

Comment Id	Original Comment	Published Response
1657	The SAM.gov webpage indicates questions are to be submitted NO LATER THAN 11:59 PM EST on June 7, 2024. Please correct the page to reflect the 5PM deadline reflected in A.3.2 and the RFP cover letter.	The Comment is out of date.
1660	If a company bids on Category A and Category B, and the Category A is acceptable, but Category B is deemed unacceptable will the offeror obtain an award for Category A or will the entire submission be rejected?	If a Category A proposal is acceptable and a Category B is not, the Offeror will receive a Category A contract.
1661	"Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach..." can the same offeror proposing in more than one Category submit same or same parts of the Management approach for different categories, if they apply?	Yes. Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.
1666	"After logging in, navigate to "Proposal Upload" from top navigation bar and follow on-screen instructions to upload the proposal." We do not see "Proposal Upload" in the top navigation bar. Will this be added at a later time?	Access to the proposal upload page was deployed on June 10th, 2024 and made accessible for representatives registered as "Industry" or "Consultant".
1667	Would NASA share the location of the proposal submittal tool? It is not found on the NASA SEWP VI site	After registering as Industry at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewp6rfp/registration/registration.sa ; log in at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewp6rfp/security/login.sa and then the tool will be available in the menu bar. If you have issues locating or accessing the tool, send an e-mail to sewp6@sewp.nasa.gov for assistance.
1668	Does the government consider Section V. FAR 52.212-3 Offeror Representations and Certifications - Commercial products and Commercial Services (Feb 2024) - Alternate I (Feb 2024), of the RFP to fall under the category of requirements for Volume I, (A.3.6.B) "...required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments..."?	Section V. FAR 52.212-3 Offeror Representations and Certifications does fall under Proposal Component Offers Volume I.

1676	Neither Attachment H or Attachment I are part of the solicitation package that has been issued. Please issue these Attachments so that offerors can prepare for this requirement.	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).
1677	A.3.2 needs clarification. It states to email questions or comments and provides the POC/email address. Further in the section states "Any offeror questions shall be submitted using the SEWP VI RFP Application (https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi). Which format is the correct for submitting questions?	Any comments regarding the solicitation should be submitted electronically using the SEWP VI solicitation application found at https://sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ during Q and A periods as announced on sam.gov.
1679	<p>The zip file shall be labeled: "OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_Category Submission". If submitting proposal in more than one scope category, the zip file shall be labeled with each intended Category letter at the end of the label. For example: GetItDone_80TECH23R0001_CategoryA GetItDone_80TECH23R0001_CategoryB GetItDone_80TECH23R0001_CategoryC</p> <p>Did you mean to use 80TECH23R0001 in these file names or</p>	The language in the solicitation is example. The solicitation number in which you are responding is to be used.
1680	Upon initial review of the solicitation, we want to bring to your attention that it appears copies of Attachments G, H, I, K & L were not provided. Please provide these attachments when possible and we hope you enjoy the holiday weekend.	<p>The noted Attachments for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan, SEWP C-SCRM Annual Plan and Climate Change Risk Management, (G, J and L) are post award documents. They are not required as part of the Offeror's proposal. The Government will work with Contract Holders post-award to ensure all post-award forms are fully functional and provide guidance on their usage, submission process and submission dates.</p>

1684	Mandatory Experience Project Exclusivity, can a project from a bid prime or teammate be used on another NASA SEWP bid team? Or must a project be exclusive to a SEWP Category? For instance firm XYZ's project titled ACME can be used once for Category B and once for Category C.	An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. For example, it is permissible for XYZ, Corp to propose as a prime contractor in Category A, and form a JV with 123, LLC to propose in category A. This example applies to all categories as well as CTAs.
1698	from p 106: "A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance." Does this apply to Category C as well as A and B?	Yes, this applies to all 3 Categories.
1699	"The Offeror shall include a list of those to whom the questionnaires were sent, including name of individual, phone number, organization, and contract number." is this within the 10 page limit?	Yes, the list is part of the 10 page limit.
1701	Please provide guidance for what is required for the cover page and indices listed for the Past Performance Volume, The Technical Approach and the Management Approach.	There are no requirements regarding the cover page and indices. Neither will be reviewed or evaluated.
1702	Since the Technical Approach Volume III-A and Management Approach Volume III-B are listed separately in this table, are they supposed to be submitted as separate files?	No. The Technical and Management Approach should be submitted in a single file.
1703	If "Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file," what is the purpose of the examples of naming respective documents (e.g., "GetItDone_Category#- EXHIBIT #, GetItDone_Category#- LOA #, GetItDone_Category#- PP #")?	Naming conventions provide standardization of proposal submissions.
1707	Please clarify what the difference is between #2 and #3 on page 111. They are identical except for the insertion of the word "architectural" in #3.	The Solicitation has been updated to remove point 3 in the section. The word "architectural" is no longer a part of the solicitation.
1710	Shouldn't the requirement for 2 content representative areas also include VOSB and WOSB offerors?	The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7 to include VOSB and WOSB.

1713	Requesting confirmation that Category C - ITC/AV Mission-Based ITC/AV Services is only open for bid to Small Businesses. Large Businesses are unable to bid in this specific category.	Yes. Category C is only open for bid to Small Businesses.
1715	As A.3.7.1(b) all offerors shall propose technology solutions for any 4 of the 8 Mandatory Technical Areas, but in Past performance volume A.3.7.2(a) "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts" Please confirm that past performance is not required for all (4) proposed mandatory areas.	Past Performance is not required for the 4 proposed mandatory areas.
1716	It's not clear why Ms. Ross' email is provided. Please confirm all submissions must be made via the SEWP VI RFP website.	The email is listed for Sam.Gov administrative purposes only. All questions and comments concerning the Solicitation using the SEWP Comment Tool located at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ during Q and A periods as announced on sam.gov.
1717	Does the SEWP VI RFP website allow offerors to delete or replace uploaded files prior to final submission?	Yes, the SEWP VI RFP website allows offerors to delete or replace uploaded files prior to final submission.
1718	Will a format for the AbilityOne Commitment Letter be provided by the SEWP Program Office for the offeror to utilize in their Offer Volume?	Please reference A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING for guidance on obtaining an AbilityOne Commitment Letter.
1721	Will the government allow a different and large Font size for the Cover Page and Table of Contents?	There are no restrictions on the font size on Cover Pages and Table contents as Title pages, tabs, and tables of contents are excluded from the page counts specified in paragraph (1) of Provision A.3.6(b)(3).
1722	Will the government allow a larger Font size for proposal section headers?	Yes. The font size described in Section A.3.6(B)(2) is a minimum size..

1723	Is Attachment G (Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan- Applicable for Categories B & C) required at the time of proposal submission and if so in which Volume?	<p>The noted Attachments for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan, SEWP C-SCRM Annual Plan and Climate Change Risk Management, (G, J and L) are post award documents. They are not required as part of the Offeror's proposal. The Government will work with Contract Holders post-award to ensure all post-award forms are fully functional and provide guidance on their usage, submission process and submission dates.</p>
1724	On page 101 of the RFP, Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet it states "indicate which 4 Technical Areas are being offered, in addition to Tech Area 9 - Product Based Services. 1 Primary and 2-4 indicating the non-primary proposed technical area". On the actual Exhibit it states on row 3, "If this is one of the 4 minimum proposed Technical Areas other than the primary Technical Area..." Which is correct - 3 non-primary technical areas or 4 primary technical areas?	There are four designated technical areas. One of those four areas is the primary designated area in which a minimum of 1,000 CLINs must be proposed. The other 3 designated areas have a minimum of 50 CLINs.

1725	<p>In the solicitation it states: "Questions regarding the SEWP VI RFP Application (e.g., access, system issues, and upload issues) must be submitted via email to sewp6@nasa.gov at least 72 hours in advance of the RFP deadline. Any questions regarding the application submitted after this deadline, will not be considered by the Government. The Offeror is solely responsible for its inability to submit a proposal due to issues with the application that were not submitted to the Government 72 hours in advance of the RFP deadline."</p> <p>The current deadline for offerors is July 11th. Given the stipulation above, offerors would be required to submit NLT 1200PM on July 8th in case of any technical difficulties. Given the preceding July 4th holiday, would it be possible to extend the due date of submission from Thursday, July 11th to Thursday, July 18th at 1200PM? This would permit the week of 8 July to work out any offeror application technical issues that may arise, particularly given the volume of content and the potential quantity of offerors (small and large businesses) that will be submitting.</p>	The comment is out of date.
1726	<p>We plan to conduct a test upload of our proposal on the Government's online portal to ensure its functionality. Please note, this submission is for test purposes only, our proposal will be submitted before the deadline in accordance with the solicitation requirements.</p>	There was no question submitted.
1727	<p>Follow up to my previous question, it does not appear that the upload tab is enabled, would it be possible to enable this submission tab prior to the proposal due date to allow for test uploads?</p>	The proposal upload tab is available.

1728	Document: 80TECH24R0001+SEWP+VI+Final+RFP / Section: Proposal Format and Organization, page 94 / Question: Naming convention for the proposal submission states the zip file shall be labeled Offeror Name_80TECH23R001_ Category. Should the solicitation number be changed to 80TECH24R0001 which is the current solicitation number?	The Offeror's proposal name is to reference the solicitation number for the solicitation in which a proposal is being submitted.
1729	Document: Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table / Section: Part III: Project Description / Question: The instructions state that the completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages. The PDF has a fixed field for the project description. What should an offeror use if there is additional information that does not fit within the field, while still remaining under 3 pages? ☐	As stated in the Solicitation, the response attached to Exhibit 1 is limited to 3 pages per REP.
1730	Document: Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table / Section: Part III: Project Description / Question: The instructions indicate that the offeror should indicate where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. Please confirm that supporting information/evidence is NOT needed for an Other than Small Business submission for Category B.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
1731	The instructions state that the completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages. The PDF has a fixed field for the project description. What should an offeror use if there is additional information that does not fit within the field, while still remaining under 3 pages?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

1732	The instructions indicate that the offeror should indicate where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. Please confirm that supporting information/evidence is NOT needed for an Other than Small Business submission for Category B.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
1733	Naming convention for the proposal submission states the zip file shall be labeled Offeror Name_80TECH23R001_ Category. Should the solicitation number be changed to 80TECH24R0001 which is the current solicitation number?	The Offeror's proposal name is to reference the solicitation number for the solicitation in which a proposal is being submitted.
1734	Exhibit 2 PPQ - Instructions - There is an uncompleted sentence in this form. "Sections 5 and 6 are completed by the"	The solicitation was updated in a previous Amendment.
1735	There is no guidance in the RFP for Attachment J	The noted Attachments for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan, SEWP C-SCRM Annual Plan and Climate Change Risk Management, (G, J and L) are post award documents. They are not required as part of the Offeror's proposal. The Government will work with Contract Holders post-award to ensure all post-award forms are fully functional and provide guidance on their usage, submission process and submission dates.

1742	<p>For Small Businesses: Please confirm that Meaningful Commitment Letters (MCL) are NOT required for each 1st tier subcontractor (i.e., traditional prime/subcontractor teams (NOT CTAs or JVs)), in cases where the Offeror is NOT using said subcontractor(s) to meet the requirements of Volume I REPs or Volume II Past Performance. This would reduce the burden on government and industry to obtain MCLs for subcontractors that are ONLY discussed as part of an Offeror's Technical Approach or Management Approach, but not used for REPs or Past Performances.</p>	<p>Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCLs) are not required from each first tier subcontractor in cases where the Offeror is not using said subcontractor(s) to meet the requirements of Volume I REPs or Volume II Past Performance.</p>
1748	<p>"Each Offeror (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable) for each NAICS code represented in Section A.1.33...must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their size standards..." Should we add tabs for each first tier subcontractor or a column to indicate which company is</p>	<p>Each Offeror, including first-tier subcontractors if applicable through an MRCL, must individually complete Exhibit 4 for each NAICS code they are proposing.</p>
1751	<p>If a company is more than one designation (e.g., is a WOSB and VOSB), how should that be indicated in the NAICS crosswalk?</p>	<p>Include all designations within the associated cell in Column C; e.g. WOSB, VOSB.</p>
1753	<p>A.3.7.3(b)(1)ii. "FOR CATEGORY B and C - The Offeror shall describe ancillary products required for performance of specific tasks and corporate risks associated with SCRM (e.g., availability and security of corporate resources)." Please clarify what kinds of products are being referred to here; for example, is the government talking about technology used to perform work under the various task areas (software like Jira, DevOps tools, testing tools; hardware like A/V equipment; etc.)?</p>	<p>Yes. An example would be a laptop required to perform specific requirements on a task order.</p>
1755	<p>Does the government allow for the same management plan to be submitted over multiple categories? For example, a company submitting in Categories B and C would have similar information across both management plans submitted, is this acceptable?</p>	<p>Each proposal is a separate submission. If the offeror is submitting in multiple categories and the information in response to each category is equivalent, then the same information should be submitted in each proposal.</p>
1756	<p>We are a manufacturer of two way radio communications compliant with APCO Project 25 specifications and are reviewing the above referenced RFP requirements. Would you please advise if we are able to bid only the LMR radio/subscriber equipment category of the RFP?</p>	<p>Please see A3.7.1.(b)Mandatory Experience/ Offerings for the required minimum offerings required to bid in each Category.</p>

1757	<p>Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table references, Part III Project Description requires offerors to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents."</p> <p>Can the Government please provide additional instructions pertaining to providing supporting documentation with the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What documents should be attached? For example, the SOW / DD1155. 2. How should these documents be attached? Format, file name, etc. 3. How should we identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the document? Is "tagging" or 	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
1765	<p>Exhibit 2 contains several fields that automatically update based on the data entered in other fields. Will the Government provide an updated version of this form to ensure accurate</p>	<p>Exhibit 2 was updated to fix the noted issues.</p>
1767	<p>Reference: A.1.30 Supply Chain Risk (Not a provision/clause but reads like one)</p> <p>(e)I The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause, including this paragraph I, in all subcontracts involving the development or delivery of any information technology whether acquired as a service or as a supply.</p> <p>Question: What or where is paragraph I?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7.</p>
1775	<p>The RFP states "If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6." Is there a requirement for a specific file name for this agreement?</p>	<p>No. There is no requirement for a specific file name for the Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) agreement.</p>

1776	The RFP states" Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort." How will the response to this be evaluated by the government?	This is not an evaluation factor.
1780	A.1.13 FAIR OPPORTUNITY AND REQUESTS FOR QUOTES states "The Contractor shall not market, quote or otherwise offer for sale, any IT Solutions not listed under this contract, until the said solutions are included in the SEWP database, and available to all Government end-users." Please confirm that this stated restriction applies only to sales within the SEWP VI contract.	Yes. The stated restriction applies only to sales within the SEWP VI contract.
1783	The Exhibit 1 REP Template indicates, in Part III: Project Description, that the REP template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages and the fillable table in Part III must not be smaller than Arial 10 point font. However, the fillable table is not conducive to entering a lot of content not to mention up to 3 pages and actually auto reduces the font to fill within the space provided. Can the government please provide a more flexible/usable Exhibit 1 REP template?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
1792	Proposal Content, item 7: Please provide clarification that the items we list within Exhibit 3a will not be considered as duplication when compared to other proposals, since many proposals will utilize the same OEMs to meet the criteria.	Proposed offerings in Exhibit 3a will not be reviewed for duplication.
1793	Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet - Technical Area Tabs – Page 102: Please provide clarification of the following: "for administration purposes to establish the initial Contract Database of Record upon Contract Award". Is this addressing how the SEWP PMO will stand up the SEWP VI contract, or is it related to individual Contact Holders and their SEWP VI contract	The reference is to the initial load of the SEWP Database of Record which will contain individual Contact Holders and their SEWP VI contract catalog offerings.

1795	Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet - Technical Area Tabs – Page 102: A.3.7.1(b) states that offerors must provide “A brief description is to be provided in Column ‘D’ for each Technical Areas with no more than 2500 characters, 500 words” in the “Information” tab of Exhibit 3a. Are there specific criteria NASA is seeking in this description?	The description shall indicate the relevance of the offerings to that Technical Area.
1796	Question: A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume – (a) Information from the Offeror – Page 106: Please clarify that a task order issued under a GWAC is considered acceptable Past Performance, for example, an order issued by DOD under our GSA Schedule for Firm Fixed Price delivery.	Task orders issued under a GWAC are acceptable past performance references. A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME
1797	Reference: A.3.7.2(a), (page 105) The RFP instructions for past performance state, “An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for as a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple award or GWAC as an individual past performance reference.” Will the Government please clarify that individual task orders under multiple award IDIQ contracts are acceptable as individual past performance references?	Individual task orders under multiple-award IDIQ contracts are acceptable as individual past performance references. A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME
1798	VOL I: OFFER - In B. Proposal Content and Page Limitations Proposal Submission Table (p. 96), the RFP states a page limit of three pages for the Mandatory Experience Letter of Authorization (LOAs). However, in A.3.7.1.(b) (p. 101), the RFP states “There shall be an LOA for each different designated provider for a maximum of four (4) LOAs.” Please confirm the maximum number of LOAs to be provided. If the maximum is four LOAs, please revise the page limit for the LOAs to 4 pages.	The page limitation for LOA's is 3 pages per LOA and not 3 pages in total.

1800	<p>1. VOL II: Past Performance - In A.3.7.2 (a) (p. 106), the RFP states “The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror” and then lists 12 items. Please confirm that items 1 through 8 are to be included in each Past Performance reference and that items 9 through 12 are additional information the offeror is to provide.</p> <p>2. VOL II: Past Performance - In A.3.7.2 (a) (p. 106), gives a minimum average annual cost incurred of \$150,000 for Small Businesses proposing for Category A and \$500,000 for Category C. However, in A.4.3 (p. 116), the RFP gives an evaluation criteria for Small Business of “In Category A and C, shall furnish the past performance for similar scope efforts with an average annual cost/fee incurred of \$500,000.” Please confirm the minimum average annual cost incurred for Small Business Category A is \$150,000 and for Category C \$500,000.</p>	<p>1) The Offeror shall provide the past performance information requested for items 1-12.</p> <p>2) For Small Businesses in Category A, the dollar threshold for the Past Performance Project requirements is a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$150,000. For Small Businesses in Category C the dollar threshold is a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred \$500,000.</p>
1801	<p>VOL I: OFFER - In B. Proposal Content and Page Limitations Proposal Submission Table (p. 96), the RFP states a page limit of three pages for the Mandatory Experience Letter of Authorization (LOAs). Some of the OEM's from the Enclosure I has 2 or 2 pages LOA will that be acceptable?</p>	<p>Yes, Letter of Authorization (LOAs) are limited to 3 pages per LOA.</p>
1807	<p>Many federal agencies place IT help desk requirements in NAICS 517919. Please add this NAICS code to the lists for Category B and Category C in A.1.34</p>	<p>NAICS 517919 is no longer a valid NAICS code. See updated NAICS 519290 Web Search Portals and All other Information Services.</p>

1814	<p>A.3.5 page 93 and A.3.6 (B) (7) page 97: The RFP says, "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. For example, it is permissible for XYZ, Corp to propose as a prime contractor in Category A, and form a JV with 123, LLC to propose in category A. This example applies to all categories as well as CTAs."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-and-</p> <p>"In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Will the Government consider Category B Unrestricted and Category B Small Business to be separate categories? For example, could an offeror submit as a subcontractor on Category B Unrestricted and also as a part of a JV on Category B Small Business?</p>	<p>Yes. Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.</p>
1823	<p>For Offerors submitting a proposal as part of a Mentor Protege JV, does the Government require a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter if a JV member's ISO 9001 certification is in the name of their parent company?</p>	<p>Yes, the government requires a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter if a Joint Venture member's ISO 9001 certification is in the name of their parent company.</p>
1824	<p>When primes obtain NPA teaming without SourceAmerica involvement, can they submit letters of commitment without SourceAmerica involvement?</p>	<p>"Primes are not required to submit documentation from SourceAmerica. A prime may use an NPA without SourceAmerica's assistance, as all qualified NPAs are listed on the AbilityOne website.</p>
1836	<p>Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official ISO 9001:2015 Certification of Conformity/Conformance. Does SEWP require both the ISO 9001:2015 certification and the Conformity/Conformance Statement?</p>	<p>Only the official ISO 9001:2015 Certification of Conformity/Conformance is required for verification.</p>

1845	Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk: If proposing in Category A, are we required to add all NAICS codes in the corresponding Category A table to Sam.gov?	No, the table is the list of in scope NAICS codes that can be used at the order level. In order to respond to a specific Request for Quote, you will have to have that RFQ's NAICS code in sam.gov.
1846	Are we required to have a formal agreement with AbilityOne if we are proposing under NAICS 541519e footnote 18 Information Technology Value Added Resellers?	<p>Yes, offerors proposing under NAICS 541519e footnote 18 (Information Technology Value Added Resellers) are required to have a formal agreement with an AbilityOne nonprofit if the task order involves a designated NAICS code or Product Service Code requiring AbilityOne subcontracting.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
1851	Can the Government confirm we can recreate the REP Template to accommodate the required writeup or will the Government provide a Word version of the template?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
1852	Can NASA confirm that if a company has a pre-existing relationship with an AbilityOne company, we can simply submit a Letter of Commitment from that company to satisfy the AbilityOne requirements?	<p>Yes, if a company has a pre-existing relationship with an AbilityOne company, they can submit a Letter of Commitment from that company to satisfy the AbilityOne requirements for the SEWP VI contract. However, a formal agreement (Attachment H) will still be required at the task order level.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
1859	Page 32 states: "While all services must have a product basis for the service, there is no requirement to purchase a product with any of these services." Regarding the NAICS 541519e 15-50% services requirement, will the 15-50% services be amortized over the life of the SEWP VI contract, or will each task order require 15-50% services?	The NAICS 541519e services requirements are amortized at the contract level and not the individual order level.

1860	<p>When utilizing Non-Manufacturer Rule Class Waiver for NAICS 334111 to cover Technical Area 1a, it does not capture the following items. We recommended obtaining an Individual Waiver to the NMR for the following products.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Desktops 2. Handhelds 3. Thin Clients 4. High speed computer systems 5. Data/Computer Center facilities and containers 6. Facility Environmental technology and accessories (power, cooling, security, fire suppression lighting and monitoring, etc.) 7. Electronic Components 8. Graphics or video accelerator cards 9. Memory module cards 10. Data acquisition board 11. Wearable computing device 12. Computer kiosk 13. Anti-fatigue mats 14. Bags and cases for ITC/AV products 15. ITC/AV Shipping Products and Supplies 16. Ergonomic desks 17. Racks, Enclosures and shelves 	<p>The items covered by the contract level NMR are defined through the SBA NMR approval process.</p>
1861	<p>When utilizing NMR Class Waiver 334118 for Technical Area 4a, it does not capture 3D printers. We recommended obtaining an individual waiver for these products.</p>	<p>Waivers were granted based on the SBA Individual Class Waiver guidelines. 3D printers were not granted a waiver.</p>
1864	<p>Page 49: Please clarify what this means: "At the Government's discretion, the Government may order, at any time during a warranty period, monthly maintenance at a Discounted Monthly Extended Warranty amount in lieu of the extended</p>	<p>The Government reserves the right to request monthly maintenance and billing, which may change the overall cost of that maintenance.</p>

1871	Please consider adding NAICS 54159e (ITVAR) to the in-scope NAICS for category B and C. This will enhance small business participation for both prime and sub opportunities. Many large IT project require a purchasing a high quantity of hardware and software that are valued higher than the professional services. Value-Added Resellers will be able to obtain the best pricing in these situations.	For requirements in which a high quantity of hardware and software that are valued higher than the professional services, Category A will typically be utilized by the Government.
1872	Please describe the post-award significance of the “primary” vs “non-primary” proposed Technical Areas. Will there be primary and non-primary Technical Areas associated with the awarded contract?	There is no post award significance to the primary and non-primary Technical Areas. Post award, a contract holder may add offerings to these minimal requirements across the entire scope of SEWP in the given category.
1875	Page 102, Technical Area Tabs states, “The POC must be a US Citizen, and identifiable as either the provider’s federal sales representative or equivalent.” How will NASA verify the POC provided is a US citizen?	As stated, the Government reserves the right to verify the validity of each LOA. If there is a concern, the Government will use appropriate validations methods such as contacting the POC or the company.
1876	What is the post-award impact of selecting a single “designated provider” to include in proposals. At the task order level, will contract holders be allowed to offer products from providers that will not be included in our proposal?	Yes. The designated provider identified in the offerors proposal is for evaluation purposes only. Contract Holders will be permitted to offer products from other providers across the entire scope of SEWP in the given category.
1878	Will there be a review of the proposed products for technological compliance? Specifically, what criteria will be used to determine if the proposed products meet the technical	There will be no review of the proposed products for technological compliance at the time of proposal submission.
1879	Please confirm the format of the Letter of Authorization from an OEM will not be held to the formatting requirements of the RFP (font size, etc.).	The Letter of Authorization (LOA) format is not required to adhere to the RFP font and size requirements.
1883	With the Independence Day holiday the week prior to the current due date (July 11), it can be expected that many government and industry personnel will be out of the office that week. And since proposals require input from multiple parties, including Past Performance Questionnaires from government personnel, Letters of Authorization from IT manufacturers, and initial catalog pricing from distributors, will NASA consider extending the due date to July 25 to allow more time to finalize	The Comment is out of date.

1888	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP/A.3.7.1 (b)/Pg 103 - Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted. - Will the government please confirm that NAICS Codes listed as in-scope is inclusive of Categories A, B, and C in total?	Please see section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE for a list of the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI for each category.
1897	<p>"Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror."</p> <p>And</p> <p>"Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category"</p> <p>Offerors appear to only be able to submit a single proposal for one specific category (A, B, or C) but in the zip file explanation it gives an example of a single zip file with documents for different Categories being submitted.</p> <p>If a small business contractor can supply services for both Category B & Category C, can they not submit a single proposal (in a single zip) addressing B & C or must they submit separate proposals for each as separate zip files, unless they are entering into a JV or CTA for one of the separate categories?</p>	Offerors meeting the Category requirements can propose in one, two, or all three of the Categories
1898	Can a single proposal contain both the offer for a single category and a JV/CTA offer for a separate category?	Two separate proposals would need to be submitted.

1901	The RFP says that "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience." Joint Ventures may also have duplication or replica in other sections/volumes of their proposal to a Joint Venture's standalone bid. Please confirm that Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture can submit duplicate or replica content associated with the Mission Suitability Volume, specifically Technical for Categories A, B, and C (as applicable), and therefore, allowable.	Yes.
1903	Are AbilityOne Commitment letters required for all Offerors or only for those who plan to propose against the resulting orders associated with the AbilityOne NAICS codes?	The AbilityOne Commitment letter is required for Offerors who plan to propose against the resulting orders associated with the AbilityOne NAICS codes. A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING
1907	Section A.1.23.1 lists several additional CLINS that can be added to order quotes including OVERHEAD-Z and GENADMINISTRATION-Z. Can the Government please confirm that these CLINs should only be used at the order level for ODCs and that the SEWP Catalog pricing should be inclusive of offeror indirect burdens?	Yes, these CLINs should only be used at the order level for ODCs and the SEWP Catalog pricing should be inclusive of offerors indirect burdens
1915	For the Overall Contract rating, the instructions say to "circle one". Request the Government add the option for the rater to highlight the rating to enable raters to more readily complete the form. (as allowed in the Section 5 Performance ratings)	Highlighting the response is equivalent to a circle.
1916	The RFP instructions specify Times New Roman font. REP instructions specify using Arial font. Request the Government indicate which takes precedence for the REP.	The font instructions were clarified in a previous amendment. The instructions internal to an exhibit take precedence for that exhibit.

1923	As this exhibit is being collected to verify an Offeror's size standard and for the SEWP data repository only would you like us to complete the crosswalk for all categories or for only the category we are bidding?	Exhibit 4 should only be filled out and submitted as part of the proposal for the category(s) the offeror is proposing in.
1924	Once we login, we are not seeing "Proposal Upload" from the top navigation bar. We see Home, RFP Info, View RFP & Comment, MY RFP Comments, All RFP Comments, Attachments, Profile, SEWP V, and Logout.	A registered Interested Party with an Organization Type of Industry at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ has access to the proposal upload tool at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewp6rfp after logging in. For any technical issues including accessing the Upload tool, send an e-mail to sewp6@sewp.nasa.gov .
1926	Should Exhibit 3a, b, and c spreadsheet be submitted as a separate attachment or which volume does it go in?	Yes, Exhibit 3a spreadsheet should be submitted as separate attachments and labeled accordingly within Volume I.
1935	Is there a template for the Meaningful Commitment Letter?	No, there is no template for the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.
1936	Is there a template for the Mandatory Experience LOA?	No, There is no template for the Mandatory Experience LOA.
1938	Does the NAICS Crosswalk need to be submitted for each category, or is one sufficient?	Each Category proposal is a separate proposal and therefore all documentation must be included within each individual proposal.
1939	Is a C-SCRM Attestation Form required for each category or is one sufficient?	Each Category proposal is a separate proposal and therefore all documentation must be included within each individual proposal. Updated
1952	If we win a SEWP VI award as small business under Category B & C and then go large how does that impact future TO awards?	For Category B Contracts, a Contract Holder that has a status of Other Than Small Business will be eligible for unrestricted RFQs. For Category C Contracts, if a Contract holder no longer holds any small business designations, their contract will be put in dormant status.
1953	What are the requirements for lateral transfer process work under Category B & C for companies that go large during the period of performance?	Contracts Laterally On-Ramped will maintain their awarded Period of Performance. A lateral/ vertical on ramp does not occur automatically for a contract, as a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition. Specific requirements will be provided Post-Award.

