the REP qualifications? We understand it must be 2 different REPs, but it we want to understand if IDIQ contracts can qualify as individual REPs for the technical areas?	A single award IDIQ totaling \$4 million cannot be used to satisfy REP qualifications. An REP must be from a single contract or task order, not an IDIQ contract.
6650	pp. 109-110 - A.3.7.2 (b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES) The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance. The Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire no later than proposal submission date directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email PastPerformance@sewp.nasa.gov  Would the Government please clarify if the naming conventions established in A.3.6(A)(3) for EXHIBITS applies to Exhibit 2 PastPerfQues-SEWP VI? Also, can the Government please clarify how the Offeror should name Exhibit 2 PastPerfQues-SEWP VI if a past performance is being used in more than one category?	The naming conventions outlined in A.3.6(A)(3) for EXHIBITS do apply to Exhibit 2 (Past Performance Questionnaires). Offerors should submit a single Exhibit 2 document named "OfferorName_Category #-PP#" regardless of the number of categories a past performance reference is used for.
6658	The RFP states:  "A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP."  The RFP clearly allows for past performance references from first tier subcontractors for Small Business Prime Offerors. The RFP also makes reference in several cases to the inclusion of JVs as a characterization of the term "Offeror". When reading the language strictly, the requirement seems to indicate that Small Business Prime Offerors that are JVs can provide past performance references from the JV entity itself, and can provide past performance references from first tier subcontractors, but it is unclear whether a Small Business Prime Offeror that is a JV could provide past performance references from the JV members (who are neither the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity, nor first tier subcontractors to the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity). JV entities are often unpopulated and rely on the qualifications of the JV members to demonstrate the qualifications of the JV entity - please clarify that JV members can provide past performance references on behalf of a Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity.	Small Business Prime Offerors that are JVs may provide past performance references from the JV entity itself, and may also provide past performance references from first-tier subcontractors. A Small Business Prime Offeror that is a JV is also permitted to provide past performance references from JV members (who are neither the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity, nor first-tier subcontractors to the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity).
6659	Please clearly explain what "information from the Offeror" needs to be included in the 10 page limit. We recommend that rather than limiting the Volume to 10 pages, you either a) exclude past performance information from the page limit or b) you apply a page limitation for each Mandatory Experience and/or REP exhibit (e.g., Exhibits 1, 3a, 3b, 3c). If a page limitation needs to be applied, please be more specific on what needs to be covered in the page limit.	The 10-page limit for Volume II, as indicated in the Proposal Submission Table on pages 95-96, applies to information provided by the offeror in Section A.3.7.2(a) - INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR. This encompasses details like past performance contract references, explanations of technical problems, completion successes and delays, and information on terminated contracts.
6671	"The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below CATEGORY A Content Representative Areas 1. IT Computer Systems / Compute Facilities 2. IT Storage Systems 3. Networking and Communication Equipment 4. Imaging Equipment and Supporting Technology 5. IT Power and Cabling Equipment 6. Audio / Video Equipment 7. Security and Sensor Equipment 8. Software and Cloud Technology"  - If the Offeror is only required to submit to a minimum of 5 technical areas as stated in Exhibit 3a, does the offeror need to show performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements in all 8 content representative areas? For Category A	Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references, showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).
6700	Section A.1.1 GSFC 51.211-90 DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS (APR 2023). Page 24. Deliverable #5 Summary Subcontract Report (SSR) should be listed as applicable only for Other than Small Business as #4 Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan is. Would the Government please mark this deliverable as such?	The solicitation will remain as stated.
6715	Can the Government please specify which Proposal Components listed in the Proposal Submission Table under A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (B) (p 95) should be saved separately (but within the same folder) of its corresponding volume. For example, should the ISO 9001 component be saved within our Volume I PDF or separately as "GettIDone_Category#-ISO9001" within our Volume I folder?	Proposal components listed in the Proposal Submission Table on pages 95-96 should be saved as separate files within the corresponding volume folder. For instance, the ISO 9001 component should be saved as "GettIDone_Category#-ISO9001" within the Volume I folder, not within the Volume I PDF.
6723	Will the Government kindly clarify the file naming convention for "The respective documents within a Volume Folder" referenced in A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (A) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION on page 95? In the provided label and example, "GettIDone_Category#- LOA #", there is a space between the hyphen and title of the respective document, as well as a space between the title and second #. Are these spaces required? Additionally, does the LOA # indicate that every LOA should be saved separately from our Volume I PDF and separately from each other (ex. "GettIDone_Category#- LOA 1" will be in our Volume I folder in addition to "GettIDone_Category#- LOA 2")?	The spaces in the file naming convention are not required. The "LOA #" in the file naming convention example is meant to be replaced with the title of the respective document. Each LOA document should be saved as a separate PDF document within the Offer Volume folder.
6781	Can the Government please confirm that NASA SEWP VI will meet SBA requirements for an 8(a) set-aside contract as is outlined in the 13 CFR 124.503?	No. SEWP VI is not a 8(a) set-aside contract.
6784	In reference to the following section of Category C, can a company submit project experience from same contract # with different order #'s to accumulate to \$2M in total value size or higher for same customer end user?  For Small Businesses (inclusive of first- tier subcontractors, if applicable):A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.	Each REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.