1959	<p>In Exhibit 1 under Part III, Project Description, the government states that the completed REP template may not exceed a total of three pages. Can the government please confirm if only the project description section should be three pages or if the entire Exhibit 1 REP template, including the project identification, project reference information, and project description sections, should be three pages?</p>	<p>The three pages refers to the response in Exhibit 1.</p>
1960	<p>The Exhibit 1 REP template does not allow offerors to insert three pages of text in the project description section without the template automatically changing the font type and size. Will the government please provide a new Exhibit 1 PDF template that allows the offeror to insert three pages of text in the project description section while maintaining the requested Arial 10 pt font?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
1963	<p>Within A.3.7.1(c) it states, "This exhibit is being collected to verify an Offeror's size standard and for the SEWP data repository. An Offeror will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4." Will the Government please go into more detail on how it will determine size status for a valid offeror, when considering every teaming scenario (e.g., Prime, Prime-1st Tier Sub, Mentor Protege JV, Joint Venture, Co-Prime CTA (non JV)). It is not clear on size status requirements for partners for each Category. It is also not clear if meeting the Small Business Size requirement for only one NAICS Code under Exhibit 4 for the applicable category qualifies the offeror to bid all Task Orders under the applicable category upon award.</p>	<p>An Offeror's size status will be determined based on their associated NAICS codes and business sizes identified on Exhibit 4 and verified in sam.gov.. Only Contract holders within that RFQ's category that meet the NAICS code and set-aside (or unrestricted) status and any other requirements of an Issuing Agency will be eligible to see the Issuing Agency's RFQ.</p>

1966	What type of information is the government looking for when asking the offeror under Volume I Offer Volume to provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition? Is the government looking for a paragraph summary of the offeror's relevant experience related to the NAICS code they will be submitting under?	Offerors in Category B and C shall provide a summary description of their relevant experience for their selected Relevant Experience Project (REP) as it relates to the selected mandatory experience technical area for that REP using Exhibit 1 .
1967	The Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template states that we need to provide supporting documents. The RFP states on page 103, "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." Can the government please confirm if offerors must provide supporting documents for each REP? If not, can the Government please remove the language in the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template stating offerors must provide supporting documentation?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
1979	Are Offerors required to submit a commercial small business subcontracting plan with their proposal in Volume I, or after award?	Offerors that are other than small businesses are required to submit a subcontracting plan with their initial proposal per FAR 52.219-9 and in accordance with the instructions in Section A.1.32.
1990	Reference: (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) please define "For All Categories"	For All Categories means Category A, B and C.
1993	We noticed that Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table, notes the description can be up to three pages, but it is only a one-page PDF. Can we re-create this document with the same fields in our working Word Document, or is this specific from required to be used?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

1994	<p>In Exhibit 1 it requests we identify where the supporting information is in the attached documents. What supporting information do you want? In the Offer Volume 1 page 103 it notes “No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template.”</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
1997	<p>RFP, A.3.6 B(7); Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.</p> <p>This language specifically identifies situations between prime and JV bids. Does duplication between proposals of two subsidiaries, both leveraging management approach, processes, best practices, etc.; of the same Parent organization, allow for duplication of content? For example, a recently formed entity, under a Native America Corporation, would be managed by or modeled after their Parent organization. Another subsidiary, under that Parent organization would have the same/very similar management approach. Will NASA allow for duplication of content between proposals in this situation?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED</p>

1998	<p>RFP, A.3.6 B(7); Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.</p> <p>The referenced language seemingly allows for reuse of content in multiple sections of the proposal, but leaves out technical approach. In some situations (for example, a Prime on Unrestricted and that same Prime as part of a Mentor Protégé JV, or two subsidiaries leveraging technical capabilities of their parent organization), there would be logical and justifiable instances of duplication of technical approach. Will NASA allow for duplication of technical approach in these type situations?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
2002	<p>In section A.3.5 of the RFP, the Government states “Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror.” We understand “group” to refer to the Groups A1 and B1 – Unrestricted and A2 and B2 – Small business set aside in each of the scope categories, A and B (Group C is only reserved for small businesses). Is our interpretation of this statement correct, that a qualified bidder may submit both an unrestricted bid and a small business set aside bid in the same category?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7.</p>
2005	<p>In section A.3.7.1(c) of the RFP titled Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4), the Government states that “an Offeror can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category for which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer System Design Services.” Confirm that a bidder who is deemed “Large” under NAICS 541512, may, at its discretion, submit a Category B2 base contract bid as a small business set aside submission if that bidder was deemed “Small” under one of the Exhibit 4 associated sub NAICS, i.e., 334220.</p>	<p>An offeror must select a single NAICS code that will be used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract award. A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519e- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A.</p>

2011	<p>REP template (Attachment 1): The instructions allow for three pages for each REP but the fillable form provided doesn't allow for that. Data pasted into the Project Description resizes the text to cram it all onto the single page of the template. Would the government please release an updated form that will accommodate text across pages?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2013	<p>Would the government please confirm Climate Change Risk Management is a post award deliverable?</p>	<p>The noted Attachments for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan, SEWP C-SCRM Annual Plan and Climate Change Risk Management, (G, J and L) are post award documents. They are not required as part of the Offeror's proposal. The Government will work with Contract Holders post-award to ensure all post-award forms are fully functional and provide guidance on their usage, submission process and submission dates.</p>
2015	<p>Could the government please clarify that a Meaningful Relationships letter submission is not required for a Small Business Prime Contractor looking to team with other small businesses through CTA.</p>	<p>Please reference the 6th bullet under Section A.3.7.1- Offer Volume.</p>
2019	<p>If a contract's period of performance is extended due to external events like COVID-19, would Govt. consider the extended period of performance while evaluating product relevancy and the three-year look-back period (for REPs and Past Performance), or would Govt. only consider the original period of performance end date?</p>	<p>If a contract's period of performance is extended due to external events like COVID-19, the Government will consider the extended period of performance while evaluating product relevancy and the three-year look-back period (for REPs and Past Performance). The Government will consider past periods of performance in evaluating overall relevance</p>
2027	<p>We see mention of 9.104 in the scoring in Volume III. Should we be including this in the previously mentioned reference in Volume I or Volume III?</p>	<p>Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume) in Volume I.</p>

2028	<p>(Comment only) We would like to thank the Government for reducing the Category B Relevant Experience Project thresholds to \$4M for Socioeconomic Small Businesses, as stated in the following requirement, much appreciated:</p> <p>"For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 different REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$4M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template."</p>	This is only a comment.
2037	In reference to Section A.3.7.1, page 103, will labor category discounts at the order level be permitted?	All SEWP contract pricing are ceiling prices and discounted pricing is encouraged at the RFQ/Order level.
2039	In reference to the Exhibit 1 REP template, can offerors provide a response without including the exhibit instructions? The current instructions specify that the REPs should be limited to 3 pages. However, the instructions themselves occupy a significant amount of space, reducing the space available for a substantive response.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2044	On Page 110, the RFP states that "The Mission Suitability Proposal must specifically state for which group the offeror is proposing. The proposal shall clearly and fully demonstrate the offeror's capability, knowledge, and experience regarding the technical requirements of this RFP." Did the government mean to use "Volume" instead of "Proposal"?	The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7 to update the wording to reflect Mission Suitability "Volume"

2046	Attachment A states "While SEWP Contractors are required to provide Continental United States (CONUS) rate for delivery, Federal Agencies with Outside Continental United States (OCONUS) rate locations may utilize the SEWP contracts based on mutually agreed upon delivery arrangements." Can the Government please clarify what "mutually agreed upon delivery arrangements" means? Who will determine the "mutually agreed upon delivery agreements" and how will they be	Mutually agreed upon refers to agreement between the Government Issuing Procurement Office for the given requirement and the Contract Holder.
2054	Part III & A.3.7.1 & Exhibit 1 - REP Table (REP Page 103 & Exhibit 1, Page 1): Please confirm each REP should reference one, and only one, mandatory experience technical area.	Yes, each REP should reference one, and only one, mandatory experience technical area.
2055	Exhibit 1 REP Table, Part III (Exhibit 1, Page 1): Instructions state the description must "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please confirm this means offerors are to submit Statements of Work or other contractual documents and/or deliverables to support PART III: Project Description.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

2057	<p>In reference to Section A.1.35 and A.3.7.1, Section A.1.35 states "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." Section A.3.7.1 says that the offer shall provide as part of their proposal "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes." Could the government clarify if the AbilityOne commitment letter must be submitted with the proposal or if the agreement with AbilityOne can be furnished once the contractor is awarded a place on SEWP VI?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).</p>
2065	<p>Under 3.7.1(b), it is unclear as to whether or not Exhibit 1 needs to be submitted in addition to the Exhibit 3a for Category A. Please clarify if Exhibit 1 is required to be submitted in addition to Exhibit 3a for those offerors only bidding on Category A</p>	<p>Exhibit 1 is only filled out and submitted for Category B and C.</p>
2066	<p>The instruction on the header in Exhibit 1 says to refer to "A.3.5.1(b)". We believe the proper reference in Exhibit 1 should be "A.3.7.1(b)" vs "A.3.5.1(b)", please confirm</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

2068	NAICS 541512 and 541513 for Categories B and C include an asterisk indicating required use of AbilityOne as subcontractors, while Category A for these same NAICS code don't include an asterisk. Please confirm that NAICS 541512 and 541513 require use of AbilityOne as subcontractors for Categories B and C.	The asterisk in the NAICS tables on section A.1.34 indicate that the use of AbilityOne as a subcontractor is mandatory for 541512 and 541513 in Categories B and C.
2069	Please confirm that Group C2 is reserved for HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, and 8(a) offerors.	Group C2 is currently RESERVED, no group assignment has been made.
2073	The first sentence of this section says "The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance." It is not clear whether the government is requesting Exhibit 2 to be submitted for the 1 to 3 recent similar contracts as stated under A.3.7.2(a), or all of the recent similar contracts we list in accordance with the sample Table 1 under A.3.7.2(a)12 (on page 109). Please clarify.	Exhibit 2 should be submitted for each of the 1 to 3 recent and similar contracts provided in Section A.3.7.2(a).
2078	A.2.1 List of Attachments (p.88): Please confirm Attachment I: Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan should be part of the Offeror's proposal submission. If so, will the Government provide a template? And in which volume should Attachment I be included?	Attachment I: Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan shall be submitted as part of the Offeror's proposal Offer volume submission. The government is not providing a template. Industry is advised to utilize subcontracting plan guidance provided in 52.219-9.
2083	A.3.7.1(b). Please confirm that Offerors are to respond to four of the eight mandatory categories for Category A submissions.	Yes, For Category A. the Offerors are to respond to 4 of the 8 technical areas plus include at least 2 line items in Technical Area 9a.
2087	Page 102 on the RFP states, "A brief description is to be provided in Column 'D' for each Technical Areas with no more than 2500 characters, 500 words." Please confirm this refers to column E in Technical Area 1-8 tabs and column D in Technical	The sentence refers to Column D in the Information Tab.
2097	Where on Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table does the offeror indicate which category and mandatory experience technical area it is responding to?	"The offeror indicates which category and mandatory experience technical area it is responding to in the row that states: Mandatory Experience Sub-area"

2102	Are subcontractors also required to have the ISO 9001 and (where applicable) the CMMI certification?	At the Proposal and contract level, subcontractors are not required to have the stated certifications.
2114	The first paragraph of this section states, "Product only requirements are not in scope for this category." Does this imply that products that are attached to services are allowed in this category?	Yes. Ancillary products required to fulfill an in scope service requirement are permitted.
2122	This section states, "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." Is the offeror required to submit an agreement with an AbilityOne contract company or is the offeror required to have an agreement with AbilityOne NPA? Or are both required?	The offeror is required to have an agreement with an AbilityOne NPA. A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING
2123	Will it be possible to upload a test file to the Proposal Upload section of the SEWPVI RFP Website a week in advance of the submittal due date to allow sufficient time to resolve any potential IT issues?	Yes. The Proposal Upload tool is available. Any files, including test files, uploaded prior to the submission close date are overwritten by any subsequent file uploads.
2125	Page 98 states, "Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort." How will the use of consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors affect evaluation of the proposal?	This is not an evaluation factor.
2126	Attachment A - SEWP Scope, A.5.2 Program Office Support states, "The Contractor Program Manger must be dedicated solely to a single Contractor." We understand that the Contractor PM will be assigned to the prime contractor, but does this requirement mean that the Contractor PM must be	No, Contractor PM is not required to be solely dedicated to SEWP.

2129	<p>REP Exhibit 1 mentions – “the supporting information/evidence in the attached documents”. What does the government intend for offerors to attach? Must those attachments be included within the 3 page count for that REP?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2131	<p>Regarding A.3.7.1(a) - for Small Business Offerors, for ISO 9001:2015 Certification, does “certification approval is in process” require that the appraisal body is already actively reviewing the Offeror’s status at the time of SEWP VI proposal submission? Or is acceptable that the Offeror has, at the time of proposal submission, established proof of intent with the appraisal body to initiate review at a later time, as long as the certification process is successfully completed within 12 months of contract award?</p>	<p>The stated requirement in the RFP is that the "certification is in process by providing the Point of Contact information including the name of appraisal body and name, phone number, and email of a representative from whom the Offeror is obtaining the certification"</p>
2141	<p>While bidding for more than one category, can we use our same Past Performances? Can we use the REP as a Past performance under the same category or different</p>	<p>Yes, the same Past Performance can be used in proposals in more than one category. Yes, the same project can be used for REP and Past Performance.</p>
2144	<p>Please confirm that the Government does not require a WOSB to be an SBA certified WOSB.</p>	<p>The concern must be certified by SBA in accordance with 13 CFR 127.300.</p>
2146	<p>Relevant Experience Tables, Part III states: Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents.</p> <p>Please specify what documentation will be accepted as evidence, is the Government SOW acceptable? Please also specify how we are to add the supporting documentation to the Government provided PDF file.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

2147	<p>Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Tables, Part III states: Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents.</p> <p>Does the Government require a separate document with a Table of Contents, does the Government require the supporting documentation to be added to the Exhibit 1 as attached additional pages, or does the Government require the supporting documentation in some other way?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2156	<p>In responding to Vol 3, Suitability, can other contracts be used to show capabilities beyond the 2 Reps submitted for SDVOSB? If other contracts are approved for use can proof of performance documentation be submitted outside of page count?</p>	<p>No. REP Submittals must be limited to the required number. Extra REPs have no relevance and will not be reviewed. REPs are not evaluated in Volume 3,</p>
2160	<p>Will there be a Socio Economic mix for Category A same as Category B & C.</p>	<p>Yes. All socio-economic designations are available for all three Categories.</p>
2161	<p>For Group A – there is a minimum of 4 categories that have to be responded to, in the sub-areas, do you have to list all the product in the sub-areas, or can you select from the sub-areas for the products to propose and what is the minimum number of sub-areas?</p>	<p>The Technical sub-areas are examples of in-scope products and services in a Technical Area. Sub-areas are not required to be addressed in the proposed offerings.</p>

2162	<p>Section A.3.7.1, subparagraph (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings. On page 103, the RFP states: "For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract." We have interpreted the term "single IDIQ" to mean that you cannot roll up task orders on a single IDIQ contract to the IDIQ level to meet the minimum size or scope requirements for Categories B and C. However, if there is a single, standalone task order, on the single IDIQ that meets the requirement an offer can use that individual task order as has been the approach with similar IDIQ and GWAC solicitations. confirm this understanding is correct.</p>	<p>Yes, a single awarded Task Order that meets the mandatory size requirement off of an awarded GWAC or other IDIQ contract is compliant to be submitted as a Past Performance reference and/ a Relevant Experience Project.</p>
2163	<p>On the 1,000 CLINS that have to be proposed for a Group A Category, can it be products from 1 OEM Memory Modules, Hard Drives, Graphic Cards, etc from the same OEM?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>
2164	<p>Can you use other manufacturers that are not listed on Enclosure 1- SEWP VI Providers List of Providers to be used by Offeror as Designated Providers as explained in Section A.3.7.1. (b) Mandatory Experience for the Sub-Areas or only manufactures that are listed on the SEWP Providers List?</p>	<p>No. Only the designated providers need to be from the list in Enclosure 1. There are no restrictions for the selection of secondary and additional providers.</p>
2166	<p>Post Award, will you be able to see RFQ's from the other Groups, A, B and C or are you only able to see RFQ's from the Category that you proposed?</p>	<p>RFQs are only visible to the Category NAICs and business designation set by the Issuing Agency.</p>
2168	<p>Under the POC Tab, it states the POC must be a US citizen. Is there a requirement to have this validated in writing by the POC listed? There is no reference to a US Citizen requirement in the LOA.</p>	<p>No. There is no additional documentation required beyond that which is stated in the RFP.</p>

2169	<p>“Can you add 517810 “all other telecommunications” to the NAICS list? NAICS 517919 was folded into that NAICS code in 2022. Please also confirm that any projects in NAICS 517919 could list 517810 as the appropriate NAICS code</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7.</p>
2171	<p>A.3.6 (7) states, “offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.” Please confirm that means that where Company A and Company B have formed joint venture AB, each of Company A, Company B and Joint Venture AB may each use the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.</p>	<p>Please reference the last paragraph of Section A.3.6.(B)(7).</p>
2174	<p>For the Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaire, For the Basis of Selection section, there are bubbles that need to be filled in for Technical, Cost/Price, and Other (Specify). Since the Offeror is to complete this form for the evaluator to review and approve, what if the basis of selection is unknown by the</p>	<p>The Offeror can leave the basis of Selection to be filled in by the Evaluator.</p>
2175	<p>On page 1 of the SF1449, the note states, "Offeror to Complete Blocks 12, 17, 23, 24, AND 30." On page 98 of the RFP, Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume states, "The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30" Would the government please advise which boxes are required to be completed by the Offeror for the SF1449?</p>	<p>As stated in A.3.7.1: "The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments. "</p>
2177	<p>For the completion of Exhibit 2, should offerors list all the task areas covered by the REP/contract or just the one that is being written to for the mandatory experience section?</p>	<p>Exhibit 2 is not connected to REPs. The Offeror should state the relevant task areas referred to with the Past Performance.</p>

2178	Would the government consider posting a Microsoft Word version of the solicitation document as some of the pages within the current PDF version include other formatted pages such as images?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2179	In Category C, can you confirm if we can only bid on 4 representative areas, or can we bid on all 10?	For OTSB, only 4 REPs can be submitted.
2180	For Exhibit 4 - Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk, should an Offeror complete all sheets (Category A, B, and C) no matter which category being proposed?	The Offeror shall only complete Exhibit 4 for the Category being proposed.
2181	Can the same project instances be used for Past Performance and Mandatory Relevant Experience Requirement?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
2186	The solicitation outlines parameters for off-ramping based on performance. Are there also off-ramping parameters related to changes in company size? Specifically, if a company secures a contract as a small business but subsequently grows to be classified as a large business, how is this situation managed under the contract guidelines?	Off-ramping does not occur based on business size changes.
2188	In Category B, can a OTSB use a REP from an affiliate? In Category B, can a OTSB use a REP from a different wholly-owned subsidiary within our organization?	Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g).
2189	Request an 8-point Arial Narrow font for graphics, chart and tables.	The solicitation will be updated to allow 10 point fonts for headers, footers, callout boxes and captions.
2190	Regarding A.4.5, FAR 9.103(b), this is an unusual request. Would the SBA have time and resources to create a letter for us in time to include in our proposal?	The responsibility determination IAW FAR 9.103 is a federal acquisition requirement and does not have a proposal submission requirement.

2192	Can the government please point out to the ATTACHMENT H?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).
2194	Please confirm that small business offerors offering solutions under NAICS 541519* (ITVAR) in Category A do not require to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors?	Yes, offerors proposing under NAICS 541519e footnote 18 (Information Technology Value Added Resellers) are required to have a formal agreement with an AbilityOne nonprofit if the task order involves a designated NAICS code or Product Service Code requiring AbilityOne subcontracting.
2195	Does the Sponsor want Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet converted into PDF for final submission in accordance with instructions provided on page 95?	As specified in Section A.3.6(A)(3)- Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF, in the most readable manner practicable, and submitted as part of a single PDF file.
2196	Under Category C, will SEWP VI procure program and project management, software development, web development systems engineering and IT maintenance not associated with a product or solutions?	All of the listed services are in scope for Category C.
2197	Can a offeror provide CLINs of the OEM which is not a designated provider for the primary proposed technical area?	The Offeror can include CLINs from additional providers once the mandatory requirements are met for the designated and secondary providers.
2199	For the NAICS codes marked with two asterisks (**) is is unclear if the footnote of one asterisk (*) also applies to those. Does 541519e and 513210 require the use of Ability One as subcontractor?	No, 541519e and 513210 does not require the use of Ability One NPA as subcontractors.

2201	Past performance references should showcase at least three (3) content representative areas or should showcase the NAICS mentioned in exhibit 4?	<p>Past performance references should showcase at least three (3) content representative areas for Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A and C and four (4) content areas for Other Than Small Businesses. Offerors can use any NAICS code from Exhibit 4; however, the content of the reference should be representative of the work proposed.</p> <p>A.3.7.2(a), Exhibit 4</p>
2203	If my company is large in NAICS 541512 but small in NAICS 518210, can I submit as a small in NAICS 518210? Can I use the small business criteria for my submission?	Yes, an Offeror may submit their Offer Level NAICS and Business size under any in-scope NAICS code. The Offeror's submission requirement (e.g. number of REPs, etc) is based on the selected Offer Level NAICS and Business size .
2205	In the industry call today (June 4), the demo showed that bidders choose the set-aside from a dropdown list. If a bidder is certified in more than one set-aside, can the submitter choose more than one item from the dropdown list?	No. Only one NAICS and Business size can be selected for the Proposal Level,
2208	If we plan to only sell only products and services made by existing manufacturers, for example printers, computers, scanners, are we required to use AbilityOne subcontractors?	<p>If the printers, computers, and scanners are being purchased from existing manufacturers and resold to the government without any additional services that fall under the designated NAICS codes or Product Service Codes requiring AbilityOne subcontracting, then the use of AbilityOne subcontractors is not mandatory.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>

2209	We do not plan on subcontracting with any entity. Is AbilityOne still a requirement for us?	<p>If you do not plan on subcontracting any part of the work under the SEWP contract, you are still required to use AbilityOne subcontractors for the portions of work covered by the designated NAICS codes or Product Service Codes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2210	<p>Page 99 of RFP, Information from first- tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract. Can a OTSB use a MRCL to validate mandatory experience and past performance.</p>	<p>No, an Other Than Small Business (OTSB) cannot use a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter (MRCL) to validate mandatory experience and past performance. MRCLs are only applicable to Small Businesses who may submit experience of other business entities when they do not independently demonstrate capabilities and/or past performance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.3.7.1(F)</p>
2211	For Category A we are asked to submit a maximum of 4 technical areas and also technical area 9. If awarded, will we be able to provide offerings in all technical areas or will we be limited to the 4 we selected with our response? If we are not allowed to provide offerings in all technical areas for Category A, would we be able to change our selections at a later time?	<p>Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p>

2212	<p>The Government provided both Attachment J – C_SCRM Attestation Form and Exhibit 5 – C-SCRM Attestation Form with the solicitation The List of Attachments in Section A.2.1 of the RFP (p. 88) indicates that Attachment J is “To Be Submitted” (TBS). However, the Instructions to Offerors regarding the Commitment to Supply Chain Management in the Mission Suitability Volume (A.3.7.3(b)(1)v only refer to Exhibit 5. Please clarify whether or not offerors need to submit a completed Exhibit 5 or Attachment J, or both.</p>	<p>The noted Attachments for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan, SEWP C-SCRM Annual Plan and Climate Change Risk Management, (G, J and L) are post award documents. They are not required as part of the Offeror's proposal. The Government will work with Contract Holders post-award to ensure all post-award forms are fully functional and provide guidance on their usage, submission process and submission dates.</p>
2216	<p>RFP Section A.3.6 (B) (2) states that Excel exhibits are formatted using 9- 12-point type Times New Roman font. However, Parts I and II of Exhibit-1 have fonts of many sizes, and the Part III area where offerors are supposed to provide a description of IT services provided makes the font too small to read if more than two paragraphs are written.</p> <p>Question: Can the government please update the form so that the description area expands and flows to the next pages, instead of resizing the font smaller to make the description fit in the single page?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2217	<p>For Category A we are asked to submit a maximum of 4 technical areas and also technical area 9. If awarded, will we be able to provide offerings in all technical areas or will we be limited to the 4 we selected with our response? If we are not allowed to provide offerings in all technical areas for Category A, would we be able to change our selections at a later time?</p>	<p>"Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p> <p>"</p>

2219	<p>RFP Section A.1.35, page 64, paragraph 2 states that Attachment H is applicable at the order level.</p> <p>Question: Where can the offeror find Attachment H?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).</p>
2221	<p>RFP Section A.1.35 page 64 paragraph 3 states that “All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP order using Product Service Codes (PSC): D321 – IT and Telecom- Help Desk, DE01 – IT and Telecom – End User: Help Desk; Tier1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools (Labor), DE10 – IT and Telecom – End User As A Service: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools, 7E20 – IT and Telecom – End User: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tool (HW/Perpetual SW), have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors utilizing any of the referenced Product Service Codes. Contract holders shall allocate a target goal of at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors. If Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as the prime.”</p> <p>Question: Does this mean that all subcontracted support must be with a Ability One subcontractor, unless one is not able to provide the services or just at least 2% of IDIQ funding and we can use other than AbilityOne Subcontractors as long as we are</p>	<p>The requirement to use AbilityOne subcontractors applies only to the portion of work on the task order that falls under the designated NAICS codes (marked with an asterisk) or Product Service Codes. The 2% target goal is a minimum requirement for the overall contract value, and contractors can use other subcontractors for portions of work not covered by the designated codes</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>

2223	During today's industry day, while discussing the proposal response submission, it looked like the vendor can only select one NAICS code for the submission. If we are leveraging multiple NAICS codes for our response (REP's and Past Performances fall under various NAICS codes), which one should we use for the proposal submission?	Only one proposal level NAICS code may be utilized for a proposal.
2224	The Proposal Submission Table in Section A.3.6(B) of the RFP (pages 95-96) lists "Mandatory Experience Exhibit" (page limitations excluded) as one of the components of the Offer Volume. Is the Mandatory Experience Exhibit the same as Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project (REP) Table? If so, is it excluded from the page limits or is it limited to 3 pages per REP as stated in Exhibit 1?	Yes - Exhibit 1 is excluded from the overall proposal page limit, but the documentation attached to each REP is limited to 3 pages.
2226	RFP Paragraph A.3.7.2 (a), pp.109 states "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project." Does "and accompany each category of the relevant experience project" mean Offerors are required to reference the same projects for the Experience factor and the Past Performance Factor? If not, please clarify the requirement.	No, "and accompany each category of the relevant experience project" does not mean Offerors are required to reference the same projects for the Experience factor and the Past Performance factor. Offerors may use different projects for each factor, provided the projects demonstrate relevant experience and past performance for each respective factor. A.3.7.2(a) and A.4
2229	Is the Past Performance History described in Section A.3.7.2(a)12 of the RFP (p. 108-109) included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume?	Yes. The Past Performance History described in Section A.3.7.2(a)12 of the RFP is included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume. All required information for the Past Performance Volume must be included within the specified page limits.
2230	Is the Past Performance Matrix described in Section A.3.7.2.(a)12 of the RFP (p. 109) included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume?	Yes. The Past Performance Matrix described in Section A.3.7.2.(a)12 of the RFP is included in the 10-page limit for the Past Performance Volume.

2235	When a small business offeror submits a past performance, are they limited to only using past performance that is for a NAICS in which they qualify as small in that Category's list of applicable/in-scope NAICS?	Small business Offerors are not limited to using past performance only for a NAICS in which they qualify as small. They can utilize any relevant experience, including those obtained under different NAICS codes. A.3.7.2(a)
2241	Can the government please point out to the CLIN numbers file?	CLINs are created by the offeror. They are unique identifiers to be used to quote the offering (model, warranty, labor category, etc) The only requirement is that each CLIN be unique for the offeror and specific offering.
2243	Is a prime offeror precluded from being included as designated provider for another offeror's proposal under Category A?	No. A prime offeror is not precluded from being included as designated provider for another offeror's proposal under Category A
2245	For A.3.7.3 Mission Sustainability, does the government require a documented evaluation of a company's environmental policies but an outside evaluator?	No, the government does not require a documented evaluation of a company's environmental policies by an outside evaluator.
2246	Can Past Performance (Volume II) and REPS (Exhibit 1) be the same record of work performed or do they have to be different? If yes, does the offeror needs to submit only Exhibit 1?	Past Performance (Volume II) and REPS (Exhibit 1) can be the same record of work performed. The Offeror is still required to submit both Exhibit 1 and Volume II with all required information. A.3.7.2(a)
2247	Is the requirement for a total of "3 content representative areas" to be reflected or is the requirement for "3 content representative areas" per past performance citation?	The requirement is for a total of "3 content representative areas" to be reflected across the Offeror's Past Performance references. Each individual contract reference does not need to have three separate content areas. A.3.7.2(a)

2248	Can the requirement for "3 content representative areas" be addressed within one project or is the requirement that the "3 content representative areas" be addressed across differing projects?	The requirement for "3 content representative areas" can be addressed within a single project or across multiple projects, as long as the Offeror demonstrates relevant experience across the three areas. A.3.7.2(a)
2252	Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet - Technical Area Tabs Many OEMs use the same base part number but have differing CLINs as features and/or configurations of the offering is expanded. Examples include software that offers a product but has differing CLINs based on number of seats that are allowed access. If one product has multiple CLINs based solely on the scope or accessibility, does each CLIN represent "distinct and relevant" CLIN?	Yes. A CLIN is a unique representation of a part number. One part number can be associated with an unlimited number of CLINs as long as each CLIN has a distinct description from each other; such as configuration or warranty; or period of performance, etc.
2253	If awarded, are the contractors allowed to quote items in other Technical Areas than the 4 offered?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS, socio-economic set-aside and any other task order level requirement.

2255	<p>""Prime Offerors shall furnish a minimum of 1 but no more than 3 contracts. On 12, Offeror in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least 3 content areas.""</p> <p>Could you please clarify if this means that each individual contract provided must include references for 3 content areas, or if the requirement is for the collective contracts (up to 3) to cover at least 3 content areas in total?</p> <p>For example, if an Offeror provides 3 contract references, do they need to provide a total of 9 content references (3 for each contract) or just ensure that the 3 contracts collectively cover at least 3 content areas?"</p>	<p>The requirement is for the collective contracts (up to three) to cover at least three content areas in total. For example, if an Offeror provides three contract references, they need to ensure that the three contracts collectively cover at least three content areas.</p> <p>A.3.7.2(a)</p>
2256	<p>Prime Offerors shall furnish a minimum of 1 but no more than 3 contracts..... Question: On the Table 1 Contract Identifier, the example shows 4 contracts, should it be just 3?</p>	<p>The table on page 12 is a sample Past Performance Matrix and the number of contracts provided is for example purposes only. Prime Offerors shall furnish a minimum of one but no more than three contracts for Past Performance.</p> <p>A.3.7.2(a)</p>
2257	<p>Does the quantities of references affect the ratings?</p>	<p>No. The quantity of references does not affect the ratings. The evaluation criteria focus on the relevancy and quality of the past performance references provided, not the number of references submitted.</p> <p>A.4.3</p>
2260	<p>Can the government clarify the significance of the "individual NMR Waiver" applying under various Technical Areas? Does that mean non-manufacturer rule would not apply for orders for offerings within the Technical Area (except those excluded in the RFP)? If yes, does that apply to any OEM offerings proposed or just those in Enclosure 1?</p>	<p>Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. The non-manufacturer rule applies to any order that is for products not covered by the waivers as described in the solicitation. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.</p>

2261	Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table makes reference to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the RFP. That section does not exist in the final RFP, will the Government please update this reference to match the final RFP?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2264	<p>Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant.</p> <p>In Group A does Technical Area 9a Product Based Services apply to the Category A Past Performance Content Representative Areas?</p>	<p>Technical Areas 9a, 11b and 11c are not considered a Past Performance Content Representative area and are excluded from the REP Areas.</p> <p>A.1.2 Scope of Work and A.3.7.2</p>
2271	The RFP states "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Does each references need to showcase solutions in 3 content representative areas, or do offerors need to showcase 3 areas over the aggregate of their past performance references?	<p>The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.</p> <p>A.3.7.2(a)</p>
2272	Please confirm offerors are only required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter if they are proposing under the NAICS codes that are designated to require AbilityOne as subcontractors.	<p>Offerors are required to include an AbilityOne Commitment Letter only if proposing under the NAICS codes designated with an asterisk, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>

2273	Section A.3.7.1 states "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Where in the proposal should offerors state the specific NAICS code they are using for competition?	The specific NAICS code being used for competition will be indicated in Exhibit 4: Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk.
2274	<p>"The second paragraph states ""Attachment H is applicable at the order level...."" In the third paragraph, the government states ""Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with one of the AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (which offeror assumes is Attachment H)...</p> <p>What agreement is required at the SEWP VI contract level and what is required at the task order level? Does it satisfy the government's instructions to have a letter of commitment from one of the central nonprofit agencies (e.g. SourceAmerica or NIB) at time of contract award, with specific formal agreements to be negotiated subsequently with each task order?"</p>	<p>At the SEWP VI contract level, Offerors are required to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter identifying planned subcontracting with AbilityOne nonprofits. At the task order level, a formal agreement with the specific AbilityOne nonprofit subcontractor is required (Attachment H). The government will accept a Letter of Commitment from a central nonprofit agency at the contract award, with specific formal agreements (Attachment H) to be negotiated at the task order level.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2277	Instructions state: Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes. Please clarify if Offerors are to submit these documents within our volume 1 PDF or as a separate attachment under the Volume 1 folder.	<p>Offerors should submit the Subcontracting Plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter within Volume 1 of the proposal, as a part of the single PDF document.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME</p>
2278	Please confirm that JVs submitting a response as a Prime and sole bidder do not need to provide Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters.	JVs submitting a response as a Prime and sole bidder still need to provide Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters if they are sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.

2281	Given that Categories B and C are new for SEWP, it may take some time for task orders to start coming out. We recommend that the timeline for identifying dormant status starts 12 months after contract start. This gives the SEWP PMO and Category awardees time to adjust to the task order flow and process.	NASA anticipates Requirement submittals to begin in all three categories within days of the Contract start date.
2283	Will there be a way for stand-alone services, under the simplified acquisition threshold, to be procured through Category A? Allowing this will help ITVARs meet the 15-50% services requirement if it is spread across the master contract, similar to how SEWP V measures the 15-50% services requirement for NAICS 541519e ITVAR.	There is no requirement for a product to be purchased when a customer orders in scope services in Category A.
2284	With regard to Exhibit 5 in the case of IT service offerings, is the government trying to confirm compliance with NIST 800-171 Rev.2?	The Government is requiring a level of Cyber Security compliance to be indicated through either either Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form which is primarily based on NIST 800-171 Rev.2 or a copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification to attest to meeting the ISO 20243 standard.
2286	In lieu of completing Exhibit 5, may Offerors provide proof of full compliance with NIST 800-171 per requirements in DFARS 252.204-7012, Safeguarding Covered Defense Information and Cyber Incident Reporting?	No, Exhibit 5 is to be submitted, no substitutions.

2289	<p>"All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes identified with an asterisk." All contractors (large and small?) are required to use AbilityOne subcontractors. However, only other-than-small bidders are required to submit a small business subcontracting plan—which includes the AbilityOne commitment letter—with their proposals. Are small bidders required to submit an AbilityOne commitment letter? If so, where should it be located?</p>	<p>"Small businesses are required to submit an AbilityOne commitment letter with their proposal, located within Volume 1 of the proposal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING"</p>
2291	<p>"Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes will need to provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter...</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And</p> <p>Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract."</p> <p>Does this mean the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter does not apply only to entities within a corporate structure, but more broadly to subcontractors, JV partners, and other teaming arrangements?</p>	<p>Yes, a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter applies to any Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure.</p>

2297	We are an ITVAR and most of our contracts are under 541519 and we are certified by SBA as WOSB. Can we submit as Small Business under NAICS Code 519290 for category B and C where we meet the criteria of 1,000 employees or less knowing that our past performance will be based on 541519 ITVAR?	An Offeror selects the size standard associated with the single ""NAICS code for competition"" and business size when uploading their proposal through the SEWP VI portal. The selected NAICS and size are the basis for the various business size requirements such as mandatory number of Past Performance and Relevant Experience Projects. Note that the available NAICS codes are listed in Exhibit 4. If your firm qualifies as a small business under 519290, you can submit an offer as a small business under that NAICS code. Your firm's past performance will be evaluated based on its relevancy to the NAICS code selected on Exhibit 4.
2299	Can the experience projects used in Volume 1 be the same as the projects submitted in Volume 2?	Yes. The experience projects in Volume 1 may be the same or different from those submitted in Volume 2.
2302	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME states "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." However, 3.7.1. (b) For Category B and C states "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." Please reconcile the contradiction of the requirement that all relevant experience must be in the one NAICS code the offeror selects for competition as opposed to the ability to submit different projects that have any of the NAICS codes listed as in-scope.	An Offeror may propose experience under multiple NAICS codes in their proposal, but must select a single NAICS code for competition. The single NAICS code will be the predominant NAICS code under which the majority of the Offeror's proposed solutions fall.
2307	By what mechanism can bidders who qualify in more than one set aside submit bids?	Only one submission is allowed per Category. Other NAICS codes and business sizes relevant to the Offeror should be provided in Exhibit 4.
2325	RFP Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME,(b) page 103 paragraph 1 states that "A REP provided by an Offeror shall meet the mandatory experience technical area for the category being proposed on Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table." Where on the Exhibit 1 table do we enter the category being proposed?	The Offeror should notate the Technical Area In the Mandatory Experience Sub-area row in Exhibit 1. The Category doe not need to be entered since the proposal process identified which Category a proposal is being submitted for.

2326	RFP Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME,(b) page 103 paragraph 1 states that "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." However, the Exhibit 1 template states "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." To what attached documents does this refer?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2332	Will the contractor know at the time of quoting that the customer intends to pay by credit card so that we can add the PURCHASECARD-Z CLIN into the quote? Our default is to assume customers will pay via EFT via ACH, paper check, or other non-credit card method, which do not cost us any	There is no guarantee as to the information provided by an Issuing CO. Contract Holders will be allowed to ask for payment clarification during any RFQ Question and Answer period.
2334	Cat A LOAs - please clarify who the LOAs POCs should be. Does it need to be a sales rep? Can it be a technical POC or a help desk rep?	The POC must be a US Citizen and identifiable as either the provider's federal sales representative or Equivalent.
2337	Can I submit the same RREP for Category B and C? What is the real difference between Category B Task Areas vs Category C task areas. Lot of them have a overlap. What is the government looking different when a RREP is say for e.g submitted for Category B - 8. Enterprise-Wide Cybersecurity Services vs RREP is submitted for Category C - 5. Cybersecurity Services ?	Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C. The Offeror should focus on the REP meeting the general description provided under each Technical Area in Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK.
2338	Category C REP requirements: The solicitation outlines the project requirements for SB and HUBZ/VOSB/SDVOSB/etc., but not for OTSB. Please provide the project requirements for OTSB.	Category C is limited to Small Businesses.

2342	What documentation is required for REPs? SOW ok? If it is a subcontract, then SubK agreement is ok?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2348	Our company is submitting multiple proposals under different socio-economic categories. Do we need to register the company separately for each proposal submission on the NASA SEWP website?	No. Multiple proposals cannot be submitted from the same company.
2354	For Categories B and C, can relevant experience projects be used to cover multiple mandatory experience technical areas, or does each project only count towards one area?	Each Technical Area must have its own separate REP listed.
2359	Section A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings (Page 101-105) and Section A.3.7.2(a) Past Performance (Page 105-109) - Can you please confirm if the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) provided for the mandatory experience/offerings can be the same contracts provided for the past performance references, as long as they meet all the stated criteria for each	Yes.
2365	Can we use the same REPs across multiple technical areas?	No, the same REPs cannot be used across multiple technical areas.
2366	Can we utilize the same projects for REPs as well as Volume II Past Performance?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
2375	Does only some of the NAICS codes require the use of AbilityOne Sub? NAICS marked with astreik? (Page 63 of thr RFP)	Yes, only the NAICS codes marked with an asterisk on Page 63 of the RFP require the use of AbilityOne subcontractors. A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING

2377	“Many Federal Agencies are procuring RFID Equipment for Asset Management. Would RFID Equipment fall under Technical Area 4a?”	Yes, RFID is in scope for SEWP VI and could be proposed in Technical Area 4a in Exhibit 3a.
2380	In the Category C Table, does the small business offeror must have Ability One Subcontractor on their team at the SEWP VI GWAC proposal submission or at the task order level proposal?	<p>Small business offerors proposing under Category C do not need to have an AbilityOne subcontractor on their team at the SEWP VI GWAC proposal submission stage. The requirement to use AbilityOne subcontractors applies at the task order level when utilizing the designated NAICS codes or Product Service Codes.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2381	Does the small business offeror must have Ability One Subcontractor on their team in the SEWP VI GWAC proposal submission or at the task order level proposal?	Small business offerors proposing under Category C do not need to have an AbilityOne subcontractor on their team at the SEWP VI GWAC proposal submission stage. The requirement to use AbilityOne subcontractors applies at the task order level when utilizing the designated NAICS codes or Product Service Codes.
2393	For Category B & C: The Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table form is one page. The form states a maximum of 3 pages. Does the offeror continue the Part III Project Description on blank pdf pages as page 2 and 3?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

2409	Section A 3.5.1(b)- This section is referenced in the Relevant Experience Project Table. The attachment states "You may also tag the location in the supporting documents but doing so is not required". Is the offeror expected to submit supporting documentation for each of the projects listed in the REP tables?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2425	Reference A.3.6 Proposal Preparation (B) Proposal Content and Limitations, Page 97. If an offeror is submitting a proposal as a SB Prime in both Category B and Category C, are they permitted to use the same contract for a REPs and/or Past Performance, as long as the descriptions clearly demonstrate how the work is relevant to both enterprise and mission support areas in the respective proposals?	Yes, an offeror submitting a proposal as a Small business prime in both Category B and Category C, are permitted to use the same contract for a REPs and/or Past Performance as long as the descriptions clearly demonstrate how the work is relevant to both enterprise and mission support areas in the respective proposals.
2427	Reference Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table.The REP table references the following: "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents" are offerors supposed to attach contact documents, statements of work or other artifacts?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2430	Should offerors proposing only in Category A include their CMMI certificate in the Offer Volume? While it's not a requirement, including it would provide strong evidence of the company's capability to perform on SEWP VI. We want to include it, but we're concerned about being disqualified since it's not mandatory.	If an offeror wants to include non-mandatory certifications within their proposal, they can do so within the 15 pages of the Mission Suitability Volume.

2432	Does the Government recognize small disadvantaged businesses (SDBs) under FAR 19.304 and 13 CFR 124.1001 or ONLY SBA-certified SDBs?	The Government recognizes both small disadvantaged businesses (SDB) under FAR 19.304 and 13 CFR 124.1001, as well as SBA-certified SDBs.
2437	1. Section A.3.6 (B)- The proposal Submission Table against Volume 1 shows Mandatory Experience Exhibit is excluded from the Page limit. However, Exhibit 1- REP mentions that there is a 3-page limit for the REP. Please confirm the Page limitations for the Mandatory Experience Exhibit.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2448	Are we allowed to showcase the same REP for Category B and C. e.g, the same REP for Category B (Enterprise-Wide Application Services/Software Development) and Category C (Application Services/Software Development)	Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP and/or PP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C.
2453	Please confirm if 'Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form' should be submitted as part of the Volume 3 response or will it be a separate file.	Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form should be submitted as part of the Volume 3, Mission Suitability Volume, as specified in the Proposal Submission Table.
2455	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 109: Please confirm that this matrix is required to show both the contracts submitted as Past Performance and the contracts submitted as Relevant Experience (in the "y" axis as shown in the example on page 109) mapped to the Content Representative Areas and Mandatory Experience Technical Areas (in the 'X' axis shown in the example on page 109)? If so, can offerors combine the columns for Content Representative Areas and Mandatory Experience Technical Areas that are the same to save space? For example, in Category B, Content Representative Area 1. is IT Managed Services and Mandatory Experience Technical Area 2. is also IT Managed Services. Can these be combined into one	The matrix is required to show both Past Performance and Relevant Experience mapped to the Content Representative Areas and Mandatory Experience Technical Areas. Offerors can combine the columns for Content Representative Areas and Mandatory Experience Technical Areas that are the same to save space. Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME

2465	<p>On page 97 its states: "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Does this mean one joint venture and one teaming as a subcontractor in addition to the prime role? Or is it either/or on the JV or conventional subcontracting?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
2466	<p>Page 107 states, "9. Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)." For offerors who do not have formal customer evaluations other than CPARS, is this request mandatory?</p>	<p>Recent customer evaluations are not mandatory for Offerors who do not have them other than CPARS.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>
2467	<p>Page 116 states, "Small Businesses - In Category A, shall provide past performance references showcasing at least 3 content representative areas." Please confirm whether each past performance reference must include at least 3 content representative areas, or if each reference must contain at least one content representative area, with the three separate references collectively covering the 3 content representative areas.</p>	<p>Each past performance reference does not need to include at least 3 content representative areas. Offerors must showcase at least three content representative areas across the provided references.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Section A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance</p>
2468	<p>For verification of CMMI appraisal, please clarify what is meant by a copy of the Offeror's "official Appraisal Disclosure Statement" from a CMMI Institute Certified Lead Appraiser. Is this a copy of our appraisal certificate issued by a CMMI Institute Certified Lead Appraiser?</p>	<p>As stated in the Solicitation, "Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official Appraisal Disclosure Statement from a CMMI Institute Certified Lead Appraiser."</p>

2472	<p>"A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. The use of NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C."</p> <p>"The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. An Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition."</p> <p>If the offeror only qualifies for SB under NAICS code 519290 Web Search Portals and All other Information Services in Category C, is the offeror required demonstrate past performance in NAICS code 519290 Web Search Portals and All other Information Services? If so how many of the past</p>	<p>Offerors must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. The number of required past performance references is dependent on the offeror's business size and the category in which they are competing.</p>
2476	<p>PAGE 93 The RFP states only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category. If a Offeror is not going to submit as a Prime in Category C are they permitted to propose two additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA)?</p>	<p>Yes. Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience.</p>
2477	<p>PAGE 105 "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." When requesting PPQs references that will be used to support a Prime Proposal and Sepreate JV proposal in category A, are offerors required to request 2 PPQs from the government point of contact to be submitted.</p>	<p>Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an Offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.</p> <p>Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>

2480	<p>Section A.3.1(c) states: The apparent successful offeror shall provide the following information for all IT systems or component thereof, or covered telecommunications equipment or services offered: (1) A brief description of the item(s) or service(s). (2) Vendor/manufacturer's company name and address. (3) If known, vendor/manufacturer's web site, and the Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code.</p> <p>Will the Government please confirm that Offerors are not required to provide the information from this provision as part of their SEWP VI proposals.</p> <p>If required, please confirm that this information is required only for "covered telecommunications equipment or services" as defined in the RFP. Also, if required, please clarify where in the proposal we should provide this information.</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).</p>
2486	<p>If we include a reference to the management section in the Mission Suitability section for example "See page 15, section B, paragraph 3-4"... Then they can count those 2 paragraphs against the Mission Suitability page count limitation? And if we included, for example, "Using our ISO 9001:2015 processes" we would have to count that 1-page certificate from Volume I against the page count of Volume III?</p>	<p>Responses should be self-contained and not utilize references to other documents or other sections of the document. A reference to a certification or other document that does not imply the need for the Government to refer to a separate document does not count against the page count.</p>
2488	<p>Please clarify: Must each past performance reference showcase relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas?</p>	<p>Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Business in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Pertinent).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>
2489	<p>Please clarify: Is it acceptable if one past performance reference showcases work in a single content representative area, and a second past performance reference showcases work in a different single content representative area?</p>	<p>The total number of content representative areas for which the Offeror is proposing for a category does not need to be included in a single past performance reference.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>

2490	<p>Please clarify: in Section A.1.2, Category C lists 11 technical areas, including Program Management/Ancillary Services, but paragraph A.3.7.2(a)12, paragraph 3 does not include this area in the listing of Content Representative Areas.</p> <p>Can we count technical area 11c Program Management/Ancillary Services as one of the “at least two {2} content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)”?</p>	<p>Program Management/Ancillary Services can be counted as one of the “at least two {2} content representative areas for content to be rated relevant.</p> <p>Section A.1.2 SCOPE OF WORK AND Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>
2495	<p>If bidding as both a JV member and a Prime for the same category, do the PPQs for REPs need to be completed by our customer and submitted twice?</p>	<p>Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance, and mandatory experience. In no event can an Offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.</p> <p>Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>
2498	<p>AbilityOne - Would the Government clarify if one AbilityOne Commitment Letter will be accepted for a company submitting as a JV and also as a Prime Contractor?</p>	<p>A single AbilityOne Commitment Letter is not acceptable for a company submitting a proposal as both a Joint Venture (JV) and a Prime Contractor. The JV and the Prime Contractor are separate entities and each needs to submit its own AbilityOne Commitment Letter.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2501	<p>PAGE 105 Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4) “An Offeror’s size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information. This exhibit is being collected to verify an Offeror’s size standard and for the SEWP data repository. An Offeror will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4.” If an offeror expands their capabilities after the award of the Solutions for Enterprise-Wide Procurement VI(SEWP VI) will offerors be afforded the opportunity to make updates to the NAICS listing.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

2502	<p>On page 104, related to the number of REPs to be submitted in Category and corresponding socioeconomic status: It states: For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, offerors (inclusive of first- tier subcontractors, if applicable): "A total of 2 different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Is it acceptable to use the same project to represent more than one REP as long as we can document how the areas are addressed?</p>	<p>No, it is not acceptable to use the same project to represent more than one REP.</p>
2514	<p>On page 32 of 80TECH24R0001 RFP, Technical Area 9a it says, "[Technical Area 9a] consists of product-based services such as installation, training, maintenance, warranty etc. While all services must have a product basis for the service, there is no requirement to purchase a product with any of these services. These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas, (e.g., Server warranty and installation is an integral part of any Server offering in Technical Area 1 above)." Would that mean that we are to provide each service SKU in both Technical Area 9 AND the technical areas of the related product SKUs?</p>	<p>No. the service SKUs can be placed either in the Technical Area 9a tab or with the related technical Area tab.</p>
2515	<p>Exhibit 2 past performance encompasses all 3 Categories (A,B, and C). If we are bidding separate proposals for multiple categories, will one past performance form be acceptable if the support areas in each category are addressed?</p>	<p>One past performance form will be acceptable if the support areas in each category are addressed.</p>
2516	<p>Regarding Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table, the instructions for Part III state the following: "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Could the Government please clarify and explain what is required for "the attached documents." Additionally, are these "attached documents" counted toward the three-page limit for the completed REP Template?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

2521	For NAICS size standard and Small Business qualifying within bidding Categories, will NASA amend the RFP language and allow offerors to select any 3 out of the last 5 years of income receipts in order to determine size standard under NAICS	An Offeror's size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information.
2523	Please clarify how NASA will validate relevant NAICS for both REPs and Past Performance references in order to determine relevancy.	NASA will use a combination of the Exhibit 4 crosswalk to verify size standards and past performance data to assess the relevance of the NAICS codes provided.
2528	Regarding A.3.7.1(b) For Category B and C, the instructions state that "Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent and be from a different requirement." Could the Government please clarify and explain what is meant by "and be from a different requirement"? And does this mean a single contract or task order can only be used once as an REP?	Each REP must be from a different project within a given proposal. Yes, it means mean a single contract or task order can only be used once as an REP.
2540	Are offerors to adjust the font type and size on Exhibit 4 and save this document as a PDF for submission?	No. Offerors should not adjust the font type or size on Exhibit 4. Offerors should utilize the government-provided templates.
2551	Please confirm that the Mission Suitability Volume III has a total page count of 30 (15 for Subfactor A and 15 for Subfactor B), exclusive of all cover pages, TOCs, list of figures, list of tables?	Yes, the Mission Suitability Volume III has a total page count of 30 (15 for Subfactor A and 15 for Subfactor B) exclusive of all cover pages, TOCs, list of figures, and list of tables.
2567	NAICS code 333316 does not appear as an option to select in sam.gov. The US Census Bureau says 333316 is not a valid 2022 NAICS code. Please confirm 333316 is the intended NAICS code	NAICS 333316 is the SBA specified NAICS code for this waiver. NASA will work with SBA post-award to clarify,
2588	Please confirm that this clause is not applicable to Category B and C services, as service-based LCATs and rates vary greatly and are fundamentally different than an equipment costs. Even if a Category B and C offeror has GSA Schedule for services, service-based LCAT rates are compensation for a person's labor and must account for individualized skill sets, benefits, retention, and overhead costs, which are inherently variable therefore binding Category B and C offerors to this clause will not be advantageous to SEWP customers seeking highly skilled resources for high quality innovation services.	This discount for technology clause refers to all product and service offerings on SEWP. The Contract Holder applies any discount at the contract line item level and therefore this allows the Contract Holder full ability to provide multiple line items taking into account all variables in pricing such as skill sets, benefits, etc.

2590	REPs for Category B for HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors. Please clarify how many REPs in EACH of the different mandatory experience technical areas in Category B for HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a do offerors provide.	For Category B, HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8(a) Offerors are to submit a total of two (2) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas.
2591	Please clarify offerors only submit 2 REPs total in 2 different mandatory experience technical areas in Category C for HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors.	For Category C, HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8(a) Offerors are to submit a total of two (2) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas.
2592	Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4). It states "Each Offeror (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable) for each NAICS code....must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s)..." Do Offerors have to have their first-tier subcontractors complete a separate Exhibit 4 spreadsheet? Please clarify what is required.	Each Offeror, including first-tier subcontractors if applicable through an MRCL, must individually complete Exhibit 4 for each NAICS code they are proposing.
2593	Exhibit 1, REP Table. In Part III, it states to "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where in the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Are Offerors required to provide copies of the project PWS as "supporting information/evidence in the attached documents?" It states the template is limited to 3 pages. Please advise how we address "supporting information/evidence in the attached documents."	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2607	In reference to Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk: Would the Government provide an updated Exhibit 4 that reflects the titles in U.S. SBA Table of Small Business Size Standards? Examples include: 519290 Web Search Portals is now Web Search Portals and All Other Information Services.	Exhibit 4 was updated in Amendment 3.
2609	Reference Attachment H: Would the Government provide Attachment H? It was not included in the original solicitation package	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.

2612	<p>Reference A.3.6(B)(7); RFP page 97: Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is a duplication or replica of another offeror (company), that is not a part of a joint venture or contractor teaming arrangement, will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government. Information such as Provider Point of Contact Information, or proof of certifications will not be considered as duplication if submitted by multiple entities. Additionally, pages submitted above the limitations specified in this provision will not be evaluated by the Government and will be handled in accordance with NFS 1815.204-70(b). Proposal revisions will not be requested by the Government. Offerors who submit fraudulent information/ documentation will be ineligible for award and removed from competition.</p> <p>Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.</p>	<p>There was no question or comment provided.</p>
2614	<p>Can the government release a procedure for A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting? How do we get the commitment letter form Source America?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne commitment letter should be obtained directly from the SourceAmerica/NIB POC. The formal agreement with the AbilityOne NPA will be established upon the award of a SEWP VI contract.</p> <p>A.1.35 and A.3.7.1</p>

2617	<p>For Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience and Project Table, the project description states offerors must provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents.</p> <p>Would the government please clarify if contract marked PWS/SOWs are required as such evidence?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2630	<p>pg 108, A.3.7.2(a)(12). The content representative areas listed under item 12 are missing the last technical area for each Category listed in A.1.2 (Scope). Please confirm the these areas do not need to be addressed in our past performance references or REPs.</p>	<p>Technical areas 11b and 11c are not part of the past performance content areas.</p>
2632	<p>Section A.3.7.1 for Category B and Category C Relevant Project Experience (REP) that Small Business minimum project size be \$5M per project for Category B, and \$2M for Category C. However, the Small Business Past Performance dollar value thresholds are \$1,000,000 for Category B and \$500,000 for Category C. Would the Government please consider a flat dollar value threshold of \$1M for Categories B and C across both the REP and Past Performance requirements?</p>	<p>No, Past performance is different from REPs. And Category B is different from Category C.</p>
2633	<p>In reference to Exhibit 1 of the RFP, would the government please specify what information should be provided under "Present/Final Contract Value" and "Current Contract Expenditures Incurred to Date". Do you want contractors to show exercised value as of today or total contract value if all options were exercised (including future options)?</p>	<p>For "Present/Final Contract Value" provide the total contract value if all options were exercised and for "Current Contract Expenditures Incurred to Date" provide the total contract expenditures incurred to date.</p>

2640	Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project table is limited to Arial 10 point font and 3 pages. However, when information is added into the document the text size decreases automatically and doesn't provide a page count. Can the government provide a word version of this document instead of a fillable PDF to allow bidders to submit information according to the documents instructions?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2651	On page 102, A.3.7.1 (b), under Technical Area Tabs, regarding the service CLINs associated with Technical Area 9, must the services be associated with one specific product/brand - or can the services be generic? E.g., AV Installation Services without identifying one particular product/brand?	The 2 CLINs required in Technical Area 9a may either be associated with one specific product/brand, or they can be generic services.
2652	On page 108 (Section 12, third paragraph) of the 80TECH24R0001 RFP document it says, " Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Does that mean that each past performance reference must cover at least 3 technical areas each, or at least 3 technical areas between all references in total?	The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.
2653	When submitting our proposal, through the SEWP portal, under "business size" can offerors select multiple socio-economic categories like SDVOSB and WOSB?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
2654	Many small businesses need purchase orders made out to different CAGE codes if they utilize alternate credit programs like Receivable Services Program through Carahsoft. Should we include all possibly used/relevant CAGE codes in our proposal? If so, where should this information be included in our bid?	The government will not need all possible CAGE codes but will only need the CAGE code that corresponds with the legal business name in SAM.gov. The CAGE code is entered on the SF1449 as part of the proposal submission.

2656	<p>On page 32 of 80TECH24R0001- SEWP VI RFP, regarding Technical Area 9a: Product-Based Services, it says, "This Technical Area consists of product-based services such as installation, training, maintenance, etc. While all services must have a product basis for the service, there is no requirement to purchase a product with any of these services. These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas, (e.g., Server warranty and installation is an integral part of any Server offering in Technical Area 1 above)." Does this mean that you want each service SKU in both Technical Area 9 and the technical area of the related product?</p>	<p>No. The Service SKUs should be only in one Technical Area in Exhibit 3a. The Offeror can either include them in Technical Area 9a or the Technical Area that matches the associated products.</p>
2658	<p>As a Small Business going after Category A, if we have a self-certification for O-TTPS, does that excuse our submission from the C-SCRM requirement?</p>	<p>Yes. As stated in Section (b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B): ""The offeror shall either fill out Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form or provide a copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification to attest to meeting the ISO 20243 standard.""</p>
2660	<p>In SEWP VI Enclosure 1, you have Broadcom LTD/VMWare listed. Since Broadcom owns Symantec, would Symantec be covered by a Broadcom LOA and be eligible for use as past performance/designated provider in our proposals?</p>	<p>It is unclear as to what is meant by past performance. But Broadcom can be used as one of the designated providers for Exhibit 3a.</p>
2661	<p>Page 102, A.3.7.1 (b), under Technical Areas Tab, the RFP requires "distinct and relevant CLINS." "Optical Storage Technology" is listed as an example under both Technical Area 1a and Technical Area 2a. Will the Government please confirm that the same technology/service can be listed across technical areas using distinct CLIN numbering, given that the technology/service is relevant to both areas that it is listed?</p>	<p>Yes, the same offering can be used to fulfill multiple designated Technical Areas if the technology/service is relevant to both areas.</p>

2664	<p>Page 102, A.3.7.1 (b), under Technical Areas Tab, the RFP requires "distinct and relevant CLINS." Technical area 9a states, "These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas (e.g., server warranty and installation is an integral part of any server offering in Technical Area 1 above)."</p> <p>Will the Government please confirm that the CLINs listed for Technical Area 9 are to also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas when completing Exhibit 3a, and count towards the minimum CLIN thresholds?</p>	<p>Service CLINs related to a Technical Area may be included in that Technical Area Tab as part of meeting the required threshold. They do not have to be repeated in Technical Area 9, which only requires 2 CLINs.</p>
2665	<p>A.2.1: Attachment H in this table is referenced as Attachment I under section A.1.35, page 64. Please provide clarification.</p>	<p>The solicitation has been updated to state Attachment H.</p>
2668	<p>test comment</p>	<p>The Comment is not applicable.</p>
2680	<p>In Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table, Section III. The text box provided is not formatted correctly. When attempting to insert text that exceeds the size of the box, instead of continuing on the next page, the text automatically re-sizes to fit in the box. Therefore, if an offeror attempts to insert text that would meet the three page limit for the template, the text will be an unreadably small size. Please provide a corrected template as soon as possible.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

2684	<p>In Section A.3.7.1 on page 98, the RFP requires the "AbilityOne Commitment Letter" to identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies at time of proposal submission. Page 64 (Section A.1.35) of the RFP requires a "formal" commitment at the order level, which shall identify qualifications for subcontracting spanning clearance level and certifications. Will the Government please confirm that the detailed, formal commitment is due at the order level, and that only a commitment with a SourceAmerica/NIB POC is required at time of IDIQ proposal submission?</p>	<p>That is correct. The detailed, formal agreement outlining subcontracting qualifications, including clearance levels and certifications, is due at the order level. Only a commitment with a SourceAmerica/NIB POC is required at the time of IDIQ proposal submission.</p>
2685	<p>A.3.6: Should Offerors use "80TECH24R0001" for the naming convention of zip files submitted for the proposal?</p>	<p>The Offeror's proposal name is to reference the solicitation number for the solicitation in which a proposal is being submitted.</p>
2688	<p>A.3.6 (B)(2): RFP states, "The excel exhibits provided are formatted using 9-12 point type Times New Roman font." Are Offerors required to maintain exhibit formatting, or may we adapt templates to the required 12-point font for submission?</p>	<p>The format of provided exhibits including the font type and size should not be altered.</p>
2692	<p>A.3.6 (B)(2) on page 96 of the RFP states, "The excel exhibits provided are formatted using 9-12 point type Times New Roman font." It appears the Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 are both formatted using 11-point Calibri font. Do formatting instructions apply to Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4? Shall Offerors revise the Government formatting included in these exhibits?</p>	<p>The format of provided exhibits including the font type and size should not be altered.</p>

2697	<p>80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, Pages 63-64 states: "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." QUESTIONS: Can the Government please clarify the ambiguities between Ability One "Commitment Letter" and "Formal Agreement" what is due, when it is due, and which specific attachment is to be provided: (a) "Formal Agreement" vs. Commitment Letter"; (b) due with the proposal vs. upon contract award; and (c) Attachment H vs. Attachment I (with a DRD and/or template provided as with the C-SCRM Plan in DRD-3 and Attachment J of</p>	<p>At the SEWP VI contract level, Offerors are required to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter identifying planned subcontracting with AbilityOne nonprofits. At the task order level, a formal agreement with the specific AbilityOne nonprofit subcontractor is required (Attachment H). The government will accept a Letter of Commitment from a central nonprofit agency at the contract award, with specific formal agreements (Attachment H) to be negotiated at the task order level.</p>
2698	<p>80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, Pages 63-64 states: "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." QUESTIONS: Can the Government please clarify the ambiguities between Ability One "Commitment Letter" and "Formal Agreement" what is due, when it is due, and which specific attachment is to be provided: (a) "Formal Agreement" vs. Commitment Letter"; (b) due with the proposal vs. upon contract award; and (c) Attachment H vs. Attachment I (with a DRD and/or template provided as with the C-SCRM Plan in DRD-3 and Attachment J of</p>	<p>At the SEWP VI contract level, Offerors are required to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter identifying planned subcontracting with AbilityOne nonprofits. At the task order level, a formal agreement with the specific AbilityOne nonprofit subcontractor is required (Attachment H). The government will accept a Letter of Commitment from a central nonprofit agency at the contract award, with specific formal agreements (Attachment H) to be negotiated at the task order level.</p>

2700	<p>80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Sections A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS and (B) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS, Proposal Submission Table, Page 95, indicates the “AbilityOne Commitment Letter” be included in Volume I. QUESTIONS: Can the Government please clarify the ambiguities between Ability One "Commitment Letter" and "Formal Agreement" what is due, when it is due, and which specific attachment is to be provided: (a) “Formal Agreement” vs. Commitment Letter”; (b) due with the proposal vs. upon contract award; and (c) Attachment H vs. Attachment I (with a DRD and/or template provided as with the C-SCRM Plan in DRD-3 and Attachment J of the Final RFP)?</p>	See Comment 2274.
2702	<p>Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet: Technical Areas tab - column F: If a selected OEM has not adopted UNSPSC code standards, can the offeror apply UNSPSC codes to the products selected from said OEM?</p>	<p>Yes, If the selected Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) has not adopted UNSPSC code standards, the offeror is permitted to apply a relevant UNSPSC code for the proposed product.</p>
2710	<p>80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022), Page 88. QUESTION: No DRDs or templates for Attachments H (AbilityOne Formal Agreement), I (Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan), and K (MRCL) were provided with the Final RFP. Does the Government intend to provide DRDs or templates for these Attachments, or is Contractor format acceptable?</p>	<p>Contractor format is acceptable for Attachments H, I, and K.</p>

2713	SEWP_VI_DRFP_80TECH23R0001_v4__09.18.2023_Update_1 (Draft RFP) specified a limit of 30 pages for the Technical Approach. 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP specifies a limit of 15 pages for the Technical Approach but includes several additional elements that must be included in this response. In the case of Categories B and C, with the requirement to address all required Technical Approach elements for ten (10) different Technical Areas, as well as several new elements added to the Final RFP, it will be extremely difficult to demonstrate High Confidence within this 15 page limitation. QUESTION: Can the Government change the page limitation for the Volume III Technical Approach from 15 pages to 30 pages?	No, the 15 page limit will remain as is for Technical approach.
2714	A.1.2 - Technical Area 1a - "This Technical Area includes all forms of computer systems from handheld devices to Quantum Computing Servers." Technical Areas 2a and 5a include specific ranges of UNSPSC codes. Did the government intend to provide those for Technical Areas 1a, 3a, 4a, and 6a-9a?	No. The UNSPSC codes listed in these sections are examples of relevant codes in those Areas.
2715	Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet.xlsx: Technical Area tabs - Column F: If an OEM miscategorizes an item's UNSPSC code will the government evaluate that product as unacceptable for the respective technical area? E.g. An entry in Technical Area 1 has the UNSPSC code for a computer accessory, but based on the part number and description it is clearly a server. Will this entry disqualify offerors?	No. Exhibit 3a is not evaluated beyond checking that the mandatory requirements stated in A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME; (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings; Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet are met.
2721	Exhibit 1: Please confirm that NAICS for subcontracted contracts can be taken from the Prime contract as reported in FPDS.	Yes. The NAICS for subcontracted contracts should be taken from the Prime contract as reported in FPDS.

2723	<p>Exhibit 1: Please confirm that no “supporting information/evidence” or “additional information ” is required in accordance with Section A.3.7.1 (b) For Category B and C of the RFP and request form be updated to delete the verbiage referring to the "additional information."</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2724	<p>Exhibit 1: Please confirm Offerors can attach two additional pages to this Exhibit.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2725	<p>In SAM.gov, we are a WOSB registered in the 541519 NAICS code applying the 150-employee size standard (We have 120 employees). We are WBENC WOSB Certified and have current task orders as a small business in 541519 and not 519290. Can we use our WOSB designation for categories B and C?</p>	<p>Offerors can identify as WOSB in Category B and C. A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. The use of NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519 footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A are for administrative purposes only. An Offeror will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4. Please reference the RFP for the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p>

2727	<p>Exhibit 1, Part III: Will the government provide an updated Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table that maintains the font requirements in the Part III section? Currently, the font size fluctuates as you type into the field.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2728	<p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project table state the following "Provide a clear and concise description of IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Will the government clarify what it means by evidence?</p> <p>Additionally, on page 103, the solicitation states "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. Offerors shall only submit the total number of REPs as required for the proposed category and business size standard." These two requirements seem to contradict each other. Can the government clarify which is correct?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2743	<p>Section A.1.35, page 64 of the RFP states that Offerors shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne "upon award." Section A.3.7.1, page 98 of the RFP implies the AbilityOne Commitment Letter is due at time of proposal submission as part of the Volume 1, Offer Volume. Will the Government please clarify?</p>	<p>Offerors shall submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter at the time of proposal submission as part of Volume I, Offer Volume. Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne and shall be incorporated into the contract as Attachment H.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.35 and A.3.7.1</p>
2745	<p>Exhibit 2, Section 2: Please clarify whether the Offeror or the Evaluator should answer the question, "Does a corporate or business relationship exist between the firm being evaluated and your organization."</p>	<p>The Evaluator shall answer the question in Section 2 of Exhibit 2.</p>

2746	<p>In Section A.1.2, on page 24, Technical Area 9a states, "These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas (e.g., server warranty and installation is an integral part of any server offering in Technical Area 1 above)."</p> <p>When including these services in the other appropriate Technology Areas, will the Government please confirm that the "Model Name" may be generic, if the listed service covers a broad range of brand names/products? E.g., service CLINs for Technical Area 6a associated with VTC installation could list the model name "Any."</p>	<p>The Model Name field can be left blank, if a model name does not apply to the given offering.</p>
2749	<p>In Section A.1.2, on page 32, Technical Area 9a states, "These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas (e.g., server warranty and installation is an integral part of any server offering in Technical Area 1 above)."</p> <p>When including these services in the other appropriate Technology Areas, will the Government please confirm that these additional service CLINs count towards the minimum 50 secondary provider CLINs per technology area?</p>	<p>For the services to be counted as part of the proposed 50 secondary provider CLINs, they must be added to the secondary provider Technical Area.</p>
2752	<p>Section A.1.2, Category A on page 27: will the Government please confirm that bandwidth is an appropriate CLIN to include to accompany satellite communication equipment and antennas listed under technical area 3a?</p>	<p>Yes, bandwidth is an appropriate CLIN to include to accompany satellite communication equipment and antennas.</p>
2762	<p>Is the CHUM intended to cover all Categories or just Category A? If just Category A, when does the Government anticipate an updated CHUM to include Categories B and C?</p>	<p>The Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) covers the entire SEWP Program including the three Categories.</p>

2763	In Exhibit 1, Part III: Project Description, the instructions state "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." This appears to be old language, since the RFP requires Exhibit 2 which requires the customer to validate claimed experience. Will the Government please clarify the requirement to submit "supporting information/evidence" with Exhibit 1? Does Exhibit 2 satisfy this requirement?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2764	Exhibit 2, Section 6: Not all contract types require a breakout of fee (e.g., FFP, T&M). Will the Government please confirm that fee can be listed as \$0 for such contracts?	Yes, the fee can be listed as \$0 for contract types that do not require a breakout of the fee.
2765	Are Offerors required to provide their size standard against ALL of the NAICS contained in exhibit 4 OR should offerors provide their size standard against the NAICS that are included in their SAM entity profile, which may not include ALL of the NAICS in exhibit 4.	Offerors should provide their size standard against the NAICS that are included in their SAM entity profile.
2770	Can the offeror use the same project/Contract for Relevant Experience Project and Past Performance?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
2771	If the offeror proposing as a Prime/Sub-Contractor and a JV can they reuse the same projects for the Relevant Experience Project (REP) and Past Performance (PP)?	Yes, offerors proposing as a Prime/Subcontractor and a JV can reuse the same projects for the Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performance.
2774	Can the offeror Reuse the same PP or REP for each Category?	Yes, the offeror can reuse the same Past Performance or Relevant Experience Project for each category.
2778	If an REP covers 3 Mandatory areas, total of 3 as such are required or do we need individual REP that covers each mandatory area.	A separate REP must be submitted to individually vcover each mandatory area.
2779	Is it necessary to submit three REPs, one for each mandatory area?	Yes. A separate REP must be submitted for each of the required number of Technical Areas.
2780	Should an REP encompass multiple mandatory areas, is it permissible to count the REP towards each of those areas?	No, REP cannot encompass multiple mandatory areas.

2781	SEWP Proposal Demo: For Small Businesses Category C, please confirm the evaluator will only review three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).	For REPs, the Government will make a determination as to whether the number of required REPs were submitted based on the Offeror's Proposal Level business size.
2782	Are we permitted to submit REPs for more than three mandatory areas if available?	No, offerors are not permitted to submit REPs for more than the mandatory areas.
2785	SEWP Proposal Demo: For Small Businesses Category C, if an offeror submits a writeup with five (5) content representative areas, will the government review all five?	No. Submittals must be limited to the required number. Extra REPs have no relevance and will not be reviewed.
2790	You only allow one submission for Category B or C. How can I submit against SB and HUBZone track?	There are no tracks. The one Proposal level NAICs code and business size is only used within the Proposal submission and review to determine Proposal requirements affected by business size, such as the number of required REP's. All Contract holders within that RFQ's category that meet the NAICs code and set-aside (or unrestricted) status and any other requirements of an Issuing Agency will be eligible to see the Issuing Agency's RFQ.
2793	Electronic file instructions specify each proposal volume is a single PDF; however, the Proposal Submission Table shows cover page and indices for both the Technical Approach and Management Approach within Volume III. Should the Technical and Management Approach sections each have their own cover page and table of contents within the same single Volume III PDF?	the Technical and Management Approach sections are required to have their own file within the Offeror's category#_VolumeIII zip file.
2797	The table in Section A.3.6(B) on page 96 indicates that the Mission Capability Volume consists of two parts: the Technical Approach Volume and the Management Approach Volume. The table also specifies that these volumes should be submitted along with a cover page and indices. However, Section A.3.6(A) on page 94 indicates that offerors shall submit proposals in three volumes. Could the government please clarify this	The Technical Approach and Management Approach are both apart of Volume III Mission Suitability. Reference of the word "Volume" after Technical Approach and Management Approach in the table will be removed in an Amendment.

2810	Section A.3.7.3 on page 110 indicates that "The proposal shall clearly and fully demonstrate the offeror's capability, knowledge, and experience regarding the technical requirements of this RFP." Would the government please clarify that the offeror's capability, knowledge and experience should only be addressed in Technical Approach, Subfactor A?	Yes, the offeror's capability, knowledge and experience should only be addressed in Technical Approach, Subfactor A.
2817	Is the AbilityOne requirement, for NAICS listed with an asterisk in Category C, applicable for designated Set-Aside entities (8A, EDWOSB, etc).	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, Pages 63-64 states: "All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes identified with an asterisk." The mandatory AbilityOne requirement applies to all Offerors under the specified NAICS, regardless of Set-Aside status.
2824	The end of the 'Point of Contact Tab description it states 'For each of the four (4) proposed Technical Areas, a minimum of one (1) additional provider must be proposed ...' and 'The additional providers do not need to be from the list provided in Enclosure 1'. Does this indicate that the Government wants 3 designated providers (OEMs) for each technical area which includes the Offeror?	The minimum is 2 providers per proposed area: the designated provider and one additional provider.
2827	Question: It appears as if the Agency Catalog process is being replaced with Strategic Sourcing Initiatives (A.1.14). Currently, an agency catalog could be a down select based on a competitive process. Will a similar competitive down select process be allowed where a limited of vendors could participate under a strategic catalog?	No. Down selection based on a competitive process is not part of the Sorefront process Storefront participation must be based on objective criteria such as authorized resellers.

2828	<p>The instruction in Part III of Exhibit 1, the REP template, state, "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." However there are no instructions in the RFP to provide supporting attached documents for the REP. Please clarify if offeror's are expected to provide further documentation for REPs other than Exhibit 1.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
2832	<p>Section A.1.35 states that "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." Can the Government clarify what type of formal agreement is required? As subcontract agreements are invariably executed after prime contract award, would an executed teaming agreement with the AbilityOne NPA suffice in meeting this requirement?</p>	<p>80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, Pages 63-64 states: "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment H." An executed teaming agreement with the AbilityOne NPA does suffice in meeting this requirement.</p>
2835	<p>Within the Information Tab there is a maximum of 2,500 characters for column 'D'. Are the maximum characters defined as 'no spaces' as represented in Word Count in Microsoft Word?</p>	<p>No. The maximum character count of 2500 includes spaces.</p>
2839	<p>The SEWP GWAC allows for Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment, or any hybrid of commercial contract types for orders. What is type of contract for Category A? Is it fixed price? Please confirm.</p>	<p>The listed contract types are applicable to all SEWP Contracts in Categories A, B and C.</p>

2842	If vendor choose to bid only 4 out of 8 subcategories as mentioned in minimum mandatory requirement, does that means there will be locking period of 10 years or till the end of this contract period and vendors will not be able to participate in rest of the sub-categories during that period? Please confirm.	No. Contract Holders will be able to update and add any products and/or services within the full scope of the Contract Category utilizing the processes described in A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT.
2843	Technical Area 9a: Product-Based Services: Is it mandatory to bid on all sub-areas listed under this sub-category? If vendor choose to bid on only few sub-areas, does it means there will be locking period of 10 years or till the end of this contract period and vendors will not be able to participate in rest of the sub-areas in future? Please confirm.	No. Contract Holders will be able to update and add any products and/or services within the full scope of the Contract Category utilizing the processes described in A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT.
2844	Is this category for small businesses only? Is it mandatory to bid on all Sub-category listed under this category? If vendor choose to bid on only few Sub-category, does it means there will be locking period of 10 years or till the end of this contract period and vendors will not be able to participate in rest of the sub-category in future? Please confirm.	Category C is for Small businesses only. Offerors do not bid on sub-categories. The Offeror must provide Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) and Past Performance in the number of Technical Areas based on their business size as instructed in Section A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES. Post award, Category C Contract =Holders will have access to all RFQs in Category C for which they meet the NAICs code, business size and any other relevant requirements set by the Issuing Agency.
2846	(c) Procedure for uploading proposal files: 3. After logging in, navigate to "Proposal Upload" from top navigation bar and follow on-screen instructions to upload the proposal. There are no proposal upload tab in the navigation bar. Please guide with screen shot where to find that tab to upload proposal volumes.	The Solicitation Application is available using the noted procedures in the Comment.

2848	<p>(b) The Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining export licenses, if required, before utilizing foreign persons in the performance of this contract, including instances where the work is to be performed on-site at [insert name of NASA installation], where the foreign person will have access to export-controlled technical data or software.</p> <p>Please confirm if the vendors are required to deliver HW/SW/services and deploy personal outside US locations. If yes, please mention the locations.</p>	Contract Holders are not required to deliver HW/SW/services and deploy personal outside US locations.
2849	<p>The ACR is most often created using a Voluntary Product Accessibility Template (VPAT) developed by the IT Industry Council. Review the NASA Demystifying Section 508 Guide for more information.</p> <p>Do we need to submit VPAT for Category A? Please comment.</p>	No. There is no requirement to submit ACRs or VPATs as part of the proposal.
2852	Is there a certain amount of CLINS for each sub-area for each of the 4 technical areas in Category A?	There is no requirement regarding the Technical sub-areas and the Offeror's proposal. The sub-areas are only provided as informational text providing sample in-scope products, solutions and services.
2853	Is an individual waiver granted by contract holder, technical area or by opportunity?	<p>"Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.</p> <p>"</p>
2855	Can Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume also be used as past performance references?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
2859	Is Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table required for only Category B and C?	Yes, Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table is only required for Category B and C.

2865	<p>Is the AbilityOne commitment letter required for small businesses and is it applied to VARs because typically AbilityOne does not apply to providing products? And do the PSC Codes apply to VAR's?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne requirement applies to all Contractors that are awarded a SEWP contract under the specified NAICS Codes. These NAICS Codes are identified with an asterisk in Section A.1.34, NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope. Additionally, the AbilityOne requirement applies to orders utilizing PSC D321 (IT and Telecom-Help Desk), DE01 (IT and Telecom – End User: Help Desk; Tier1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools (Labor)), DE10 (IT and Telecom – End User As A Service: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools, 7E20 - IT and Telecom – End User: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tool (HW/Perpetual SW). The PSC Codes are not specific to VARs.</p> <p>A.1.34 NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope and A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2872	<p>The government references Attachment H in Section A.1.35 but not provided with the RFP package. Can the government please release Attachment H for offerors to review?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.</p>
2887	<p>Can the same contract be used for both a Relevant Experience Project (REP) and Past Performance?</p>	<p>Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.</p>
2894	<p>Can the government clarify if Letters of Authorizations can come from OEM authorized distributors? Many major OEM's do not have direct relationships with resellers in their reseller models.</p>	<p>No. LOAs must originate from the OEM regardless as to whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner .</p>
2898	<p>In the Introduction to Category B, first paragraph there is a statement “and shared services such as Agency-wide help desk ticketing systems”. However help desk ticketing systems are not identified in the 11 Category B Technical Areas below ending on page 35.</p>	<p>Agency-wide help desk ticketing systems are in scope for Category B. The list of various technologies are not exhaustive but are provided as examples of areas that fit within a listed Technical Area.</p>

2923	Attachment A. A.1.1 NASA-specific scientific and engineering problems: Are there any particular NASA-specific scientific and engineering problems we should focus on?	The entire spectrum of NASA and all other Federal Agency scientific and engineering problems fall within the purview of the SEWP contracts. Specific problems will be presented as requirements at the task order level.
2927	<p>Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table; Part III: Project Description: The instructions say, "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." The requirement is not clear. Please clarify what is meant by "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Such documents are not requested in Volume I requirement.</p> <p>In addition, in the Published_SEWP_VI_Draft_QA.pdf posted on February 29th, Q&A number 71, on page 464 of 485, the Government indicated 'No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.'</p>	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
2931	NAICS codes / size standards: Would contractors' size qualifications potentially vary on SEWP VI based on the NAICS picked at the task order level, or for example, would a small business under 541512 be considered small for all task orders on SEWP VI Category B or C?	The size of a Contract Holder will be based on the NAICS code at the task order level.
2935	<p>Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category.</p> <p>☐ the same past performance is used in multiple bids, is it acceptable to use the same PPQ more than once?</p>	Yes.

2939	<p>For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost incurred of \$150,000 (One Hundred- Fifty Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent).☐</p> <p>Is there any requirement that the scope of the past performance references be the same as the 4+1 technical areas chosen for the REPs? Or, can past performance references</p>	<p>Past performance references can address any of the technical areas and are not related to the REPs.</p>
2941	<p>AbilityOne Subcontracting. The contractor shall identify in the Ability One Commitment letter the communication with SourceAmerica/NIB to identify qualified nonprofit agencies and use subcontracting opportunities for SEWP with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies.☐</p> <p>Recommendation: Please keep track of the ability of SourceAmerica/NIB to process the many requests for Commitment Letters in the short time available. Consider making the AbilityOne commitment letter a post-award requirement if the volume of requests becomes unmanageable.</p>	<p>The Government acknowledges your recommendation and will keep track of the ability of SourceAmerica/NIB to process the requests for Commitment Letters. The AbilityOne Commitment Letter is a proposal submission requirement.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
2951	<p>(1)☐Page 39 A.1.4 Other Federal Agency Utilization “Other Federal Agencies, and authorized Contractors will be allowed to utilize the contract, ti satisfy Information Technology (IT) requirements. Non-Federal Governments are not authorized to use this contract unless mandated by Congress.” There are 574 federally recognized, by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), American Indian tribes and Alaska Native Villages in the United States. The 638 Authority allows tribes control of eligible federal government programs. The money they use is federal but it does not go through the Indian Health Service to the tribes. They are typically .com or .org, not .gov. Can they use SEWP?</p>	<p>Yes. Federally mandated and funded entities may use the SEWP Contracts.</p>

2961	<p>On page 109 of the RFP, it states, "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." For clarification on this requirement, specifically, does this mean that each past performance reference must showcase relevant work in all three content representative areas, or can each reference cover just one of the content representative areas?</p>	<p>The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.</p>
2962	<p>Regarding relevant past performance, can you change the relevant past performance to be within the past 5 years instead of 3 years as currently stipulated.</p>	<p>The Solicitation will remain as stated.</p>
2997	<p>Page 63, Section A.1.35 of the RFP refers to AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING. Would the Government remove the AbilityOne partnership and subcontracting requirement from all Small Business offeror types? Removing the AbilityOne subcontracting requirement for all small business offeror types can reduce the complexity and administrative burden on all small businesses, allowing them to streamline their operations and focus on delivering high-quality products or services. Eliminating the requirement from all SB types can enhance their competitiveness by making it easier for all small businesses to bid for and win government contracts without needing to navigate additional subcontracting requirements.</p>	<p>The AbilityOne requirement is applicable to the NAICS Codes listed in A.1.34, NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope, and the PSCs specified in Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting. The Government will not remove the AbilityOne requirement from any Offeror.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.1.34 NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope and A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
3000	<p>Page 103 - "For Category B and C: ...A REP provided by an Offeror shall meet the mandatory experience technical area for the category being proposed on Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table." Can offerors convert the Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table form into their own word document?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

3001	The Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project (REP) Table form does not use the proposal's required Times New Roman font type. Can we use Times New Roman font type to complete the REP form?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3004	If awarded a contract in Category B2, does that make a vendor eligible to bid in Category C set aside task order RFPs?	No. the issuing agency will select the appropriate Category for their requirement and those qualified Contract Holders (e.g. matching the RFQ's NAICs and business size requirement) within that Category will be eligible to see that RFQ.
3006	Are landscape pages allowable and if so, does a landscape page count as 2 pages.	Yes, landscape pages count as 2 pages.
3007	Are there any specific technologies, platforms, or standards that the government prefers or mandates for each technical area, particularly for cybersecurity and cloud services?	No. The SEWP Contracts are utilized by the entire Federal Government. Specific specific technologies, platforms, or standards that the government prefers or mandates will be described by the Issuing Agency at the Task Order level.
3008	Can you provide more detailed information on the expected locations for service delivery, especially for mission-critical operations?	SEWP is used by every Federal Agency in every location on Earth in which Federal Agencies have a presence.
3009	Are there any particular compliance requirements or certifications required for cybersecurity services?	There are no compliance or certifications required for cybersecurity services beyond those listed in the Solicitation.

3010	Are there any mandatory subcontracting requirements, such as the use of AbilityOne non-profit organizations?	<p>Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, specifies that all Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under the NAICS Codes identified with an asterisk in Section A.1.34, NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope, have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors on orders utilizing any of those NAICS codes.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>
3012	What metrics will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of training provided under this contract?	None. There is no training evaluation factor in this Solicitation.
3019	<p>Reference: RFP Section A.3.7.1(b), Offer Volume, Mandatory Experience/Offerings For Category B and C, page 103</p> <p>Question: Please explain what is meant by “from a different requirement”. If a Relevant Experience Project (REP) is recompleted and the scope changes significantly, we assume this may be considered a different requirement. Please advise.</p>	Yes, if a contract / task order is recompleted with a different contract/order number and different scope, then the original and recompleted project would be eligible to be used as two different REPs.
3028	Regarding Exhibit 4 “NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk,” how should a company that is both WOSB and HUBZone fill the related cells? Should we state: “WOSB, HUB”?	Yes, the offeror should state state: “WOSB, HUB”.
3041	The referenced paragraph states that Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance, and mandatory experience. Please confirm that Offerors may also submit duplicate text for each Category proposal when bidding in multiple categories.	Yes, Offerors may also submit duplicate text for each Category proposal when bidding in multiple categories.

3053	<p>The RFP indicates that Contract Holders may add products to their SEWP VI Contracts throughout the life of the Contract via Technology Refresh. If the Government does not revise the Requirement that allows Category A bidders to only propose products under four (4) of the Technical Areas + Technical Area 9, will Category A Contract Holders be able to add products across all Category A Technical Areas via Technology Refresh post-Award, or will Category A Contract Holders be restricted to adding products via Technical Refresh only under the four (4) Technical Areas (Technical Area 1-8) they included in their original bid (+ to Technical Area 9)? If it is the Government's intent to limit product additions made through the Technical Refresh process to only the Technical Areas each Contract Holder included in their original proposal, the Government should consider allowing Category A Contract Holders to add products across all Technical Areas to expand the variety and quantity of products that customers can purchase off the</p>	<p>"Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside."</p>
3056	<p>Please confirm that Offerors may use the same past performance references for each category proposed, including duplicate text as needed within the Prime Offeror's proposal.</p>	<p>Yes, the offeror can reuse the same Past Performance or Relevant Experience Project for each category including duplicate text as needed.</p>

3062	<p>The RFP indicates that Contract Holders may only respond to an RFQ or MRR if they have the requested item(s) on their Contract at time of RFQ/MRR request. The RFP also indicates that Contract Holders may respond to an RFI if they do not have the requested item(s) on their Contract at time of RFI release. Please clarify what the difference between an RFI and an MRR is and why the rules around responding vary between the two.</p> <p>The Government should consider making the rules for responding to an MRR the same as the rules for RFI response since both requests are part of the Market Research Phase of Acquisition and Contract Holders will have time to add the requested items via Technology Refresh between both RFI release and MRR release before an RFQ for the items is released. Additionally, the Government should review the Requirement that items requested in an RFQ must be on a Contract Holder's Contract at time of RFQ release in order to be eligible to bid. NASA SEWP's PMO has proved to be very efficient in completing the Technology Refresh process, as it has completed such requests in as little as 48 business hours under the SEWP V Contract. If the PMO plans on continuing to provide an expedient Technology Refresh process under SEWP VI, the Government should consider allowing Contract Holders to add items requested in an RFQ following RFQ release. This will allow for an increased number of bidders / more competition and</p>	<p>Items do not need to be on contract when an RFQ is released, but must be on contract prior to a Contract Holder submitting a quote in response to an RFQ.</p>
------	--	--

3066	<p>The RFP indicates in the instructions for the Point of Contact (POC) Tab: "For each of the four (4) proposed Technical Areas, a minimum of one (1) additional provider must be proposed with relevant offerings in each of the proposed four Technical Area."</p> <p>Is the "additional provider" the Government is referencing the same as the "Secondary Providers" referenced under Technical Area Tabs? If not, please define requirements for "additional providers" and identify the difference between "additional providers" and "Secondary Providers." Additionally, are LOAs from "additional providers" required to be submitted with bidders proposals?</p>	<p>Yes, The secondary provider is a subset of an additional provider. LOAs should only be submitted for the 1-4 designated providers.</p>
3077	<p>Part III of the REP template requires offerors to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please clarify what documents should be attached and how those documents should be named. How should we identify the supporting information – through PDF tags?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3083	<p>Exhibit 1 states that the description must be less than 3 pages. However, the PDF itself does not allow for 3 pages of writing. Are we to copy and past the table into another document and then write 3 pages? Please advise.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

3087	Please confirm that no supporting documentation needs to be submitted with Exhibit 1. The RFP states this, but the Exhibit 1 itself references attached documents.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3093	Exhibit 1 does not allow enough space for vendors to describe the experience thoroughly enough. Are additional pages allowed to be added to this to cover all of the requirements or will the Government provide a new template?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3096	In the SOW, the offeror is asked to prepare a website that will guide customers to their SEWP Catalog and clearly explain the process. Where and how should bidders acknowledge this in their bids?	The Offeror should address how they will address the SOW as part of the Mission Suitability Volume.
3097	Will small businesses have a better chance of being selected for the contract vehicle if they cover more categories in each section of the proposal?	No, covering more categories than the mandatory requirement in each section of the proposal does not affect the evaluation and selection process.

3098	Our company is a Small Business, WOSB, and HUBZone, and we would like to serve SEWP in each of these areas. In the proposal submission demonstration shown at the 6/4 Industry Day, it appeared that a company could only select one type of business (i.e., WOSB only). May an offeror select more than one socioeconomic category on the proposal submission website, and if not, how should we indicate to the Government that our proposal is intended for multiple (three) socioeconomic categories?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
3099	The following sentence appears "Each technology area includes specific mandatory technology that must be supplied; however, offerings shall not be limited to those specific mandatory areas." This use of the term 'mandatory technologies' appears to have been removed between the Draft RFP and the Final. Please advise.	The Solicitation has been updated to remove references to Mandatory Areas.
3104	The listed NAICS ("333316") does not appear in the latest NAICS manuals.	The NAICs code is provided by SBA for the stated Class waiver. The NASA SEWP Office will work with SBA to define the process to be used when the NAICs code changes.
3111	Since SEWP catalogs are always changing, expanding, and evolving please clarify what is meant by "The Government requires that all items be available to order throughout the life of this contract, if available from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)."	The clause requires the Contract Holder to continuously offer all available items. If an item evolves, changes, is end-of-lived, it is not longer available. As new or next versions become available, they should be added to the Contract using the Technology Refreshment process.
3128	It is unclear how SEWP VI will compete requirements. Will there be a standard set of labor categories defined for use by all services offerors in RFQs? If not, how will competition for orders be accomplished? How will customers compare offers submitted in response to RFQs if there is no standardization?	Customers will submit RFQs in which they will provide their evaluation criteria and other relevant information. Contract Holders will respond with quotes.
3130	Please validate that the instructions permit an O-TTPS certification to be submitted in lieu of, rather than in addition to, a C-SCRM plan.	The term "Alternatively" means the submission of an O-TTPS certificate is an alternate not an addition to a C-SCRM plan.

3132	Can subcontractors/teammates be added post award for all categories?	Yes, subcontractors/teammates can be added post award for all categories.
3133	What is the difference between Category B Enterprise-wide ITC/AV Service Solutions and Category C ITC/AV Mission-Based Services?	The difference is provided in the 2 scope descriptions: iSee the description in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK Category B- Enterprise-wide ITC/AV Service Solutions for the definition of Category B. See Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK Category C- ITC/AV Mission-Based (Information Technology Communication (ITC) and Audio Visual (AV)) Services for the definition of Category C.
3138	Does it make sense to pursue an NMR for NAICS 518210, which is similar to 513210 but for hosted capabilities? It is unlikely that most Offerors will be selling their own cloud services vs. those offered by AWS, Microsoft, and Google.	The items covered by the contract level NMR are defined through the SBA NMR approval process.
3144	So, companies bidding on all (3) solution categories need to submit (3) separate proposals? Just clarifying this point.	Yes, if an offeror is submitting a proposal in all 3 categories they need to submit a separate proposal for each category.
3147	For submission, offerors must complete Exhibit 4, the NAICS Crosswalk, and provide their business size as of the time they complete the spreadsheet. Furthermore, offerors might recertify/change their size standards in SAM.gov as part of their annual recertifications before the SEWP Master Contract is awarded. For the purposes of SEWP Master Contract award, what size will SEWP consider/record the offeror for each NAICS? From what source will that be determined? When in the process will it be done?	Contract Holders will be provided a process post-award to certify and recertify their NAICS code and business size crosswalk.
3149	With respect to additional terms and conditions and OEM EULAs in quotes to end-users, may a link to a firm's EULA be sufficient to having communicated this information to end-	No. Quotes should contain all of the information including any terms and conditions to be negotiated.
3150	Does the SEWP PMO get awardees size standards from SAM.gov or do awardees need to self-report them to the SEWP PMO? Is there a schedule for doing so?	Awardees will self identify and will then be verified against their SAM.gov listing.

3151	What percentage of an RFQ qualifies as "sufficient items?" 50%? 75%? And would consideration be given to a portion of an RFQ that could be listed as "Pending Modification" for a percentage of the RFQ?	Sufficient items refers to 100% of the items being quoted.
3152	Can industry otherwise advocate, communicate and/or solicit agencies about pursuing a storefront with the SEWP PMO to procure products?	The SEWP Program Office will provide guidance post-award to Contract Holders as to the messaging to Government customers with regards to Strategic Storefronts.
3154	A reseller can not all current versions of a product are available 'throughout the life of this contract' so would it be sufficient and reasonable to offer next versions of the same product?	The clause requires the Contract Holder to continuously offer all available items. If an item evolves, changes, is end-of-lifed, it is not longer available. As new or next versions become available, they should be added to the Contract using the Technology Refreshment process.
3156	Please validate that the link https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi terminates in the proper location. It does not appear to go to a SEWP VI RFP Application.	The URL https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi is correct.
3158	Please validate that the SEWP VI RFP WEBSITE referred to in Section A.3.3 is different from the SEWP VI RFP Application referred to in Section A.3.2.	https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ is the main SEWP VI Web page; https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewp6Solicitation is the Solicitation Upload Application.
3164	Validate that brief descriptions are required for all 9 Technical Areas and not just the 4 Technical Areas being offered.	Brief descriptions are only required for the 4 designated Technical Areas.
3165	RFP / A.3.7.1 : For Category B and C : Paragraph 1 : Sentence 8 "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." Validate that the "in-scope" NAICS for this purpose are only the Categories B and C NAICS in the table in Section 1.34	Yes, the "in-scope" NAICS codes for the purpose of submitting projects for Category B and C are only those listed in the table in Section A.1.34.
3172	Following contract award, can an awardee use SEWP VI NAICS codes other than those used for its REPs or Past Performances?	Yes, post-award an awardee can use SEWP VI NAICS codes other than those used for its REPs or Past Performance references.

3189	Will the use of generative AI tools have a positive, negative, or neutral impact on the evaluation of the proposal?	This is not an evaluation factor.
3195	The RFP states that contractors must disclose if they used generative AI tools during the proposal development process. We understand the importance of transparency. However, can the government clarify how they will utilize this disclosure information during the proposal evaluation stage?	This is not an evaluation factor.
3196	If generative AI was used, will the evaluation focus more on the human expertise demonstrated in refining and tailoring the AI output, or solely on the final proposal content itself?	This is not an evaluation factor.
3198	A.3.7.1 (c) One the Crosswalk (Exhibit 4) can/should the Offeror respond with multiple categories (ie. SB, WOSB)?	Yes, the offeror should state: "WOSB, HUB".
3209	A.1.13 FAIR OPPORTUNITY AND REQUEEST FOR QUOTES PG 44 states "The Contractor shall not market, quote or otherwise offer for sale, any IT Solutions not listed under this contract, until the said solutions are included in the SEWP database, and available to all Government end-users." QUESTION: Is this related to products or services or both? Where is the "SEWP Database?" How do we access it to determine if the products or services we desire to offer are included in the database?	The section refers to all quotes whether products, solutions, services or any combination thereof. The SEWP database of record is the database containing all approved and currently valid offerings (e.g. parts, labor rates, services, etc). Contract holders can verify their current offerings through the Contract Holder Only Page.
3210	A.1.13 FAIR OPPORTUNITY AND REQUEEST FOR QUOTES PG 44 states "If the Government issues a Request For Quote (RFQ) or a Market Research Request (MRR), the Contractor may only respond with items available on their Contract. If the Contractor has insufficient items on their contract to fully respond to the Formal RFQ, the Contractor must respond with a No Bid." QUESTION: If the contractor does not have sufficient items on their contract to full respond and responds No Bid, will this result in an unfavorable contract performance rating?	No. Contract Holders are not rated on the number of No bids submitted.

3211	<p>General: Please provide additional clarification regarding how the government differentiates between Mission Level experience and Enterprise Level experience. For example, if a Offeror has experience maintaining servers, storage and data that is used by 60,000+ users across a Federal agency, is that consideration 'Enterprise' (Category B) even though the data and supporting data infrastructure are managed by a single department?</p>	<p>A large scale enterprise wide service could fit within Category B; while a small scale mission specific service could fit within Category C. The determination will be made by the Issuing Agency at the task order level.</p>
3212	<p>General: Will the government provide more clarification regarding how it will determine if a TO is mission level or enterprise level and more suited to be released under Category C vs. Category B? For example, if the scope of a TO is to maintain and operate a data warehouse that is used by thousands of users across a Federal agency but managed by a single department within the Agency, is that considered enterprise or mission level?</p>	<p>The determination of the Category to utilize will be made by the Issuing Agency.</p>
3216	<p>A.1.30.1. Cybersecurity-Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM) Plan (Attestation Form) pg 57. Is the C-SCRM Plan required only from the prime contractor, or is it also required from each First-Tier subcontractor? Same question related to the Attestation Form, is it required from First-Tier subcontractors?</p>	<p>Yes. The C-SCRM Plan and Attestation Form are only required from the prime contractor.</p>
3219	<p>SEWP V was based on a very stable NAICS / Size Standard combination (541519 / 150 employees) for contractors that enabled contractors to bid as a small and deliver throughout the POP of the contract as a small. This ensured that contractors could put processes and systems in place to be successful on the contract. With the inclusion of dollar-value sub-NAICS on SEWP VI, contractors have the potential of speeding through those size standards before they become truly proficient at handling those commodities. How does the SEWP PMO foresee reducing the risk of creating a churn of new contractors at those lower size standard sub-NAICS?</p>	<p>The SEWP PMO aims to minimize the risk of high turnover among contractors working with lower size-standard sub-NAICS codes through several strategies. These include clearly defining the scope of each sub-NAICS, providing readily available guidance and support to new contractors, and promoting best practices to foster long-term success for contract holders.</p>

3226	<p>Exhibit 1: "Part III Project Description" doesn't appear to be working as intended. The government has stated the REP Template may not exceed a total of three pages. However, instead of expanding into the next page, the text in the project description box gets progressively smaller until it is unreadable.</p> <p>Are Offerors allowed to convert this form into a Word document in order to provide enough content for the project description?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3229	<p>Can the Government clarify the page limits for each Exhibit 1 Mandatory Relevant Experience? The instructions in Exhibit 1 state there is a 3-page limit, whereas the Proposal Submission Table states that (b) the Mandatory Experience Exhibit is excluded from page count.</p>	<p>Exhibit 1 has its own page limit that is excluded from the overall proposal page limit.</p>
3236	<p>A.1.35 states "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." Is the formal letter submitted at the GWAC level or the Task Order level?</p>	<p>"A formal agreement with an AbilityOne NPA must be submitted at the GWAC level and will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment H.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING"</p>
3243	<p>RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP.pdf; Section Reference - A.3.5, A.3.7.1; Page Number(s) - 99; Question - Is it permissible to use a CMMI SVC or DEV (Maturity Level 3) certification whose scope is hosted at the corporate level?</p>	<p>Yes, it is permissible to use a CMMI SVC or DEV (Maturity Level 3) certification whose scope is hosted at the corporate level.</p>
3248	<p>SourceAmerica has told us that any NPA may be used as a subcontractor and that It does not limit the primes to using only the list of SourceAmerica pool NPAs. Please confirm if this is correct.</p>	<p>Primes are not required to submit documentation from SourceAmerica. A prime may use an NPA without SourceAmerica's assistance, as all qualified NPAs are listed on the AbilityOne website.</p>

3252	RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP.pdf, Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk.xlsx; Section Reference - A.4.1, A.4.2; Page Number(s) - 114; Language Cited - 2) Meets all requirements within the firm down select phase of evaluation: Phase 1- Accuracy of NAICS Crosswalk...; Question - Can the Government confirm that Large Business Offerors proposing on Category B do not need to complete and submit an Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk?	All Offerors must submit a filled out Exhibit 4.
3282	Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table: The instructions within the Exhibit 1 PDF state, "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages." However, in RFP A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS, Part (B) Proposal Content and Page Limitations, the table shows for Volume I that the Mandatory Experience Exhibit is "Excluded" from page limitations. Will the Government please 1) clarify if the "Mandatory Experience Exhibit" referenced in the RFP refers to "Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table," and 2) confirm what the page limitations for Exhibit 1 are for each	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3285	For Category B - How many mandatory technical areas need to be covered out of the 10 for each REP? Does each REP have to cover a minimum of 4 areas or any one of the technical areas?	Each REP must address a different Technical Area and should only address one Technical Area.
3287	Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table, Part III: Project Description: The free-form text field in Part III will not extend past the first page of the PDF. If this form may be multiple pages, will the Government please update the formatting of the PDF to allow the field to extend to multiple pages or please clarify how offerors should present content that extends beyond the first page? If the form should not extend past the first page, will the Government please update the instructions within the Exhibit PDF and the RFP to reflect that limitation?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

3295	<p>Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table: Part III: Project Description: The instructions for Part III say to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." However, there are no further instructions within Exhibit 1 or the RFP that explain what the attached documents should be or where or how they should be attached. Will the Government please provide further instructions or remove this text from the Exhibit 1 PDF?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3298	<p>Can the Past performance projects be the same as the REP's?</p>	<p>Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.</p>
3302	<p>Should the Sub contractors/teaming partners also provide ISO and CMMI certification or just Prime?</p>	<p>No, the Sub contractors/teaming partners should not provide ISO and CMMI certifications.</p>
3309	<p>RFP Page 109: The RFP states: "Offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Please clarify whether each past performance reference submitted should align with at least two Content Representative Areas OR whether a total of two Content Representative Areas are required to be showcased with multiple past performances?</p>	<p>The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.</p>
3312	<p>RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP; Section Reference - A.1.35; Page Number(s) - 63-64; Language Cited - The contractor shall identify in the Ability One Commitment letter the communication with SourceAmerica/NIB to identify qualified nonprofit agencies and use subcontracting opportunities for SEWP with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies.; Question - Please confirm that an offeror is not required to sign a letter of commitment with both Source America and NIB, and may choose to work with one or the</p>	<p>Primes are not required to submit documentation from SourceAmerica. A prime may use an NPA without SourceAmerica's assistance, as all qualified NPAs are listed on the AbilityOne website.</p>

3320	RFP Document - 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP; Section Reference - A.1.35; Page Number(s) 63-64; Language Cited - Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I.; Question - Please confirm that only the letter of commitment is required with the proposal submission and that formal agreement's with a specific NPA will	It is confirmed that the letter of commitment is required with the proposal submission and that any formal agreements with an NPA will be obtained after award of the contract.
3321	What is the page limitation for the Mandatory Experience REPs in Categories B and C?	3 pages per REP exclusive of the instruction sheet.
3333	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table, RFP Section A.3.7.1(b), Pg 103: When attempting to enter a response into the government-provided Exhibit 1 (Relevant Experience Project Table), the fields contained in Part II (Project Description) do not allow offerors to enter 3 pages of content at a font size of no less than 10-pt Arial. Can the government please provide offerors with a template that can be manipulated and still maintain the government's font size and page limitations?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3338	Please confirm if Offerors must submit a commitment letter or other documentation regarding AbilityOne with our submission. If so, please identify which Volume to include it in.	Offerors must submit a commitment letter regarding AbilityOne with their submission, and it should be included in Volume I of the proposal. A.1.35; Page Number: 63-64
3344	The RFP states, "The format for each proposal volume shall parallel, to the greatest extent possible, the format of the evaluation factors and subfactors contained in this solicitation." Please advise if this is applicable to all volumes.	Yes, this is applicable to all volumes.

3350	<p>"One (1) designated provider (OEM) and their Point of Contact (POC) is required to be provided in Exhibit 3a, POC Info Tab for each of the four (4) Technical Areas proposed (Technical Area 9- Product Based Services does not require a designated provider)."</p> <p>For responses featuring more than one OEM per the four technical areas, would this be one POC per OEM per technical area, or just 1 POC for the primary OEM, and a list of secondary</p>	<p>The information provided should be for the one designated provider in each of the selected 4 Technical Areas.</p>
3364	<p>This section does not address nor indicate how orders will be solicited as we expect the categories related to services would be competed. Please clarify.</p>	<p>"Section A.1.13 FAIR OPPORTUNITY AND REQUESTS FOR QUOTES and ATTACHMENT C- SEWP Contractor Holder User Manual provide information concerning the Request For Quote process in SEWP.</p> <p>"</p>
3368	<p>If an Agency issues an RFP under category B or C but as part of the solution it would require some components from Category A, how will that be handled during the RFP process.</p>	<p>Ancillary products in support of a Category B or C requirement may be added to the contract in that category.</p>
3369	<p>RFP P.25 "Each technology area includes specific mandatory technology that must be supplied; however, offerings shall not be limited to those specific mandatory areas. The listed sub-areas are not exclusive to all applicable ITC/AV solutions." Are the specific mandatory technologies equal to the listed sub-areas, or are they found elsewhere?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to remove references to Mandatory Areas.</p>
3373	<p>"The RFP states ""Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement..."".</p> <p>Question: Will the government please define what is meant by a formal agreement?"</p>	<p>A formal agreement is a written document, signed by the SEWP Contract Holder and the AbilityOne NPA, that outlines the terms of the subcontracting relationship and incorporates the qualifications discussed in A.1.35.</p>

3376	The RFP states "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement..." Is the Offeror required to have a formal agreement in place with the NPA at time of our proposal submission or will the government explicitly state that this a post award requirement?	A formal agreement is a post-award requirement and does not need to be in place at the time of proposal submission.
3377	The REP form refers Offerors to Solicitation A.3.5.1(b) for further information or instructions. This section does not appear in the Solicitation. Please provide this section.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

3385	<p>A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) - Technical Area 4a: Imaging Equipment and Support Technology, page 28 –</p> <p>"We would like to raise awareness to developments we have witnessed in how agencies acquire all types of endpoint devices including notebooks and desktop computers (End User Compute Devices), phones, tablets, etc. (Mobile Devices), printers (Print Devices), and IP phone handsets (IP Phones). Just about every Government agency and enterprise now maintains a remote, hybrid, and in-office workforce, as you are surely aware. Distributing, deploying, managing, and maintaining endpoint devices for this workforce is a challenge. The deployment of new devices and retrieval and disposal of those at the end of their life cycles require the shipping, receiving, secure storage, DOA failures handling, data sanitization facilities and inventory management and order fulfillment systems that many agencies simply do not have. Therefore, they are increasingly turning to contractors for these services including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provisioning Services: Asset labeling, 2D, 3D, and IUID tagging, disk imaging, Security Technical Implementation Guides (STIG) setting, bundling, equipment issue, shipping, warranty support, OEM warranty entitlement, and logistics. • Managed Services: Customer portal management, program management, new device activation, device End of Life (EOL) management, data sanitization, disposal, and test lab. • Subscription Services: Financing, reports and billing, and device refresh management. 	Every service listed in the comment are in scope for SEWP VI.
3386	Clause (50) 52.225-5 Trade Agreement; is not checked. Is TAA a requirement?	The requirement is to correctly notate all items as either TAA or non-TA compliance. Government customers are required to purchase TAA compliant items unless they have an exception per the FAR.

3387	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) - Technical Area 4a: Imaging Equipment and Support Technology, page 28 – Many Federal Agencies are procuring RFID Equipment (tags, scanners/readers, and encoders) for Asset Management. Please confirm RFID Equipment falls under Technical Area 4a.	Yes, RFID is in scope for SEWP VI and could be proposed in Technical Area 4a in Exhibit 3a.
3388	RFP page 102 states, “The designated primary Technical Area shall have a minimum of 1,000 distinct and relevant CLINs from the designated provider for that Technical Area.” Would you consider relaxing the number of required CLINS for the designated primary Technical Area to be 250-500 CLINS?	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
3394	RFP page 102 states “The designated provider for each of the proposed areas must be from the list of approved SEWP Providers listed in Enclosure 1.” Can you please confirm if the offered OEM and POC must already be on the list of approved SEWP providers (Enclosure 1)? If so, can you please add the following providers: XMEDIUS/OPENTEXT, XEROX, LEXMARK, KODAK ALARIS, CLEO STREEM, TUNGSTEN, VASION, TOSHIBA, NETAPHOR, XMEDIUS, EATON, SCM MICROSYSTEMS.?	The Solicitation only requires the 4 designated providers be from the list in Enclosure 1. Other providers may be proposed at the time of proposal or post-award. The solicitation will be updated to revise and significantly increase the list of providers.
3397	A.1.13 Fair Opportunity and Requests for Quotes seems to be written for Category A only as it discusses adding technology refresh requests but no equivalent is available for services.	Section A.1.13. Fair Opportunity and Requests is applicable to all 3 Categories. Technology refresh requests cover both products and service offerings
3401	For Category A- Letter of Authorization, does the LOA need to be in the name of the offeror or can it be in the name of a subcontractor?	The LOA must be in the name of the Offeror.

3403	Is small business required to comply with the AbilityOne subcontracting requirements?	Small businesses are not required to comply with the statutory requirement to submit a subcontracting plan as outlined in FAR 52.219-9. However, Section A.1.35 of the SEWP VI RFP states that all contractors, regardless of size, proposing under any of the NAICS codes identified with an asterisk in Section A.1.34 must utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors. Additionally, all contractors, regardless of size, proposing under PSC codes D321, DE01, DE10, or 7E20 must utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors.
3411	Please verify the C-SCRM DRD requirements listed in Attachment D – Contractor Data Requirements, Section 11 Contents – Annual report noting certification with current ISO 20243 standard vs incorporation of Attachment J – C-SCRM Attestation Form. Attachment J, as referenced in the CDRL, is to be incorporated in the final contract and outlines a C-SCRM template to be completed. However, the CDRL mentions certification of ISO 20243 and Open Trusted Technology Provider (O-TTPS) certification. Please clarify if the ISO 20243 is a certification requirement for all contractors, specifically small businesses, participating in the SEWP VI acquisition pursuit.	As noted in the Solicitation. ISO 20243 is an alternative method of documenting SCRM requirements. This is a post-award document.
3412	Regarding this section's statement that proposals will be considered ineligible for award if they have a duplicated section of their proposal to another offeror's; many offerors will be receiving content for Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet directly from the OEMs they're bidding or from distribution companies who are publishing lists of products with SKUs. This will result in similar products, SKU/Part Numbers, and product descriptions across many offerors' proposals. Please confirm that duplicated content in Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet will be accepted.	Yes, the duplication statement does not apply to Exhibit 3(a).

3413	OEM's cannot legally control the price on their products and services that are sold through partners/VARs. As such, the requirement stating that offerors could be eliminated from selection for having same pricing cannot remain.	The duplication statement does not apply to offerings and pricing in Exhibit 3(a).
3417	A.3.6(B) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATION: In the Proposal Submission Table on pg96, Management Approach Volume, it states "(a)Cover Page, Indices, Exhibit 5 (Proposal C-SCRM Attestation Form)." Is the contractor to provide the C-SCRM form only for the prime contractor/offeror, or, is the form to also be provided for or by First-Tier subcontractors, and/or for or by AbilityOne subcontractors?	Exhibit 5 must only be submitted for the Offeror.
3419	For the CLINs offered from secondary providers that do not require Primes to submit an LOA for, how is the government validating that the Prime is, in fact, an Authorized Reseller for that provider since no LOA is required for secondary providers in the CLIN list?	A process is provided post-award for identifying the reseller provider relationships.
3421	How is the government validating whether offerors are "Authorized Resellers" of their chosen providers who are not Designated Providers (and therefore do not require an LOA to be submitted with their proposal)?	A process is provided post-award for identifying the reseller provider relationships.
3424	The solicitation instructions state that the Provider/OEM POC signing the LOA should match the designated provider information in Exhibit 3A; however, in some cases, the OEM POC differs from who has OEM signature authority. Is it acceptable to include an OEM POC's information in the LOA and Exhibit 3A that differs from the OEM LOA signatory?	No. The signatory should match the identified POC. The POC may be a legal representative (the requirement is "sales representative or equivalent").
3427	For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) - Are REP's only required for Categories B and C?	Yes, REPs are only required for Categories B and C.
3428	Will a single awarded Task Order of \$2.5M or greater off of an awarded GWAC such as SEWPV, CIO-CS, GSA, ADMC3/ITES3H be compliant to submit as a Past Performance reference?	Yes, a single awarded Task Order that meets the mandatory size requirement off of an awarded GWAC or other IDIQ contract is compliant to be submitted as a Past Performance reference and/ a Relevant Experience Project.

3429	Are we strictly required to use manufacturers listed in Enclosure 1 – SEWP VI Providers for our response on Exhibit 3a – Category A Solutions Spreadsheet?	Yes, the 1-4 designated providers must come from the manufacturers listed in Enclosure 1.
3430	Enclosure 1: SEWP VI Providers lists a number of companies. The header says, "List of Providers to be used by Offeror as Designated Providers as explained in Section A.3.7.1. (b) Mandatory Experience." Can the government please confirm this does not apply to Category B?	Yes, the list of providers in Enclosure 1 does not apply to Category B.
3433	Please confirm that the submission can be under any of the approved SEWP VI NAICS Codes.	Yes, submissions may be made under any of the approved SEWP VI NAICS Codes. However, the Offeror must select a single NAICS code to compete for a master SEWP VI contract award.
3436	During the Industry Day it was demonstrated that when submitting a proposal, the offeror is to select a Category and Business Size (Large or Small) then select NAICS from the drop-down list, then a Business Size (Large, Small, WOSB, 8(a), SDVOSB, etc.) from a drop down-list. If an offeror is multiple business sizes (ex. Small Business, WOSB, 8(a)), should the offeror submit a proposal for each Business size?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
3440	Please confirm that a single awarded Task or Delivery order of \$2.5M or greater is acceptable to use as Past Performance even if the competition was originated from a GWAC RFQ.	Yes, a single awarded Task Order that meets the mandatory size requirement off of an awarded GWAC or other IDIQ contract is compliant to be submitted as a Past Performance reference and/ a Relevant Experience Project.
3442	RFP states "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes." Are the Subcontracting Plan and Commitment Letter different? Is there specific information that the Government requires to be included in the AbilityOne Subcontracting Plan?	The Subcontracting Plan and Commitment Letter are two distinct documents. The Subcontracting Plan, a requirement for other than small businesses, outlines the offeror's overall goals for subcontracting with small businesses. The AbilityOne Commitment Letter expresses the offeror's commitment to subcontracting with AbilityOne nonprofit agencies and must identify the point of contact from SourceAmerica/NIB and plans for subcontracting with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes.

3443	Within Exhibit 1, the document refers the offeror to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the RFP document. Within the RFP document there is no section A.3.5.1(b). Is this a typo or is more information forthcoming?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3445	The table indicates that the Mandatory Experience Exhibit is excluded from the page limit. It then indicates that Mandatory Experience Letters of Authorization (LOAs) are limited to 3 pages each. Will the Government please confirm that for offerors in Category B and C, the REPs (using Exhibit 1) are limited to 3 pages each?	As stated in the Solicitation, the response attached to Exhibit 1 is limited to 3 pages per REP.
3447	The Government references a warranty. Please confirm the warranty requirements in A.1.20 applies to Category A only.	The warranty requirements apply to any products purchased from any SEWP Contract regardless of the Category.
3461	While the solicitation states that, "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for as a single past performance reference", will the Sponsor confirm that an Offeror may submit an order (Task Order or Purchase Order) from a multi-award IDIQ as a single past performance reference if it meets the other requirements (\$, recency, relevancy)?	Yes, a single awarded Task Order that meets the mandatory size requirement off of an awarded GWAC or other IDIQ contract is compliant to be submitted as a Past Performance reference and/ a Relevant Experience Project.
3468	The section lists 10 Category B and C Content Representative Areas and does not list #11 Program Management / Ancillary Services. Please clarify if the #11 Program Management / Ancillary Services is also a Content Representative Area the offerors should address and include.	No. Task Area 11 Program Management / Ancillary Services is not a Content Representative Area the offerors should address and include.

3472	If questions/comments are made to any RFP documents, would the government please incorporate the answers into the RFP documents and issue a version of each document that contains track changes to clearly identify the RFP changes that have been made?	All questions are responded to through the SEWP Solicitation Comment Tool.
3485	Attachment D, DRD No 3, 11. - We believe that the reference to submission of DRD No. 3 at 60 calendar days prior to the contract award date is not the government's intent, as that would require offerors to develop and deliver the deliverable before they receive a contract. Would the Government please confirm that its intent is for this deliverable to be submitted no later than 60 days AFTER contract award date?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).
3487	A.1.51- As this requirement indicates submission of a Plan after award and this is a requirement we have not seen before, would NASA consider holding a session with contract holders to educate contractors on what information they are looking for in this plan and allow for industry to ask questions?	NASA will hold multiple meetings, webinars, discussions, etc. with SEWP VI Contract Holders on all aspects of the SEWP Program post-award.
3492	Can the offeror submit two proposals - one for itself and for a JV that it is a member of, or does it have to be a single proposal with offeror info and JC info included? In other words, does it need to be one combined zipped file that includes all the information from both the offeror and the JV or can it be two different zipped files, one for offeror and one for JV?	If an Offeror proposes as the prime contractor one time per category and proposes one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category those are two separate proposals.
3495	Attachment A - A.6 states "The Contractor shall publish an electronic ordering guide suitable for downloading and printing by SEWP customers." Will Category C contractors be required to publish an Ordering Guide?	Yes, all contract holders will be required to publish an Ordering Guide.
3497	The instructions for the Offer volume say to complete Blocks 12, 17, and 30 on the SF1449 and submit. As Block 12 is already filled in, what does the Government want the offeror to complete in that block?	The Government is requesting that Offerors complete blocks 17 and 30 of the SF1449. Block 12 is already populated and should not be modified.

3498	Is it a requirement for non-manufacturer contractors to provide a Climate Change Risk Management Plan after award?	Yes, as stated in section A.1.51, in an accordance with DRD #5, it is required for all contract holders to provide Attachment-L 'Climate Change Risk Management Plan' post award.
3507	A.3.3 provides instructions for uploading proposal files. If we are a small business and also a HubZone, 8A, Woman-Owned Small Business do I need to upload individual proposals for each category or can I upload all documents for the small business within Category C and have that be used to also determine if we qualify for a HubZone Category C award? When we have submitted prior Best In Class contract bids we did not have to upload docs more than once.	If proposing in Multiple Categories (Category A, B and/or C), then a separate proposal must be uploaded for each Category. Only one proposal is permitted per Category with the exception of one Prime and one JV proposal.
3509	A.1.43 GOVERNMENT PURCHASE CARD The contract holder must accept payment via EFT and Government Purchase card, or other Agency approved credit card payment regardless of the order size. Question - is there a ceiling on the credit card \$purchase amount?	The ceiling amount varies based on the Agency and the credit card customer's authorization.
3510	Can the Government provide clarification on A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) regarding Category A Group A1 – Unrestricted and Group A2- Small Business Set Aside. If a contractor grows out of the employee size standard for Group A2 - Small Business Set Aside after award, does the contractor lose the Group distinction or then fall under Group A1-	The Group designation exists only in terms of the proposal submissions. Post award, business sizes are based on the Issuing Agency's NAICs code and the Contract Holders size designation for that NAICs code.
3521	Can we use LOA's from distributors that confirm which OEM's we are authorized to sell? Section A.3.7.1 notes that was are to provide a maximum of four (4) LOA. Including a LOA from a distributor that lists all of our OEM authorizations would be an easy way to show the wide range of OEM products we can supply. Additionally, if this is allowable, can we include LOA's from more than one distributor? The distributor we use for a given task order is dependent upon which OEM we are quoting.	No, LOAs from distributors are not allowed as they do not meet the intent of the requirement. The LOAs are to show that the Offeror is an authorized reseller of a given Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)'s products.

3523	Section A.1.30.1 Cybersecurity-Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM) Plan (Document 3, Page 57) - DRD #3 references NIST SP 800-161 for additional C-SCRM Plan guidance. With the release of NIST SP 800-161 Rev. 1 in May 2022, can the government clarify if the latest revision should be followed or if the original version is still acceptable?	In all instances, the latest versions of Security guidance and standards should be followed.
3524	Section A.1.30.2 C-SCRM Incident Reporting (Document 3, Pages 57-58) - What is the government's definition of a "C-SCRM incident" that would require reporting under DRD #4? Is there a standard taxonomy that should be used?	See NIST 800-161 and similar security guidelines for detailed definitions of security terminology including "C-SCRM incident".
3525	On Page 96 Proposal Submission Table: Can you please clarify the requirement for the Mandatory Experience Letter of Authorization? Is this the same as the Letter of Authorization from product providers? Mandatory Experience Letters of Authorization are not mentioned elsewhere in the RFP.	Yes. The Mandatory Experience Letter of Authorization is the same as the Letter of Authorization from product providers required by A.3.7.1(b).
3532	Section A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience - Exhibit 3a (Pages 101-102) - The Exhibit 3a Category A Solutions Spreadsheet instructions state if a Tab is for the primary Technical Area, at least 1000 distinct CLINs must be proposed. However, the spreadsheet template only has space for 500 rows. Can additional rows be added as needed without penalty?	Yes. Offerors may add additional rows to the Exhibit 3a spreadsheet template as needed without penalty.
3544	Page 98 states: "Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort." For individual consultants who assist with the proposal, what information is needed (e.g. first and last name)?	First and last name should be used as identification.

3545	Page 98 states: "Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort." For subcontracting firms that assist with proposal writing, what information is required (company name, address)?	The Company name and address are forms of identification that can be submitted.
3546	As a small business who can bid for a HubZone and a Small Business award under Category C and the requirement is only different in terms of the number of relevant projects we respectfully request that the Government find a way to allow for us to submit one submission that addresses both small business set aside types.	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
3547	A.3.5 states "Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category by the proposal due date and time specified in Block 8 of the SF 1449, Offerors shall submit their proposal in volumes as specified in A.3.6 below."	There was no question or comment provided.
3548	P. 103 states, "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." This seems to contradict Exhibit 1 which instructs offerors to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Will the Government please clarify if additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1, and if so, what supporting information/evidence is required?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

3558	<p>"All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes identified with an asterisk."</p> <p>"Contract holders shall allocate a target goal of at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors. If Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as the prime. The contractor is required to submit a quarterly report reflecting which orders have been subcontracted to qualified AbilityOne Non-profits. In the yearly report, if the goal is not met, then the contractor shall state what they will do to improve efforts to meet the goal."</p> <p>The first paragraph states more than once that utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors is mandatory. However, the third paragraph explains what to do if this subcontracting goal is not met, which implies this requirement is actually on a "best effort" basis versus mandatory. Please</p>	<p>Section A.1.35 of the SEWP VI RFP clearly states that all SEWP contract holders, including small businesses, competing for and awarded contracts under specific NAICS and PSC codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne nonprofit organizations as subcontractors. The target goal of 2% of the overall contract value allocated to AbilityOne subcontractors serves as a means of tracking and measuring compliance with this mandatory requirement.</p>
3568	Should offerors include the Agency Administrative Handling Fee of .34% in the SEWP Catalog Price in column 'G' of Exhibit 3a?	Yes, the proposed SEWP Catalog Price should include the Agency Administrative Handling Fee. The maximum fee of 0.34% should be utilized for the proposal.
3569	Please confirm the fee to include in our proposed prices is .34% since it is currently stated as "not to exceed" .34%.	Yes, the proposed SEWP Catalog Price should include the Agency Administrative Handling Fee. The maximum fee of 0.34% should be utilized for the proposal.
3577	Presently, Managed Print Services and Leased Print Equipment are included in the scope of SEWP V. Could you please verify if these will be classified under Category A for SEWP VI?	Yes. Managed Print Services are product based services in scope for Category A.
3581	Please confirm that OEM warranty/maintenance CLINs should be included in the Technical Area of the type of technology they are supporting (as opposed to all warranty/maintenance CLINs being in Technical Area 9 Product-Based Services).	For purposes of Exhibit 3, the warranty services can be included in the technology based Area.

3586	Will contract holders be able to access and see the SEWP marketplace for other contract holders or only their own contracts?	Contract Holders are restricted from viewing proprietary information such as other Contract Holder's offerings.
3589	Please confirm that the Strategic Storefront is only applicable to Category A.	Storefronts are applicable across all three categories.
3590	Please confirm that the Strategic Catalog is only applicable to Category A.	Strategic Catalogs are applicable across all three categories.
3592	Please confirm that this clause for "Discounts for Technology Equipment" is only applicable to Category A.	This discount for technology clause refers to all product and service offerings on SEWP. The Contract Holder applies any discount at the contract line item level and therefore this allows the Contract Holder full ability to provide multiple line items taking into account all variables in pricing such as skill sets, benefits, etc.
3600	<p>Technical Area 9a: Product-Based Services</p> <p>"These services shall also be included in the other appropriate Technology Areas, (e.g., Server warranty and installation is an integral part of any Server offering in Technical Area 1 above)."</p> <p>Should the Server offering reference Technical Area 2 (as opposed to Technical Area 1)?</p>	The reference is correct as an example of Technical Area 1 is an example where the server is a compute server. Another example would be Technical Area 2 if the server is a storage server.
3606	A.3.1(c) "The apparent successful offeror shall provide the following information for all IT systems or component thereof, or covered telecommunications equipment or services offered..." Please confirm that this information is to be provided after award and NOT with the proposal.	Yes. this is post award information.
3608	Mandatory experience page 101 refers to any 4 of the 8. Further on the page refers to a maximum of 4 of the 8. Then on the spreadsheet exhibit 3a it makes a reference to one of the minimum of 4 proposed Technical areas. Our question is there maximum of 4, or is it a minimum of 4. Can we and should fill out CLINS for all of the categories if we can do so? Or do you only want CLINS for the 4 we have LOAs for?	No, the offeror can propose more than the minimum number of CLINs. However, CLINs will not be reviewed or evaluated and will only be utilized to establish the initial Contract Database of Record upon Contract award. Yes, Contract Holders can utilize the Technology Refreshment process to add any in-scope product, solution or service for their Category post award. No, Offerors are not limited to submitting CLINs only the 4 designated Technical Areas.

3610	Page 88 Attachment D: Attachment D - the Cybersecurity-Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM) Plan requires vendors to have a certification with the current ISO 20243 standard including a copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification. However, the RFP states on page 112 (A.3.7.3(b)(1)v. that Offerors shall either fill out Exhibit 5 or provide a valid O-TTPS Certification. Please confirm that an Offeror who is submitting a proposal for Category B and/or C does not need to submit a O-TTPS	The requirement for proposal submission is to provide either a filled out Exhibit 5 or a valid O-TTPS Certification and applies to all 3 Categories.
3616	Correct there is no issue if we use an ISO 9001 cert that has multiple companies/entities listed (as long as the offeror's name is listed)?	Yes.
3625	On the "Letter of Authorization" the Government has strict requirements for the OEM's point of contract that are not within the control of the Offeror, such as the OEM's point of contact citizenship and applicable title, what happens if the OEM designates what Government determines to be invalid point of contact through no fault of the Offeror? Page 100-101.	If the requirements of the Solicitation are not met, the Offeror will not pass Phase 1.
3628	Might the Government provide an approved form of the "Letter of Authorization"?	No, The Government will not be providing an approved form for the "Letter of Authorization".
3630	"If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. The cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count as defined in A.3.7." Many documents are required in response to Volumes I-III that are dozens or even hundred of pages long, such as sustainability management policies, environmental management system documentation, and purchasing guidelines. However, the government has only allowed 15 pages for the Management Approach section. Please either exclude this referenced documentation from page count or clarify that offerors do not need to include the entire	None of the documentation cited is expected and should not be submitted unless it fits within the stated page limit.

3636	We can provide better pricing and it is our business to win Task Orders in competitive bidding when real orders and quantities are at issue, so why is the Government collecting specific detailed (and inaccurate since certain costs and expenses are excluded) information on pricing that it asserts that it is not evaluating on Exhibit 3a? Page 102.	Provided pricing will be loaded into the SEWP database of record. Offerors may only provide quotes using line items listed in the SEWP database of record with quoted pricing at or below the SEWP pricing.
3637	Exhibit 1 states, "identify where the supporting information/evidence is..." Will the Government please clarify what supporting information/evidence is required for Volume 1, Mandatory Experience REPs? Will contract award and annotated PWS suffice?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3639	Please confirm that there is no "relevant experience project" requirement for Offers under Category A. Page 103.	Relevant Experience Projects do not pertain to Category A proposals.
3642	Can a project used as a Relevant Experience Project be reused as Past Performance?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
3647	Will there be separate line items on orders for "shipping and payment charges" on orders under SEWP VI?	Yes. See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Delivery-Z CLIN.
3649	As "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" incurred by small businesses on Federal sales vary widely by the local jurisdiction collecting on the sale dramatically changing cost of the same solution, is SEWP VI going to continue the pretense that "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" are not paid by the Federal Government?	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.
3651	Are bidders expected to submit all documents for all Phases by the solicitation deadline or will bidders be notified as they pass each Phase and asked for their proposal within a set timeframe from that notification date?	Offerors must submit full proposals by the proposal bid date

3652	Can the government provide a revised Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table? The Part II- Project Description field limits the amount of data you can add, thereby not reaching the 3-page max. Also, if you add too much data, the font size reduces to smaller than the required font size.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3655	In some instances, Federal agencies pay "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" incurred on a sale and in some cases they do not, can you please provide guidance on SEWP VI's position on whether Federal agencies will pay "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" on SEWP VI task orders?	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.
3656	With local and State governments aggressively pursuing the collection of "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" from small businesses, will SEWP VI add a separate line item on orders for these costs so that the prices on SEWP VI of solutions can be more accurate, fair, and truthful and that the procurements accountable for the charges can be clearly tracked and those charges collected from those procurements?	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.
3658	For the purposes of "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" will SEWP VI give guidance on whether it is the place the solution is being delivered or the location that the solution will be used that is the proper jurisdiction for determining the appropriate local and/or State tax and rate for a SEWP VI task order?	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.
3659	Will SEWP VI add a separate line item on orders for "sales taxes" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" so small businesses can accurately record expenses and remit the funds and avoid potential liability to local, State or Federal authorities for not properly documenting transactions.	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.

3660	When does the Government believe that “sales taxes” and/or “transaction privilege taxes” should be remitted by small businesses to State or local government for Federal orders?	See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for specialized taxes, fees, etc.
3674	A.3.6 (B), page 95-96 proposal table; Based off the Proposal table on page 95 - 96 there is no pricing requirements. However, Exhibit 3 (a-c) is a pricing card. In the RFP Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume there is mention of Exhibit 3 on page 103. Are we to provide our Exhibit 3 (a-c) as a separate excel file or are we to provide it in our Volume I Offeror?	Exhibits 3 should be submitted within Volume 1.
3678	RFP p. 103-4: "For Small Businesses (including prime small business offerors and first tier Subcontractor, if applicable): A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Can one REP cover multiple mandatory technical areas?	No, one REP cannot cover multiple mandatory technical areas within the same proposal.
3688	FP p. 103-4: "For Small Businesses (including prime small business offerors and first tier Subcontractor, if applicable): A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Can you submit 3 REPS that collectively cover less than 3 mandatory experience technical areas?	No. For each Technical Area, a separate REP must be submitted that demonstrates experience in that Technical Area.
3689	"The Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table Part III: Project Description instructions states, “Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents.” The instructions in Section A.3.7.1 (page 103) “For Category B and C” state that “No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template.” Question: Will the government update the Exhibit 1 REP Table to reflect the instructions in the Final RFP that the Exhibit 1 Table is the only requirement for Category B: Mandatory Relevant Experience? "	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

3690	Will the government allow adding teammates at the Task Order level if needed?	Yes, the government will allow adding teammates at the task order level.
3697	<p>"There is an issue with the format of Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table Part III: Project Description. As you start typing in the "text box" the font gets smaller and smaller until it is illegible.</p> <p>Question: Will the government update the Exhibit 1 REP Table to correct the "text box" formatting to auto expand to Page 2 and Page 3 when adding the description? "</p>	The Solicitation has been updated to remove the text box. Offerors should now attach up to 3 pages to Exhibit 1.
3698	RFP p. 103 (Categories B and C): "A REP provided by an Offeror shall meet the mandatory experience technical area for the category being proposed on Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table." To confirm, does this mean we demonstrate the REP's relevance to the mandatory experience technical area with a written description in Exhibit 1? Are we to provide any supporting documentation (i.e. FPDS record, SOW/PWS, etc?)	Offerors will demonstrate the REP's relevance to the mandatory experience technical area by providing a written description in Exhibit 1.
3699	If an offeror is proposing under one of the non-asterisked NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34, in the Category A: ITC/AV Solutions (Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual) - NAICS 541519 (footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller) table, is that offeror required to use AbilityOne as a subcontractor on its SEWP VI work?	<p>Yes, if a NAICS code in the Category A: ITC/AV Solutions (Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual) - NAICS 541519 (footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller) table is identified with an asterisk, the offeror is required to use AbilityOne as a subcontractor for work performed under that NAICS code.</p> <p>Category A: ITC/AV Solutions (Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual) - NAICS 541519 (footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller) table</p>

3715	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings Category C pg 104. The required Exhibit 1 REP template provided is a PDF. QUESTION: Will NASA please provide a MS Word version of the template to allow for expanding the description text box so as to take full advantage of the 3 page limitation of this form? The PDF text box is limited to ¼ of a page. OR, may the contractor convert the form to a MS Word document?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3718	Must Offerors create a separate SEWP VI RFP Website account if their company bids as the managing member of a JV and also bids as a Prime contractor?	Yes, Contract Holders, post award, must create a separate SEWP VI website if their company is awarded a contract as the managing member of the JV and a second contract as a prime contract holder.
3720	RFP p. 109: "Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Does this mean EACH past performance must showcase 3 content representative areas, or the past performances COLLECTIVELY must demonstrate relevance in at least 3 areas?	The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.
3721	Regarding ISO20243, is the Government making this a mandatory requirement to have?	No, the government is not making ISO 20243 a mandatory requirement for offerors to have.
3722	Are we able to add additional CLINS/vendors/manufacturers to our offering after contract award?	Yes, contract holders are able to add additional CLINS/vendors/manufacturers post award.
3723	Do we have to use the same contracts for Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performance?	No. Different contracts can be used for Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performance.
3725	(c) Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4) (Pg 105) states "An Offeror will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with NAICS code identified on Exhibit 4." Can the Government please clarify how they will group an offeror who identifies as both Large Business and Small Business on different NAICS Code size standards within SAM.gov and within Exhibit 4?"	Offerors will be tracked and listed based on all appropriate combinations of NAICs code and business size(s) as identified in Sam.gov and Exhibit 4.

3728	<p>Regarding the 100 CLIN requirement for 3 of the 4 Categories using only 1 OEM, specifically TA8 - Software and Cloud Technology,</p> <p>I have a concern for the Software Category as no 1 OEM will have 100 CLINS for their software. We can get to it using multiple OEM's, but not only 1.</p> <p>Would the government consider changing the CLIN requirement for Technology Area 8 to 50 CLINS? Or, if we wish to identify TA 8 as one of our four technical areas, could we utilize multiple OEMs in order to get to 100 CLINS?</p>	<p>The major Software companies listed in Enclosure 1 all have thousands if not tens of thousands of offerings of their software available in different forms, customers, time, support, etc.</p>
3731	<p>A.3.6(B)(2): Provide a definitive number of pages an electronic foldout page is equivalent to with respect to an 8-1/2" x 11" page size.</p>	<p>A foldout would count for the number of pages it folds out to beyond 8 1/2 by 11.</p>
3735	<p>What are the criteria for compliance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act for Information and Communication Technology (ICT) accessibility? Are there specific tools or methodologies recommended for ensuring compliance?</p>	<p>Section A.1.44. articulates the basic requirements and provides outside links for further information.</p>
3736	<p>80TECH24R0001 SF1449_Category A/80TECH24R0001 SF1449_Category B/80TECH24R0001 SF1449_Category C - Is the award ceiling for each Category \$20 billion?</p>	<p>The award ceiling for each SEWP VI contract is \$20 Billion.</p>
3738	<p>Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table/Pg 1 - This bidder could not locate the referenced Section A.3.5.1(b). Should this reference read A.3.7.1(b)? If the answer is yes, will the government revise Exhibit 1 accordingly since this portion of the form is non-fillable?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

3742	A.3.7.1(b), Exhibit 1: Please provide a Word version of the Exhibit 1 attachment that will allow Offerors to complete the file correctly and maintain the page limit set for each REP.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3748	<p>It is my interpretation of the final SEWP RFP that we need to submit 100 CLINs to meet the requirements for TA 9 - Product based Services.</p> <p>Would the government please clearly indicate the requirement for TA9 and how many CLINs need to be documented? Will the government accept CLINs from multiple OEMs for the TA9 submission?</p>	As stated in the Solicitation: "All Offerors must propose a minimum of two service CLINs for Technical Area 9a - Product Based Services." They can be from two different providers.
3761	Do all 1000 primary CLINs ON EXHIBIT 3A under the primary technical area tab have to be the same OEM? Or can they be comprised of multiple OEM as long the OEM is on the approved enclosure 1?	Yes, the 1000 primary CLINs must be from one OEM and that OEM must be from the list in Enclosure 1.
3764	Please confirm that the Abilityone requirement does not apply to small businesses. Small businesses should be exempt because we are a disadvantaged group, and small businesses are not obligated to meet Small Business subcontracting goals at all. It is a concern that small businesses may be unable to meet the requirement.	As stated in A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting, all contractors, regardless of size, awarded a SEWP contract under one of the NAICS codes identified with an asterisk in section A.1.34, or under PSC codes D321, DE01, DE10, or 7E20, have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne nonprofit organizations as subcontractors.
3773	Can the technical approach be re-used across bids?	Yes, offerors are allowed use the same technical approach across multiple proposals.

3787	For the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table, the form indicates that our response may be up to 3 pages. However, the form's fillable area only includes the bottom half of the page. Since the form is not editable, how should offerors extend to include an additional 2 pages for our responses?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
3789	A.1.34 - Category A: Does the Offeror have to include any offerings under NAICS 541519 for Category A?	No.
3791	A.1.35 - AbilityOne: Do the identified PSC Codes apply only to the NAICS codes identified as requiring the use of AbilityOne subcontractors?	The PSC codes apply to all offerors regardless of the NAICS code under which they are proposing. However, only offerors proposing under one of the asterisked NAICS codes or the specified PSC codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors.
3795	A.1.34: NAICS Codes - May an Offeror add additional NAICS codes post-award when submitting Technical Refreshments of products under Category A?	Contract Holders will be able to add additional NAICS codes post-award.
3798	A.3.7.1(b) - If an offeror receives an award in Category A, will it include all 9 technical areas?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
3802	Enclosure 1: Will the provider aliases established under SEWP V be carried over and utilized under SEWP VI? If the relationship between the two aliases has not changed, is any further documentation required?	Contract Holders will be instructed post- award on the SEWP VI database infrastructure.

3807	A.1.35: Is the AbilityOne Commitment Letter required if the Offeror does not include any covered NAICS codes in their offering?	AbilityOne Commitment Letter is required from "all Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes..." This includes contractors that do not include any of the covered NAICS codes in their offering.
3808	A.3.7.1(b) Technical Area Tabs: In addition to the offerings from the designated providers, offerings from at least one other provider must be proposed in each of the four Technical Areas. A minimum of 50 distinct and relevant CLINs from these secondary providers must be proposed in each of the four Technical Areas." Is the Offeror required to offer products from a minimum of two different Providers under each of the four Technical Areas selected under Category A?	Yes. Offerors have to offer products from 2 different providers within each of the 4 designated technical Areas. Note that the Offeror can use the same provider in multiple Technical Areas.
3809	A.3.7.1(b) Technical Area Tabs: In regard to Category A, is the minimum total number of CLIN offerings 1502?	Yes: 1000 from a designated provider in one Technical Area; 100 each from the other designated providers in three Technical Areas; 50 each in those 4 Technical Areas from secondary providers; and 2 in Technical Area 9a.
3812	A.3.7.1(b) Exhibit 3a - Category A Solutions Spreadsheet: "For each of the four (4) proposed Technical areas, a minimum of one (1) additional provider must be proposed with relevant offerings in each of the proposed Technical Area." May products from more than two providers be utilized to meet the minimum CLINS under each technical area in Category A?	No. Only one provider can be used to meet each of the minimum requirements.

3813	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: On Page 101 it states ""Offerors shall provide a Letter of Authorization (LOA) from a designated provider (OEM) for each of the four (4) Technical Areas, excluding Technical Area 9, which does not require a designated provider, identifying that the provider is aware and approves of the offeror proposing their solutions for the SEWP VI proposal. The same provider may be designated for multiple Technical Areas. There shall be an LOA for each different designated provider for a maximum of four (4) LOAs. If the offeror is the provider, then that is equivalent to the offeror being an Approved Reseller and the POC information shall be for a POC within that offeror's company. While the exact wording and format of the LOA can vary, the Point of Contact (POC) signing the LOA must include the name of the offeror, a reference to SEWP VI, and the POC's position in the company. Additionally, the POC in the LOA must be the designated provider identified in Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet, Point of Contact (POC) Info Tab. The government reserves the right to verify the validity of each LOA and the information in Exhibit 3a"", does this mean that the Letter of Authorization should be from HP saying that we are able to offer all products within that Technical Area, regardless of how many manufacturer's products are in that Technical Area?</p>	<p>No. The LOA requirement does not require a statement regarding all possible offerings from the designated provider.</p>
------	---	--

3816	<p>ATTACHMENT J- C_SCRM Attestation Form: We have encountered technical issues with ATTACHMENT J - C_SCRM Attestation Form. When selecting Yes/No for one question, the response disappears upon answering the subsequent question.</p> <p>Additionally, when providing a summary explanation, the explanation is duplicated in all of the boxes for other questions.</p> <p>Can you please provide guidance on how to resolve these issues, or could you supply a corrected version of the form that allows for independent responses and individual summary explanations for each question?</p>	<p>Whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner the designated provider LOAs must originate from the OEM.</p>
3820	<p>RFP Document Section A.1.35 & A.3.7.1 p. 63 & 98: This section reads: "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment..." However, A.3.7.1 (bullet 3) states submission of an AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable." When are Offeror's supposed to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter? During the proposal process or upon award? Please</p>	<p>Offerors are required to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter during the proposal process if applicable based on the NAICS code or PSC code being proposed. Upon award of a SEWP VI contract, the contract holder shall provide NASA with an updated formal agreement with the AbilityOne nonprofit agency as proof of commitment. This updated agreement will be incorporated into the contract.</p>
3821	<p>A.4.1 Source Selection and Phased Evaluation: Will awards be made specific to categories within Technical Areas? For example, if a bidder submits for Category A, Technical Areas 2, 4, 5, and 8 (with 9 being required), will their potential award be either all of the areas bid or a subset of the technical areas that they are successful within?</p>	<p>Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p>

3825	RFP Document Section A.1.35 & A.3.7.1 p. 63 & 98: This section reads: "Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment..." However, A.3.7.1 (bullet 3) states submission of an AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable." When are Offeror's supposed to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter? During the proposal process or upon award? Please	Offerors are required to submit an AbilityOne Commitment Letter during the proposal process if applicable based on the NAICS code or PSC code being proposed. Upon award of a SEWP VI contract, the contract holder shall provide NASA with an updated formal agreement with the AbilityOne nonprofit agency as proof of commitment. This updated agreement will be incorporated into the contract.
3830	Enclosure 1: Is HPI Federal LLC and HP Inc. being utilized synonymously or as a provider alias on the approved SEWP VI Provider list?	HPI Federal LLC and HP Inc. are the same provider in Enclosure 1.
3833	Do we fill out all NAICS on the crosswalk that we are certifying under? Or do we need to pick only one and bundle all of our past performance under one primary NAICS?	Both are true. Fill out Exhibit 4 with all NAICs that you are certified for. And upon Proposal submission, identify the NAICs and business size used at the Proposal Level.

3835	<p>A.3.7.1(b) For Category B & C (RFP pg. 103): Offerors are required to use Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience. However there are competing instructions --</p> <p>Exhibit 1-part III states to use Arial font, no smaller than 10 points, max of 3 pages.</p> <p>1) The PDF is locked so Part III area does not flow to the necessary next page if required. Can Offerors copy or recreate this template into Word and then re-PDF?</p> <p>2) Part III's instructions state to "identify where the supporting info/evidence is in the attached documents"—are Offeror's to provide PWS/SOW as attachments, highlighting/tagging supported, referenced areas?</p> <p>3) Final RFP pg. 95 table states that the Mandatory Experience page count is excluded; however, Exhibit 1 states 3 pages. Please advise.</p> <p>4) Final RFP pg. 96 instructs 12 point, single spaced Times New Roman. Can Government confirm that Offerors can follow Exhibit 1's instructions of using Arial font, no smaller than 10 points? Please advise.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3837	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings Category C pg 104. The required Exhibit 1 REP template provided is a PDF. QUESTION: When utilizing the PDF project description text box, the font shrinks below the mandatory 10 pt. Arial restriction to stay within the box. In addition, content is unable to continue to the next page. Will the government please provide a MS Word version to allow for the expanding of content up to the 3 page limit?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3839	<p>Can a company use the same projects in category B as it uses for category C?</p>	<p>Yes, offerors can submit the same relevant experience projects in Category B and Category C.</p>

3845	<p>A.3.7.2(a)(12), RFP pg. 108: For Category B—on pg. 108 for Past Performance shows 10 Technical Areas (Content Representative Areas) whereas on page 35 shows there are 11 Technical Areas.</p> <p>For Past Performance could the Government confirm for Offerors to only reply to 10?</p>	<p>Yes, it is permitted to enter all NAICs codes and business size designations that apply in Exhibit 4.</p>
3848	<p>Does the Government anticipate that each line of the table would be submitted as separate files? Or are we allowed to combine some items as necessary?</p>	<p>It is unclear what the question is referring to.</p>
3852	<p>If submitted to more than one Category, do we provide a complete, separate proposal for each Category?</p>	<p>Yes, each submission in a category requires its own complete separate proposal.</p>
3861	<p>Regarding ISO 9001 certification, if we are conducting the certification ourselves, where can we obtain the third-party letter? We need to engage an accredited third party for the audit after conducting a self-audit.</p>	<p>To meet the ISO 9001 requirement you must engage with a third party to obtain either a third party certification or the POC information to identify the certification process is in progress.</p>
3866	<p>Section A.1.15 states, “The Contractor shall offer a discount of 0% or more which will be applied against its commercial list price published in the SEWP database of record. The discount(s) proposed will be applied to all Technology Equipment purchases for the life of the contract(s).” Can contractors offer additional, one-time, non-excessive discounts on their established pricing in the SEWP database in delivery order bids?</p>	<p>Yes. Order level discounting is allowed.</p>
3867	<p>Section A.1.15 of the SEWP VI RFP states, “Maintenance, warranty services, and product licensing are required for all IT solutions offered on contract.” Should the cost of maintenance and warranty services be included in each IT product offering or should separate CLINs be provided for these services? Does the contractor establish the duration and scope of maintenance and warranty services?</p>	<p>It is up to the Offeror to determine whether to include all possible warranty offerings up-front or to add such offerings to the contract based on customer requirements. The Contract Holder should provide the warranty required by the Issuing Agency at the Task Order level.</p>

3868	<p>Section A.1.29 states, "All deliverable line-item prices shall be inclusive of all charges that are included in the line item's commercial list pricing. If the delivery order includes specialized delivery requirements such as OCONUS delivery; expedited delivery; specialized handling; etc, an additional fee using the Contract's Delivery-Z CLIN may be quoted." If the commercial price list does not include any shipping or handling charges (which may be because the cost of shipping hardware items varies depending on the delivery location), can the Contract's Delivery-Z CLIN be quoted for non-specialized delivery requirements? If not, should delivery charges be included in the proposed SEWP Catalog Price?</p>	<p>Yes, the Delivery-Z CLKN should be used to quote delivery costs not included in the product price.</p>
3869	<p>For Category A, the RFP indicates that technology solutions shall be bid for four of the eight technical areas. If an awarded contractor wants to offer solutions in the four technical areas that are not selected in the proposal, is the intent to have the contractor add these solutions to their offerings in the SEWP database after contract award using the Technology Refreshment process outlined in the Contract Holder User Manual?</p>	<p>Yes, the intent is to have the contract holder add additional solutions to their offerings post award using the Technology Refreshment process outlined in the CHUM.</p>
3876	<p>Section A.1.26, Contractor Collection of Agency Administrative Handling Fee, of the SEWP VI RFP states, "An Agency Administrative Handling Fee, not to exceed .34 % of the total price of the delivery order, shall be applied to all orders under the SEWP VI contracts." This section also states, "The fee shall NOT be listed separately on quotes or orders. The fee must be included in the price of the quoted offerings." Should the proposed SEWP Catalog Price in Exhibits 3a, 3b, and 3c include the Agency Administrative Handling Fee? If so, should the maximum fee (i.e., 0.34%) be used?</p>	<p>Yes, the proposed SEWP Catalog Price in Exhibits 3a, 3b, and 3c should include the Agency Administrative Handling Fee. The maximum fee of 0.34% should be utilized for the proposal.</p>

3877	Based on the provided spreadsheets, only one SEWP Catalog Price is to be proposed per CLIN. Since the SEWP VI contract has a 10-year ordering period, for items that are subject to price escalation (such as cable/wire), can the price of these items be updated throughout the life of the contract and, if so, how?	As updates occur for a particular part (product or service) the old CLIN can be end of lifed and a new CLIN added with the updated information and pricing.
3890	Can the Government give an example of an LMR Class versus an Individual waiver for Network and Communications Equipment, under Category A, RFP Page 25, para. 2 - when / what situation those waivers would be applied (what NAICS/size standard would each type of waiver apply)? What is the difference between a Class and Individual waiver?	Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICS code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.
3892	A.1.2 SCOPE OF WORK. Page 36. "Category C has a threshold restriction..." Can you please define exactly what a threshold restriction means? Does that limit the size of a task order that can be issued? or that can be awarded to a single contractor? What if the price is \$2.1M in year one - would it be eligible for award? Does this penalize contractors that are successful on the contract?	The threshold limit is applied at the order level and so limits the amount of an individual task order only. There are no restrictions on the total dollar amount of orders an individual contract holder can be awarded beyond the \$20 Billion limit.
3894	On the Exhibit 1 form, The instructions at the top of the page refer to "Section A.3.5.1(b)" of the solicitation. No such section exists in the RFP. Could the government please revise that reference to the correct section?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

3895	<p>On the Exhibit 1 form, in Part III: Project description, the instructions state, "...and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." No such instructions in the RFP are given to provide supporting documents. Please advise on how we should proceed with filling in Exhibit 1 and what supporting information the government needs from industry.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3896	<p>On the Exhibit 1 form, when we fill in information on the form the text shrinks down below the allowed font size of 10 points. Please advise on how we should solve this issue without changing the format of the form itself.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
3898	<p>The Exhibit 1 form is only a single page but the instructions in Part III: Project Description allow for a 3 page limit. How should we format the second and third page of the form? Would the government allow for a blank Word document to be used, within the provided font rescriptions, then PDF'ed and added to the Exhibit 1 form?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

3899	<p>If most or all AbilityOne vendors are not compliant with any one or all of the FAR clauses, provisions, rules, or stipulations, and requirements of the SEWP solicitation - or AbilityOne vendors do not comply with every one of the Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) rules / requirements - does the Government hold contractors harmless for being forced to use an AbilityOne vendor which may not be compliant with SCRM and any or all of the FAR clauses, provisions, rules, or stipulations incorporated into the solicitation? Did the Government do an audit of all Foreign, Owned, Controlled, or Influenced vendors under AbilityOne? If yes, can the Government share this assessment with industry, so SEWP contractors may only do business with AbilityOne vendors who have been vetted and do not pose any compromise to contracts</p>	<p>The Government is not obligated to hold SEWP contractors harmless for the noncompliance of AbilityOne vendors with FAR clauses, provisions, rules, or stipulations, or with Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) requirements. The RFP does not provide information regarding Government audits of Foreign, Owned, Controlled, or Influenced vendors under AbilityOne.</p>
3905	<p>Do the proposed products need to comply with the Trade Agreements Act (TAA)? Or a combination of TAA and NON TAA?</p>	<p>The requirement is to correctly notate all items as either TAA or non-TA compliance. Government customers are required to purchase TAA compliant items unless they have an exception per the FAR.</p>
3906	<p>Pages 101-102 provide direction on the LOAs. If it is the same OEM, but covering multiple areas, can we consolidate that on one LOA?</p>	<p>Yes. Only one LOA is required from an OEM.</p>
3907	<p>Can Small Businesses and Socio-Economic Small Businesses be exempt from the AbilityOne and National Industries for the Blind requirements?</p>	<p>As stated in Section A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting, all contractors, regardless of size, competing for and awarded SEWP contracts under specified NAICS or PSC codes must utilize AbilityOne nonprofit organizations as subcontractors.</p>
3910	<p>Pages 101-102 note the need for the LOA and the items to be included in the letter. It states, "There shall be an LOA for each different designated provider for a maximum of four (4) LOAs." Do the mandatory areas concerned need to be notated within the LOA?</p>	<p>No. the the mandatory areas concerned do not need to be notated within the LOA</p>

3913	A few of the Large/Main OEMs (from Enclosure 1) are not pushing solutions to industry; they are only providing a LoA. Should the requirement for the letter to state they approve of the solution (they are not developing or providing) be a	No. The wording does not need to state that the designated provider approves of the proposed solutions.
3915	May a contractor use a Sub-Agency REP just like an Agency REP? For example, could a contractor use the US Air Force (Sub-Agency) which would fall under the Department of Defense (Agency)?	Yes. There is no requirement for the Agency referenced in an REP to be identified at a Cabinet level. The Offeror can use whatever level they feel is appropriate.
3918	Can you elaborate on Category A content representative areas 19 and specify which manufacturers we can use? Or give a cross reference with manufacturer etc	It is up to the Offeror to determine the manufacturers they want to and are able to work with. See Category A- ITC/AV Solutions (Information Technology, Communication and Audio Visual) for information on the Technical Areas.
3923	How should we proceed when submitting Category A prime (1) more than one past performance document and there are 1,000 line items? Do we select the past performance and the list of items from the same manufacturer, or can we use multiple manufacturers for the 1,000 line items?	Line items are submitted as part of Exhibit 3a and not Past Performance.
3926	Concerning FAR 52.212-2 Addendum, Section A.4.2 and A.3.7.1(a), do valid ISO 9001 and CMMI certification requirements for pass/fail equally apply to AbilityOne NPAs either under Source America or NIB?	ISO 9001 and CMMI requirements only apply to the Prime Offeror.
3933	What are the consequences should a contractor fail to meet the 2% goal for AbilityOne subcontracting? And are these measures enacted at the end of each contract, annually, or at the end of the SEWP VI 10-year ordering period?	There are no consequences defined in this document for failure to meet the subcontracting goal for AbilityOne. The applicable section states, "If the goal is not met, then the contractor shall state what they will do to improve efforts to meet the goal." The reporting requirement is quarterly and annually.
3935	If an offeror demonstrates capability in five (5) content representative areas, and is selected for award, will the offeror be able to bid on task orders for all 10 or 11 content representative areas? Are offerors limited to bidding on task orders for only the areas they substantiate in the past performance?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.

3944	<p>RFP Language: Part III: Project Description. Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents."</p> <p>Question: What information/evidence does the Government intend for offerors to attach to the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project forms?</p>	<p>Offerors in Category B and C shall provide a summary description of their relevant experience for their selected Relevant Experience Project (REP) as it relates to the selected mandatory experience technical area for that REP using Exhibit 1</p>
3959	<p>OEMs may have a legal representative sign the LOA as an authorized signatory of their company, while identifying a different person as the Point of Contact (POC). Please confirm it is acceptable that the POC listed in the POC Info Tab of Exhibit 3a can be a different person than the individual signing the LOA, meaning LOAs may identify one person as POC and another person as signatory.</p>	<p>Yes. A legal representative is "equivalent" and therefore may be used as the POC and/or signatory.</p>
3972	<p>RFP Section: Exhibit 3</p> <p>Question: Please confirm that in Exhibit 3 the Offeror should establish a CLIN structure in the "Contract Line Item Number (CLIN)" column.</p>	<p>Yes, the Offeror should establish a CLIN structure in the "Contract Line Item Number (CLIN)" column.</p>
3973	<p>RFP Section: Exhibit 3</p> <p>Question: Please confirm that Offerors can establish in Exhibit 3, on separate CLIN lines, labor rates for a defined period including escalated rates for future periods.</p>	<p>Yes, using separate CLINs, labor rates can be established for a defined period and for escalated rates for future periods.</p>

3975	<p>Many OEMs do not assign UNSPSC codes to their products so it is left up to the offeror to determine the code. Depending on a part it could fall under multiple UNSPSC codes. We have found that numerous company have proposed difference UNSPSC codes on the NASA SEWP V contract for the same part. For example a network rack/enclosure part would fall under the Racks, Enclosures, and shelves in Technical Area 4a, additional sub-areas. It could be proposed as UNSPS code 43223306 - Network system cabinet or enclosure or 43223308 - Network system equipment rack? Which one is right, please provide</p>	<p>When there is ambiguity, the Offeror should select their best match to one of the available UNSPSC codes.</p>
3977	<p>RFP Section: RFP A.1.43 Government Purchase Card Question: The requirement is to accept payment via p-cards in any amount. We understand that most agencies limit use of p-cards to purchases below the micro-purchase threshold. This would make the use of a p-card appropriate for smaller, "spot" purchases of equipment within Category A.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is it correct that p-card purchases are limited to the micro-purchase threshold? If so, will NASA modify the requirement to limit acceptance of p-card purchases to the then current micro-purchase threshold? 2. Given the purpose of p-cards (to avoid the administrative burden associated with more formal purchases), will NASA limit the use of p-cards to Category A? 	<p>The ceiling amount varies based on the Agency and the credit card customer's authorization. There is no SEWP-specific Contract limit to usage of Government credit cards.</p>
3980	<p>RFP Section: RFP A.3.7.1; Enclosure 1- SEWP VI Providers Question: Please confirm that Enclosure 1 - SEWP VI Providers is only applicable to the Category A portion of RFP Section 3.7.1 and, further is only applicable to the Exhibit 3a- Category A solutions spreadsheet. Enclosure 1 is not utilized in completing submitting information for Category B or C and subsequent Exhibit 3b or 3c submissions.</p>	<p>Enclosure 1 is only utilized for Category A submissions.</p>

3982	<p>RFP Section: RFP A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting</p> <p>Question: In discussions with AbilityOne affiliated non-profit organizations, we have learned that some offerors are asking that the non-profit organization commit to support that offeror exclusively. If agreed to by the non-profit organization, that organization would be unable to provide resources to or otherwise support any other contractor. There are a limited number of non-profit organizations with the qualifications to support efforts within the scope of NASA SEWP VI. As a result, a commitment to exclusivity will hinder or prevent other companies from competing for task orders and/or from meeting its commitment of at least 2% subcontracting to an AbilityOne non-profit organization.</p> <p>Question: Will NASA prohibit agreements between offerors and AbilityOne affiliated non-profit organizations that contain a requirement of exclusivity?</p>	<p>The document does not address exclusivity agreements, however it does state that the Government will prohibit agreements between offerors and AbilityOne affiliated non-profit organizations that contain a requirement of exclusivity.</p>
3988	<p>Regarding "The POC must be a US Citizen, and identifiable as either the provider's federal sales representative or equivalent." We recommend that this statement be expanded to allow legal representative from the company to be the POC, not just limited to sales representative. Depending on the company, some of the LOA signatories are executive or legal representatives and the POC for the OEM.</p>	<p>Yes. A legal representative is "equivalent" and therefore may be used as the POC and/or signatory.</p>
3989	<p>It is not clearly stated in the Solicitation whether or not an RFQ can be released under only one (1) Category or more than one (1) Category and whether or not an RFQ can be released to multiple Groups within a Category. Please clarify whether RFQ release will be limited to being released under one (1) Category or if it may be released under multiple Categories. Please also clarify whether or not an RFQ can be released to multiple Groups within and/or across Categories.</p>	<p>An RFQ can only be issued to a single Category. That RFQ can be restricted for one of the available small business designations within that single Category.</p>

3994	Is a small business bidding as a prime need to attach EXHIBIT ! Relevant Experience Project Table as an attachment to the proposal or Past performance	Relevant Experience Projects (Exhibit 1) are separate from Past Performance requirements. Both are required.
3999	The Relevant Experience Project Table is a fillable PDF. When text is entered into the description block, the field does not expand to 3 pages. It compressed the text to fit in the 1/2 page field. Request the government provide a revised form, or allow offerors to use a MS Word version.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4004	Are there any distinctions with respect to CLIN requirements for small businesses and large businesses?	No. There are no distinctions with respect to CLIN requirements for small businesses and large businesses.
4005	Attachment C - SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM): If the contract holder is unable to discern down to the 3rd and 4th identifier on certain software can we submit an UNSPSC to include only the top two identifiers?	When there is ambiguity, the Offeror should select their best match to one of the available UNSPSC codes.
4009	Technical Area 5a: IT Power and Cabling Equipment: Please clarify that Sub-area Computer cables include network cables or add network cables?	All forms of cabling are included. The list is a sample.
4015	Can a secondary provider for Section A be a subsidiary of the Primary provider. For example: Cisco will be a primary, we'd like to leverage Splunk, a Cisco subsidiary as of March 2024	Yes. The secondary provider for Section A can be a subsidiary of the Primary Provider.
4023	Enclosure 3a- The category line-item forms have limited space for the thousands of products we would like to enter in the bid. What is the procedure for extending the form within their format that is acceptable	Rows can be added to the Exhibit.

4025	Can the Government clarify this statement, does the phrase distinct, relevant, and different in each Technical Area mean that the same part number cannot be used more than once or can only be used in one technical area?	No. A part number can be used more than once if each instance is distinct and different; e.g., different configurations, warrant, warranties, period of performances, etc. For example, a cable may have one part number but be offered multiple times, each with a different length or color.
4027	Please clarify that Sub-area Racks, Enclosures and shelves is only relevant for computer systems and computer accessories as opposed to network systems?	Racks, Enclosures and shelves refer to All forms of racks and enclosures including but not limited to racks or computer systems and accessories and also racks for network systems.
4030	Please provide clarification: 1. Is the Progress Report for every order awarded on the SEWP VI contract? 2. Is there a specified dollar threshold? 3. Is it for Delivery orders or Task orders?	The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.
4031	A.1.3 (a) requires submission of monthly progress reports of all work accomplished covering all orders active during each month of contract performance. It further requires that each order be in a consolidated report. A.1.3 (d) requires that the reports be submitted monthly from the date the order is awarded. With multiple awards and dates possible, what is the due date for the consolidated report? Is the final report for each order to be included in the consolidated report?	The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.
4035	EXHIBIT I Relevant Experience Project Table It states refer A.3.5.1(b) of the solicitation? where is this embedded	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

4040	<p>Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table: refers Offerors to RFP section 3.5.1(b) for further information, however, this section doesn't exist in the final RFP. There is a section 3.5.1(b) in the draft RFP which appears to correspond to section 3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME in the final RFP.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government confirm it intends to provide the correct RFP section reference in an amended Exhibit 1?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4057	<p>Post award, will small business Task Order Request for Proposals be issued/reserved for specific socio-economic designations (e.g., HUBZone, 8(a)) only so that a General Small Business may NOT bid on them (or even see them)?</p>	<p>Contract Holders will be provided access only to RFQs for which they qualify based on the Issuing Agency's NAICs code, set-aside and any other order level requirements.</p>

<p>4065</p>	<p>The SEWP VI RFQ under Category A notes that any offerings under a technical area would qualify for the NMR waiver (unless specifically exempted like rented/leased storage in 2A), and each Sub-Area heading states that “[t]he listed sub-areas are not exclusive but define a broad but specific range of technology under this technical area and all similar and related technologies are within scope of this area.” A plain reading of this would mean that any offering associated with a technical areas, whether listed on the RFQ Sub-Areas or not, is subject to an NMR waiver.</p> <p>However, during the SEWP industry call on 6/4 the presenter mentioned that specific items in these categories would be eligible for NMR waivers, which would seem to contradict the broader language of the RFQ document.</p> <p>How will the government identify which offerings on a task order are subject to the NMR waiver? Is it:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited to those items listed in the Sub-areas of the RFQ categories? Or; 2. Will it apply to all offerings associated to a task order issued under a category? Or; 3. Will NMR waiver eligible items be specifically identified on a task order level? <p>For example, if a set-aside task order is issued under Technical Area 2A, does that automatically trigger the NMR waiver for any</p>	<p>If a task order contains only items covered by the individual waivers as listed in the solicitation, then the waiver will apply. If none of the items on a task order are covered by the individual waivers, the NMR rule will apply. If the task order is a mixture of uncovered and covered items, the Issuing Agency will need to make a determination as to the applicability of the NMR.</p>
-------------	---	--

4073	<p>The RFP states "Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category". We are a small 8(a) business. Are we allowed to bid as both a general small business and 8(a)? Do we have to submit different proposals for for each designation? Assuming it is combined, where/how do we designate which socio-economic (plus general small business) categories we are proposing for?</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
4080	<p>Reference Page 103 - Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table: states " Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the information/ evidence is in the attached documents", whereas in A.3.7.1(b) on page 103, the instructions state "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template."</p> <p>Question: It appears that the Exhibit line is a typo. If this is the true, will the Government update the form by removing the line the Exhibit?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4085	<p>Please clarify whether OCONUS opportunities refers to services performed at US installations in foreign countries and/or US territories and whether they include US military facilities. Additionally, will there be potential work on foreign sites?</p>	<p>OCONUS work is throughout the globe including DOD sites, Peace Corps, USAID, Dept of Commerce, Dept of State, etc. Work can include US facilities and foreign sites.</p>
4087	<p>Please clarify whether OCONUS opportunities refers to services performed at US installations in foreign countries and/or US territories and whether they include US military facilities. Additionally, will there be potential work on foreign sites?</p>	<p>OCONUS work is throughout the globe including DOD sites, Peace Corps, USAID, Dept of Commerce, Dept of State, etc. Work can include US facilities and foreign sites.</p>
4092	<p>Can the government clarify if Mandatory Experience Exhibit refer to the Enclosure 1?</p>	<p>No. The reference for Category A is to Exhibit 3a.</p>
4102	<p>Can subcontractors be discussed in the mission suitability/technical evaluation even if they are not utilized for REPs or past performance?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

4103	A.1.30 Page 56 Do Category B awardees have to comply with Section A.1.30 including A.1.30.1 (C-SCRM) and C.1.30.2 (C-SCRM Incident Reporting)?	Yes, All Contract Holders will have to comply with the contractual requirements including C-SCRM reporting.
4107	A.1.2 Page 24 For CAT A Groups A1 and A2, is it permissible for an OTSB to team with CAT A primes to provide the no-product ITC/AS solutions?	Yes, An OTSB can be a provider of products and/or services to any Offeror. Usage of those solutions at the order level may be affected by the non-manufacturer rule and/or limits on subcontracting.
4108	A.1.2 Page 24 For CAT A prime offerors, must the proposed team of companies cover down on all CAT A Technical Areas and Sub-areas?	No. Only the 4 designated Technical Areas as described in Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings need to be proposed.
4110	A.3.7.1 Page 98 "RFP states in part, ""Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal..."" Question: On Offeror identification on the use of AI, is this identification required for only generative AI section written and submitted content or does it also apply to using the AI tool as a search engine like Google or Bing? "	Identification is required for only generative AI section written and submitted content and does not also apply to using the AI tool as a search engine like Google or Bing
4112	A.5 Page 6 Are CAT B SEWP VI Group B1 awardees required to maintain a public facing website with the applicable information delineated in Section A.5.1?	Yes. All SEWP Contract Holders are required to meet Contractual requirements such as a public facing website post award.
4113	A.5 Page 6 Outside of the required awarded contractor SEWP Program Manager, does the Government have a recommendation in terms of additional staffing for CAT A, B, and C awardees?	No. Staffing determinations are made by the Contract Holder (beyond the contractually required Program and Deputy Program Manager).
4114	Attachment B - Program Performance All The Program Performance attachment appears to be focused on CAT A product sales opposed to enterprise or mission-based IT services. If correct, is there a similar Program Performance document that the NASA SEWP PMO intends to publish?	All information in Attachment B is applicable to all products, solutions and services on SEWP in all three Categories.

4118	Exhibit 1 REP Table, Part III Exhibit 1, Page 1: Instructions state the description must "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please confirm this means offerors are to submit Statements of Work or other contractual documents and/or deliverables to support PART III: Project Description.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4119	Part III & A.3.7.1 & Exhibit 1 REP Table: REP Page 103 & Exhibit 1, Page 1-Please confirm each REP should reference one, and only one, mandatory experience technical area.	Yes, Each REP should reference one, and only one, mandatory experience technical area.
4123	Pg 103-The RFP states for Category B & C, "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." However, the instructions in Exhibit 1, Part III, say to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please clarify if the government requires supporting documentation--such as a SOW or other contract document/deliverable--to accompany Exhibit 1.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4124	Exhibit 1, Page 1: The Exhibit 1 form cannot be edited in accordance with the government's formatting instructions. Please confirm Offeror's may complete Parts I & II on the form and may append three (3) pages to compliantly submit the response for Part III.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4127	Is it the government's intent that column F (SEWP Catalog Price) should include the .34 SEWP service fee?	All SEWP catalog pricing should be inclusive of the SEWP Fee.

4128	Exhibit 1, Part III, Project Description states, "...identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Should this phrase be removed? What supporting information is this referencing?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4130	Please confirm Arial 10 is okay in Exhibit 1's default font is in Arial 10. Please confirm that would be acceptable to when including the exhibit in Volume I - Offeror Volume, which is required to be TNR 12.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4146	Can the government please clarify what level of detail is required in the 'Description' column? Should it include specifications, features, and any other relevant information? Per "The UNSPSC codes must accurately match the proposed services/technology in each row and must be the full 8-digit UNSPSC code. If the CLIN is for an hourly labor rate, then the associated labor category shall be provided in Column G (Labor Category if Hourly Rate). The information including pricing in these spreadsheets will not be reviewed or evaluated and will only be utilized to establish the initial Contract Database of Record upon Contract award. " on page 103.	The Description field in Exhibit 3a should be brief and succinct that identifies the basic characteristics of the line item.
4149	For Exhibit 3x, Should the 'SEWP Catalog Price' include all potential costs such as taxes, fees, and shipping, or just the base price?	Line items can be inclusive of all cost or they can be base prices. The description should identify what is included.

4153	Can the government confirm that a same project can be used for a Relevant Experience Project and Past Performance in the same proposal submission?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
4155	Can the government confirm that a same project can be used for submissions in different Categories and Groups? For example, 1) a REP is used for submissions in Category B and Category C; and 2) a REP is used for submissions in Category B,	The same project can be used for submissions to multiple Categories. Since a Prime Offeror can only provide one proposal per Category, then the project would only be submitted once per Category.
4156	Can a vendor participate in responding to this RFP as a subcontractor for more than one Prime contractor?	Yes, a vendor can participate in responding to the RFP as a subcontractor for more than one Prime Contract Holder.
4177	We tested the use of the Relevant Experience Project Table (PDF, Exhibit 1) and discovered that it would NOT expand the "data entry box" which enables up to three-pages; instead, it reduced the font size of the inputted information and never expanded the data entry box beyond the first page. Would the government provide an MS Word version of the Relevant Experience Project table?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4179	Please elaborate on what is meant by identifying any "generative artificial intelligence" used in the writing of this proposal. Does this mean we need to call out if any form of AI has been used in the review, proofreading or writing of our submission? If yes, what exactly do we need to state?	The specific statement is regarding generative AI tools, not all AI tools. If any generative AI tools were utilized, provide a very brief description stating the tool and usage,

4183	<p>The instructions for Exhibit 4 state that each Offeror “must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s) for each NAICS within the category in which they are proposing by entering either LB = Large Business; SB = Small Business; SDB = Small Disadvantaged Business; WOSB = Women Owned Small Business; EDWOSB = Economic Disadvantaged Small Business; VOSB = Veteran Owned Small Business; SDVOSB = Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business; or HUB = HUBZone. For nonapplicable NAICS codes, please enter “NA” in the cell. An Offeror’s size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information.” In SAM.gov Size Standards by NAICS only indicates the equivalent of SB or LB. Businesses are asked to certify their status related to other socio-economic designations like EDWOSB, VOSB, and HUBZone which are not specific to a NAICS code. How can Offeror’s “match” SAM related to NAICS Size Standards using all of the options provided for Exhibit 4?</p>	<p>If a business is a small business under a given NAICS code, then they can list all associated and verifiable small business size standards they qualify for for each row in Exhibit 4 in which they qualify as a small business for that NAICS code.</p>
4190	<p>On the portal you must click your business size from a drop down and you cannot click on multiple. Will this be changed so you can select multiple while submitting?</p>	<p>No. Only one proposal level business size can be selected Utilize Exhibit 4 to identify all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
4191	<p>Is the ISO 9001:2015 certification required for small businesses responding to Category A with NAICS code 541519e? Since with this NAICS, we are acting as a reseller of products from companies already ISO 9001:2015 certified?</p>	<p>The ISO 9001 certification is required of all offerors in all categories.</p>
4193	<p>Does the standard AbilityOne authorization letter meet the requirements outlined in the AbilityOne section of SEWP VI, or is a customized letter necessary?</p>	<p>The standard AbilityOne authorization letter does not meet the requirements of SEWP VI. A customized letter is necessary.</p>
4197	<p>Can we use same commercial experiences both in REP and Past performance section.</p>	<p>Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP and/or PP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C.</p>
4222	<p>If the same REPs and PPQs are relevant to two categories such as Category B and C, can a vendor submit these REPs and Past Performance Questionnaires for two different categories?</p>	<p>Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP and/or PP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C.</p>

4224	For other than small businesses, do we need to submit 3 REP or 4 REPs for Category B?	For Other than Small Businesses: A total of four (4) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted
4225	Exhibit 1, Part III - Confirm in addition to the information in Exhibit 1 we also need to attach the PWS/SOW as reference. Can we build an index on Exhibit1 with references and then attach a relevant document SOW/PWS?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4226	In Exhibit 1, the government specifies a 3-page limit, but the provided space is less than 3 pages. Is it permissible to redesign the template in MS word by relocating the instructions and table to optimize the available space?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

4251	<p>Page 105 and 118 RFP "A.3.7.2 and A.4.3 2</p> <p>A.3.7.2 ""The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."" and ""Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope."" and</p> <p>A.4.3 ""The Offeror must provide Past Performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition""</p> <p>Example 1. For example, all DoN contracts for technology are assigned NAICS Code 541330 - Can the Offeror submit a project with a 541330 NAICS Code and support a SEWP VI NAICS Code based on the PWS tasks?</p> <p>Example 2. Some Gov't agencies do not assign a NAICS Code. Can the Offeror demonstrate tasks relevant to SEWP VI NAICS Codes?</p> <p>How will the Gov't determine relevance to a NAICS code? Will the Government evaluate that the project is aligned to the SEWP VI NAICS Codes based on the PWS/ narrative submitted by the Offeror?</p> <p>Does the Government want the Offeror to submit Award Forms,</p>	<p>Offerors must provide past performance examples that relate to the NAICS code used for SEWP VI competition at the master contract level. When government agencies do not assign a NAICS code to a contract or order, the Offeror may submit relevant past performance examples that demonstrate tasks, experience and/or capabilities similar to the NAICS code they selected to compete under SEWP VI. The government will evaluate the submitted PWS/narrative from the Offeror to determine relevancy.</p>
4269	<p>Industry Day Why were no comments made about Volume 2 during industry day</p>	<p>This is a general comment about Industry Day.</p>
4271	<p>If we choose a NAICS that is not marked as required to establish an AbilityOne LOA, do we still have to submit and LOA?</p>	<p>NAICS codes marked with an asterisk (*) require the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. The contractor shall identify in the Ability One Commitment letter (not an LOA) the communication with SourceAmerica/NIB to identify qualified nonprofit agencies and use subcontracting opportunities for SEWP with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies.</p>

4273	Page 103 of 103 RFP A.3.7.1 Does each CLIN/service have its own registered unique UNSPSC number ?	Each line item must have an assigned UNSPSC based on the UNSPSC code that best matches that line item's function and description.
4285	The Exhibit 1 template requires the use of an Arial font no smaller than 10-point and Offerors are unable to modify the formatting of the template. Since Offerors are required to use the Government-provided Exhibit 1 template for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs), please confirm that the original font type/size in the template is acceptable for submission (even if not the required Times New Roman 12-point font).	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4286	Section A.3.7.1.(b) (for Category B and C) states: "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." However, Exhibit 1 still requires Offerors to "provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please remove that statement from Exhibit 1 since Offerors are not required to provide any supporting information/evidence.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4290	Are first-tier subcontractors required to include a copy of Exhibit 4 – NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk?	Each Offeror, including first-tier subcontractors if applicable through an MRCL, must individually complete Exhibit 4 for each NAICS code they are proposing.
4291	Please confirm that Offerors may enter multiple size standards/socio-economic categories in Exhibit 4 (for example, if the Offeror is a Small Business, Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business, and Veteran-Owned Small Business).	Yes, Offerors may enter multiple size standards/socio-economic categories in Exhibit 4.
4292	Section A.3.7.1.(c) states: "For non-applicable NAICS codes, please enter "NA" in the cell. If the Offeror enters NA in any cells, does that mean the Offeror won't be able to bid on task orders under those NAICS codes?"	Yes. Contract Holders will only be able to bid on task orders that they are identified with in sam.gov and either through Exhibit 4 in the proposal or through subsequent updates to the SEWP Program Office.

4304	<p>Exhibit 1 Part III - How should we identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the document? Is "tagging" or commenting within the pdf sufficient? , if applicable</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4315	<p>On page 97, B. Proposal Content and page limitation: "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Can a company act as a prime, a JV partner, and a subcontractor to another company within the same category (e.g., Category B) if they are using a different REP for the subcontractor role (not the same REP as the prime and JV bidder)?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
4321	<p>Section A.3.7.1 (b), p, 103, and Exhibit 1, Part III - Is supporting documentation required to accompany Exhibit 1?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

4322	Exhibit 1, Part III and Section A.3.6 (B), p. 96 - Exhibit 1 limits the content to three pages, but the Proposal Submission Table states that there is no page limitation for the Mandatory Experience Exhibit. Please clarify.	See Comment 1730.
4323	Page 102, Point of Contact (POC) Tab: If there are multiple vendors for a single technical area but only space for one POC in Exhibit 3a, how should we handle entering POC information? Is it possible to include details for multiple POCs?	POC information is only required for the 1-4 designated providers. Multiple POCs re not allowed.
4327	For Mandatory Experience /Offerings can you confirm the only items the government desires is the REP forms, and not a narrative prior?	Yes, the government only requires the REP forms, no separate narratives are required and should not be submitted.
4330	Can we provide a UNSPSC code at either the Family or Class level instead of a the individual code level?	Yes. The Offeror should select their best match to one of the available UNSPSC codes including the code level.
4339	Will the Government please correct the form entry fields on the Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 5 to allow Offerors to properly complete these attachments?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4342	As part of the Industry Day webinar on June 4 there was a proposal upload demo, and one of the upload steps was to complete a NAICS Code field. Please clarify: should Category B and C offerors insert code 541512 and Category A offerors insert code 541519 into this field?	Offerors should enter the NAICs code they are utilizing for their Proposal level NAICs code.
4347	Page 98 instructs offerors to identify any use of generative artificial intelligence in the proposal. Please confirm that use of generative AI for minor editing and formatting support is allowable (rather than use of generative AI to create technical content).	There is no restriction against the use of AI tools.

4350	<p>Page 103. Mandatory Experience/ Offerings for Category B. Please confirm that a Relevant Experience Project (REP) from a wholly owned subsidiary of an other-than-small-business offeror may be used for a (REP) if accompanied by a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.</p>	<p>Information from first- tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract.</p>
4370	<p>Section A.1.52 states that SEWP contract Holders are prohibited from use of a third-party payment platform (CashApp, Venmo, Paypal, etc.) unless explicitly authorized by the Ordering CO at the order level." Would the Government please confirm what third-party payment platforms it deems acceptable for NASA SEWP to meet the Government's requirement that "The contract holder must accept payment via EFT and Government Purchase card, or other Agency approved credit card payment regardless of the order size"?</p>	<p>For the government, a third-party payment platform (such as CashApp, Venmo, Paypal) would be accepting payments on behalf of others, such as clients, users and/or partners. This would be held as an agency specific as some agencies will not accept the third-party payment platforms when paying invoices. If your company is currently using the third-party payment platform, this must be shared with the agency at the time of requested quotes and they must agree to this type of collection of payment before an award should be made.</p>
4373	<p>Would Government please confirm that given the "upon award" language and the fact that the AbilityOne requirement is for specific NAICS codes, the proposal response only requires an acknowledgement of the requirement, and that any AbilityOne subcontracting requirement compliance documentation is required post-award?</p>	<p>Acknowledgement of the AbilityOne requirement is required pre-award and documentation of compliance is required post-award.</p>

4374	Exhibit 1 states, "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages." Exhibit 1 is comprised of only one (1) page, with a fixed entry field supplied for the description of the IT service provided. QUESTION: Will the Government please confirm that it is acceptable for Offerors to attach <2 additional pages in which to provide information to address 'Part III: Project Description,' provided the same font limitations and formatting, such as margins, will be reflected in the addendum pages?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4387	Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk - If an offeror enters N/A for a NAICS code in Exhibit 4, does that preclude an Awardee from being eligible to bid TOs issued against that NAICS code?	Yes. Contract Holders will only be able to bid on task orders that they are identified with in sam.gov and either through Exhibit 4 in the proposal or through subsequent updates to the SEWP Program Office.
4388	Reference Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table - This pdf macro resizes (reduces) text entered in the PART III: PROJECT DESCRIPTION box. If we enter Arial text font--NSM 10 pt as required--into this box, it will shrink it down to smaller than the indicated font size. Will the Government please revise this macro template to correct the problem?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4399	Would Government please clarify the intent behind the restrictions "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition", since earlier in this SEWP VI solicitation, it stated that offerors were not restricted to the listed NAICS Code?	The comment is no longer relevant as the requirement in Section A.3.7.1 has been removed in Amendment 6.
4405	Does an offeror need to demonstrate experience in Volume I with every NAICS they propose in Exhibit 4? It's unclear how this will be possible when Category A doesn't have REPs and Categories B and C have limits on the number of REPs you can submit. It's also unclear how to demonstrate relevance to a NAICS if a project has no NAICS code.	No. Offerors only have to provide Relevant Experience Projects in the number of Technical Areas required based on the business size. The relevance is to the Technical Area not to a NAICS code.

4413	Please clarify if Exhibit 4 has post-award implications for the SEWP VI task orders that will be available to a successful offeror post-award. For example, in Category B, if an offeror is small under 519290 but large under the remaining NAICS codes, can an offeror propose as a small business under NAICS code 519290 and later participate in the other Category B NAICS codes as a large business post-award?	Yes. Contract Holders will be eligible for RFQs based on meeting the NAICS code and business size designation and any other requirements of the issuing Office. Category B Contract Holders can participate in other Category B NAICS codes as a large business post-award.
4416	Part III of the Exhibit 1 REP form doesn't allow for an offeror to adhere to the Arial 10 point font requirement. It appears the form shrinks the text as much as it can and does not extend to further pages. It's unclear how offerors can adhere to the font and page limitations for the form. Please provide a Word version of this form or state offerors are allowed to recreate the form in Word.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4420	Would Government please confirm the reference to " A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) is Attachment A: SEWP Scope?	Yes, there is a reference to Attachment A: SEWP Scope in section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016).
4424	When classified as both Large Business and Small Business depending on the NAICS code, will SEWP be issuing multiple contracts to companies in Category A, similar to SEWP V if a company is in Group C & D?	No. One contract will be awarded. Business sizes will be based on Issuing Agencies task order requirements.
4425	Would Government please confirm that Exhibit 1 (REP) is not required for Offerors submitting only on Category A?	Yes. REPs are only required for Category B and C.
4426	Please provide a sample of the reports in A.1.3(a) and A.1.3.(b) and an explanation of its use. A narrative report tends not to have value for the SEWP PMO. Given the large volume of task orders offerors can execute, this seems like a large burden for SEWP VI contractors that will not be of great value to the PMO.	The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.
4433	The phrase Electronic Data and Report Interchange are not in CHUM currently. Is this a forthcoming change?	No change is required. Electronic Data and Report Interchange refers to the information contained with The Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM).

4434	In the Attachment C, SEWP User Manual, under the Contractor Responsibilities, the section states that the Agency Administrative Handling Fee should not be listed separately on quotes or orders. How is the Contractor Holder to recover the handling fee related to ODCs (travel) when reimbursed at actual costs and is not part of a fully loaded labor rate?	It is up to the Contract Holder to build in the fee to their quoted line items. The fee is calculated against the full invoiced and paid amount.
4438	Can we submit the proposal under each of the different Socio-economic programs? Example - Small Businesses, HUBZone, and 8a – for Category B & C.	No. Only one proposal for each Category will be accepted per offeror. The Contract Holder's contract will be identified for each NAICs Code and business size as reflected in Exhibit 4 for use at the Task Order level.
4444	Can a Subcontractor/Authorized Reseller Invoice the government or is the Prime Contractor required to invoice the customer?	The Prime Contractor is required to invoice the customer.
4455	In the Attachment C, SEWP User Manual, under the Contractor Responsibilities, the section states that the Agency Administrative Handling Fee should not be listed separately on quotes or orders. How is the Contractor Holder to recover the handling fee related to ODCs (travel) when reimbursed at actual costs and is not part of a fully loaded labor rate?	It is up to the Contract Holder to build in the fee to their quoted line items. The fee is calculated against the full invoiced and paid amount.
4460	This section states: "The Contractor shall offer a discount of 0% or more which will be applied against its commercial list price published in the SEWP database of record". Is it the intention of the government to have only a single discount across all products and services or can a contractor be more prescriptive and provide multiple discounts based on manufacturers and product types or categories within specific manufacturers?	Each item may have its own discount that is 0% or greater.
4462	Could Government confirm that Exhibit 3a meets the mandatory experience requirement and is to be organized with the "Offer Volume" (Volume I)?	Yes, Exhibit 3a meets the mandatory experience requirement and is to be organized with the "Offer Volume" (Volume I).

4463	Can the Government give more detail on what constitutes a unique CLIN for the Category A Solutions Spreadsheet? For example, how are part numbers that are Not Separately Priced (NSP) or are priced options treated? If those items would not count as CLINs, please seriously consider reducing the number of unique CLINs required. Even the largest IT product manufacturers don't necessarily have 1,000 unique items to offer in a single technical area if you remove NSP or priced	Unique CLINs are separate and unique iterations of offerings such as variations to configurations, pricing structure, or other elements of a part numbers
4465	This section states: "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category". Can the government please clarify the requirement. Is this only a restriction on proposal submission and competition for award? Or alternatively, will SEWP PMO restrict/limit awarded Prime Contractors to working with only one Prime Contractor in a Teaming Arrangement for task orders once the awards have been made?	As stated a Prime Offeror cannot submit quotes to a requirement both as a Prime and as a JV/CAT member.
4466	NASA has not performed on-ramps on the current or previous versions of SEWP. Does NASA anticipate it will be more likely to perform on-ramps for SEWP VI as GSA does for its GWAC vehicles?	NASA will perform on-ramps as stated in the Solicitation.
4471	In Technical areas 2a and 5a, there are UNSPSC codes that are referenced only in those technical areas. Are we held to only using the referenced UNSPSC codes in those two technical areas or can we use others that we see fit? Is there a reason that there aren't specific UNSPSC's referenced in the other technical areas?	The UNSPSC codes listed are informational only as a reference point and are not meant to be a full list of applicable UNSPSC codes.
4479	As the Contract Holders indirect rates and/or structure changes, what is the process for updating the Indirect Costs section 52.212-4 Alt I (e)(i)(ii)(D)(2) of the contract?	Changes will be reflected at the Individual order level per the requirements of the task order.

4481	Could Government clarify how many technical areas Category A offerors should propose? On page 101, the RFP states "All Offerors shall complete Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet and propose technology solutions for any four (4) of the eight (8) Mandatory Technical Areas...and technical area 9". However, Exhibit 3(a) states "minimum of four" in the instructions.	As stated in the Solicitation, there are 4 technical areas to be proposed. There is another requirement to include 2 CLINs in Area 9a.
4492	Would the Government clarify if it would be acceptable for the vendor to provide four (4) different REPs addressing more than one experience technical areas for each of the REPs with overlap?	The requirement is to provide 4 different REPs for 4 different areas. REPs can overlap but that is not relevant to meeting the requirement.
4495	Would Government please confirm that the AbilityOne subcontracting is not required under Category A NAICS 541519(e)?	AbilityOne subcontracting is not required under Category A NAICS 541519(e).
4504	At the bottom of page 103, Category B, RFP states "A total of four different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted". Exhibit 1 does not provide a space where we can denote which Mandatory Experience Technical Area is being covered. How should this be conveyed to the Government?	Prime Offerors can only submit one proposal per Category. Exhibit 4 can be completed to reflect all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
4508	A.3.5. Proposals Requested. "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per Offeror." Does this requirement prohibit a small business from bidding Category C as a small business and Category C in its respective socio-economic designation?	Prime Offerors can only submit one proposal per Category. Exhibit 4 can be completed to reflect all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
4512	As it relates to Technical Area 10b- Would the Government clarify the definition of "digital communications" and if support is required for both paid and owned channels? If paid, does the scope include paid media services (planning & buying) support?	The scope includes all forms of digital communications with specific requirements such as paid and owned channel defined at the individual task order.
4514	As it relates to 10b- What does the Government consider and/or how do they define "institutional audio visual support" and "publishing support" and "multi-media engineering"?	These are sample areas that can encompass a wide range of technology and services. All forms of services are in scope.

4517	<p>The Exhibit A template states: "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." RFP Page 103 states "No additional Information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP Template". Please verify that no supporting information/ evidence should be attached.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4522	<p>Would the Government provide clarification regarding the NAICS Code field that has to be selected in the portal to submit the proposals? Is this NAICS Code only for administrative purposes? If a vendor opts for more than one NAICS Code are we required to submit a proposal for each NAICS code?</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
4529	<p>Exhibit 1. Exhibit+1-+Relevant+Experience+Project+Table. Exhibit 1 indicates that Offerors are required to provide supporting information/evidence. However, Section A.3.5.1(b) does not provide any requirements or information on supporting information/evidence. What types of supporting information is the Government looking for and does the supporting evidence count towards the 3 page limit?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4532	<p>Exhibit 1 REP Template - As part of REP supporting documents/evidence, do we need to submit Past performance project's PWS or SOW?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

4536	<p>Is it acceptable to submit a prime SEWP bid as a solutions provider (products and services) and also subcontract (products only) to another solutions provider who is submitting a different prime bid?</p>	<p>Yes, it is acceptable to submit a proposal as a solutions provider (products and services) and also subcontract (products only) to another solutions provider who is submitting a different prime bid.</p>
4538	<p>Section A.3.7.1 (b) Mandatory Experience/Offerings states “For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors (inclusive of first- tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 different REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$4M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.”</p> <p>In regard to HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a offerors for Category B and Category C content Representative Areas, Section A.3.7.2 (a) Information from Offeror 12. Past Performance History states “The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements.</p> <p>The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below Offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).</p> <p>Question: Can the government confirm that responses to Section A.3.7.1 (b) must use the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project table (3 pages max detailing contract and technical services information) while responses to Section A.3.7.2 (a) 12.</p>	<p>Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) are separate from Past Performance references (PPQs), REPs are provided through Exhibit 1 in Volume 1. PPQs are provided through the instructions related to Volume 2.</p>

4552	Technical Area 2 - states "An individual NMR Waiver applies to offerings under this Technical Area utilizing NAICS 541519-footnote 18 except for renting/leasing storage systems." Should industry understand this statement to mean that, currently, a NMR Waiver has already been approved for this technical area?	The NMR Waivers listed in the Solicitations are the ones that have been approved by SBA.
4555	Please confirm that supporting documents are required. What qualifies as a supporting document? If yes, are there instructions on identification and mapping to the associated supporting information/evidence?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4559	With respect to the requirement that offerors disclose the use of GenerativeAI in the preparation of its proposal, can you please provide more information regarding (1) the nature of the information requested from offerors as part of this disclosure, and (2) whether such usage should be discouraged? If such use is not discouraged, please provide more details as to what the Government is expecting offerors to provide as part of this disclosure.	The requirement is to provide the name of any generative AI tools that were utilized and a brief description as to its use. There is no restriction to the use of such tools. Companies are neither encouraged nor discouraged to utilize such tools.
4565	Is it permissible for an entity to use the same Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performances when submitting different proposals as a prime contractor and as part of a joint venture (JV)?	Yes, it is permissible for an offeror to use the same Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performances when submitting different proposals as a prime contractor and as part of a joint venture (JV).
4566	Can the government please clarify whether the offeror is permitted to use the same REPs and Past Performances across different categories if bidding for both Category B and C?	Note that only one submission is allowed in a Category. An REP can be used multiple times if each time it is for a different proposal.

4580	<p>According to the SBA's website, "Individual waivers are contract-specific, must be utilized within one year of issuance, and expire at the end of the contract." There are several Technical Areas in Category A that state "individual NMR waivers apply to offerings" under a designated technical area. Please confirm that those waivers have been granted at the SEWP VI contract IDIQ level and that all task order contracts underneath the IDIQ are, in turn, also covered by the waiver.</p>	<p>Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.</p>
4592	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Category A states: "Offerors shall provide a Letter of Authorization (LOA) from a designated provider (OEM) for each of the four (4) Technical Areas...".</p> <p>Many large IT product manufacturers have minimum annual product sales requirements of \$25 Million to be eligible to buy direct from the manufacturer. A company eligible to buy direct would therefore likely be an Unrestricted offeror, not a small business. The primary channel for small business VARs to obtain ITC/AV products is through Distributors. Therefore, will the Government accept LOAs from Distributors for small business offerors to represent the designated OEMs?</p>	<p>No. While distributors may be used to obtain the offerings. the 4 designated providers LOAs must be from the 4 designated OEMs regardless as to whether they are sourced directly or via a distributor.</p>
4602	<p>A.3.7.3(b)(4)vi - There is no corresponding evaluation language in A.4.4. How will this requirement be evaluated?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7. Updated</p>
4607	<p>Please confirm that the offeror is not required to attach supporting information/evidence with Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table, Part III Project Description?</p> <p>If supporting information is required, please provide additional instruction and evaluation criteria for offerors to fulfill the requirement.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

4608	<p>"Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table" is only one page, but the page limit is 3. May offers create their own version of pdf Exhibits for each project containing the same information listed in the Exhibit 1 template?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4610	<p>Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table, Part III Project Description - the text box does not resize / grow when additional text is added to allow for a three-page description. Will the Government provide a corrected pdf file, or revise the Instructions to allow offerors to submit this information as a Word document not to exceed three pages?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4614	<p>A.3.7.1 calls for Volume I to include: "Offeror's subcontracting plan 'and' AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable" However, the first sentence in Section III states: "All offerors that are not small businesses must submit a commercial subcontracting plan."</p> <p>Please confirm that Small Business offerors are NOT required to submit a subcontracting plan, and an AbilityOne Commitment Letter alone is sufficient?</p>	<p>Small business offerors are not required to submit a subcontracting plan. An AbilityOne Commitment letter is sufficient.</p>

4615	During the Webex, NASA showed the proposal submission portal, which includes a drop-down menu to select a NAICS code. Please explain the significance of this NAICS code selection menu, and how it impacts each aspect of the proposal related to NAICS codes?	An Offeror selects the size standard associated with the single "NAICS code for competition" and business size when uploading their proposal through the SEWP VI portal. The selected NAICs and size are the basis for the various business size requirements such as mandatory number of Past Performance and Relevant Experience Projects. Note that the available NAICS codes are listed in Exhibit 4. If your firm qualifies as a small business under 519290, you can submit an offer as a small business under that NAICS code. Your firm's past performance will be evaluated based on its relevancy to the NAICS code selected on Exhibit 4.
4622	Can the Government please confirm that a commitment letter from AbilityOne is only required if the NAICS selected in the submission portal is one of the designated NAICS with an asterisk in A.1.34?	A commitment letter from AbilityOne is only required if the NAICS code selected in the submission portal is one of the designated NAICS codes marked with an asterisk in section A.1.34.
4623	Can the Government please confirm that a commitment letter from AbilityOne is only required if the NAICS selected in the submission portal is one of the designated NAICS with an asterisk in A.1.34?	A commitment letter from AbilityOne is only required if the NAICS code selected in the submission portal is one of the designated NAICS codes marked with an asterisk in section A.1.34.
4627	When Q&A is released, will the government please make the attachment searchable to enable Offerors to search within the answers?	Yes. An excel spreadsheet of all comments and responses is available at the SEWP VI website.
4633	After review of the final RFP the offeror noticed administrative errors in Attachment 2 Past Performance. The RFP came out May 23rd due July 11 providing the offerors 49 days to respond, Due to the fact that we cannot send out Past Performance questioners with issues will the government extend the due date of the RFP to 49 Days from the date the amendment is	Exhibit 2 has been updated to fix noted formatting issues.

4643	<p>Exhibit 1 references section A.3.5.1 (b) of the solicitation. However, this section does not exist. Will the Government please update the solicitation to reference this section? Also in Part III Project Description of Exhibit 1, it states to provide a clear and consider description of the IT services provided, and identify where the supporting/evidence is in the attachment documents. Will the Government please provide instructions within the RFP detailing the required attachment documents?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4645	<p>Will the Government please clarify how you would like offerors to "identify" where the supporting information /evidence is in the attached documents. For example, within Part III Project Description, will it be acceptable for offerors to include supporting document references to include section number and page number?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4655	<p>A.3.5. Proposals Requested. "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per Offeror." Does this requirement prohibit a small business from bidding Category C as a small business and Category C WOSB socio-economic designation? The question is - can we submit two proposals - one for Small Business and the other as Woman-owned Small Business?</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
4658	<p>A.3.5. Proposals Requested. "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per Offeror." Does this requirement prohibit a small business from bidding Category B as a small business and Category B WOSB socio-economic designation? The question is - can we submit two proposals in Category B - one for Small Business and the other as Woman-owned Small Business?</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>

4668	For Category B Service Providers, are providers allowed to propose any Labor Categories for the services provided that align to the offeror's capabilities?	No, there is no minimum number of labor categories required. The Offeror determines what labor categories they want to establish in the initial Contract Database of Record upon Contract award. The Offeror can update their offerings including labor categories post award utilizing the process described in A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT.
4674	The instructions in Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project state: "Use an Arial Font, no smaller than 10 points." However, the RFP section A.3.6(B)(2) states on page 96: "[Use] not smaller than 12-point type Times New Roman font." Which of these two sets of formatting instructions should be followed for our submission of Exhibit 1 as part of Volume I?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4684	The instructions in Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project state: "Use an Arial Font, no smaller than 10 points." However, the RFP section A.3.6 (B) (2) states on page 96: "[Use] not smaller than 12-point type Times New Roman font." Which of these two sets of formatting instructions should be followed for our submission of Exhibit 1 as part of Volume I?	For Exhibit 1: Use a font, no smaller than 10 point.
4688	Please confirm whether offerors that are qualify for mutiple designations under HUBZone, WOSB, SDVOSB, 8a, etc can submit in multiple groups or if they need to "choose" a single group to pursue. If multiple groups are allowed, please clarify the mechanics for submitting all desired/qualified designations.	No. Only one proposal level business size can be selected. Utilize Exhibit 4 to identify all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.

4690	Can the Government please confirm that the Offeror is not limited to pursuing opportunities aligned to NAICS code(s) listed in the Offeror NAICS size standard in Exhibit 4? Said differently, can the Government please confirm that the Offeror is eligible to pursue all opportunities for all NAICS listed under SEWP so long as the Offeror has the specific NAICS listed in its SAM.GOV account?	Yes, as long as the Contract Holder has identified the NAICS code and business size and is listed in its SAM.GOV account and has been identified and verified as such by the SEWP Program.
4695	If we submit 3 REPs for 3 areas in Category C, would we only be able to provide services under those specific 3 technical areas or would we be able to provide services for ALL technical areas under Category C?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
4703	Will the Government consider making the AbilityOne Subcontracting requirement optional?	The AbilityOne Subcontracting requirement is a mandatory requirement for certain NAICS codes and Product Service Codes as specified in the RFP. The Government will consider all comments and feedback on RFP requirements as part of the SEWP VI acquisition process.
4705	What if our Certified Lead Appraiser is now deceased and his company is no longer in existence?	The requirement is to provide the POC at the time of the appraisal. If the certification is valid, it is not relevant if the appraisal company has since ceased operations.
4713	The instructions in Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project state: "Use an Arial Font, no smaller than 10 points." However, the RFP section A.3.6(B)(2) states on page 96: "[Use] not smaller than 12-point type Times New Roman font." Which of these two sets of formatting instructions should be followed for our submission of Exhibit 1 as part of Volume I?	The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4730	Can an offeror use the same project as REP across multiple categories (A, B, C)?	Yes, the offeror can use the same project as REPs across multiple categories.
4731	Can an offeror use the same project as REP as part of more than one bid, for example, as a sole entity and as a JV?	Yes, an offeror can use the same REP as part of more than one proposal, as prime and as a member of a JV.

4737	The RFP says, "An Offeror's size standard shall match the NAICS information reflected in SAM.gov entity information." Would the Government please confirm that the completion of the NAICS crosswalk will determine a contract level size determination for each NAICS code? Contract level representations that flow down to the order level help to expedite ordering as agencies can rely on that representation and can more easily do market research based on the contract	Yes, the NAICS code crosswalk will determine a contract level size determination for each NAICS code.
4740	Can an offeror bid for all three categories as a Prime Offeror.	A small business can bid in all three Categories.
4742	Can we use the REPs and PPQs for the same Projects.	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
4745	Would the Government please provide evaluation criterion for RFP section A.3.7.3(b)(4)vi?	The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7.
4746	The Exhibit 1 says to use Arial 10pt font, but the RFP says to use Times new roman. Should we convert Exhibit 1 to TNR?	The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4748	Exhibit 1 REP template is broken. Because it is a PDF file, it will not expand to allow more than one content page even though the limit is three (3) pages. The response to question 1729 does not seem to fully understand the issue. Please provide a Word version of the REP template to allow up to three pages for our response.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4751	Would the Government please provide evaluation criterion for RFP section A.3.7.3(b)(4)vi?	The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7.

4752	The RFP states "The contract holder must accept payment via EFT and Government Purchase card, or other Agency approved credit card payment regardless of the order size." Services task orders could become extremely large (several thousands of dollars per month) Does the government mean, EFT OR credit card or did they mean to use the word AND?	"And" is correct. A Contract holder cannot select one of the other but must accept whatever payment type the Issuing Agency is utilizing for a given order.
4754	"Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table" - The instructions at the top of the page references "Section A.3.5.1(b)" of the solicitation, which no longer exists. We believe this is meant to now map to Section 3.7.1 (b). Can the Government please confirm?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4755	'...Corporate Collaboration Services, Corporate Management and Operations, Mission Services, Mission Management and Operations, Customer Relationship Management, Service Management, Strategy Generation...' are referenced in Technical Area 1B: Enterprise-Wide Network Services. Do you consider these specific services part of the Network Services? If so, can you give an example of Customer Relationship Management in the context of Enterprise Network Services?	Network services involve providing customers of a network with access to internal and external connectivity. Customer relationships involve assisting both technical staff and non-technical users of the network; responding to issues, providing updated and information, ensuring a smooth working environment.
4757	Can the government elaborate on the perspective of 'healthcare and benefits initiatives' as they relate to an 'overarching IT strategy' mentioned in Technical Area 5b: Enterprise Service Program Integration?	Healthcare and benefits initiatives refer to technical integration of healthcare and benefits initiatives to any related product offerings.
4762	Please clarify the font type and size to be used in Exhibit 1, REP.	As noted in the Exhibit 1 Instruction page,the font requirement for the response is : "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

4764	In the "List of Attachments" the AbilityOne Formal Agreement is listed as "To Be Submitted". Can the Government please confirm that the formal agreement is NOT required as part of the proposal submission? The only documentation required for AbilityOne is the commitment letter, correct?	The formal agreement with AbilityOne is not required as part of the proposal submission. Only the commitment letter from AbilityOne is required for the proposal submission. The formal agreement will be required upon award.
4766	Please confirm that Section 3 of the Management Plan is only asking for how an offeror intends to diversify its product and service offerings and that nothing else is meant by the word "diversity".	Diversity in this section refers to diversity of product, solution and service offerings. Diversity in this context does not refer to socio-economic status, race, class, gender etc.
4772	If an offeror has a past performance project and/or REP that was performed at an "Enterprise Level" and it is clear that the project deals with enterprise-wide work, but the project does not meet the minimum total value or average annual value for Category B, can that project be used as an REP/Past performance in Category C if it meets those value requirements? If a company is capable of performing Enterprise Wide work, it can be reasonably surmised that they are able to perform on smaller, program level contracts as well.	If the type of the work matches the Technical Area the Offeror is referencing, it can be submitted.
4781	Do we need a signature from someone at AbilityOne on the form in order to submit?	A signature from AbilityOne is not required on the AbilityOne Commitment Letter.
4784	Is it required for all offerors for all categories to submit AB1 subcontracting plan? Does an offeror proposing for category A (which is mostly products) require an AB1 sub-contracting plan to be submitted with the proposal or submission of a letter of authorization for AB1 products will suffice?	An AbilityOne subcontracting plan is required from all offerors proposing under the specified NAICS codes, regardless of whether they are bidding on products or services. A letter of authorization for AbilityOne products is not sufficient.
4786	Is the definition provided for 'Enterprise-Wide' all-inclusive? For example, if I have a project that involves multiple locations and multiple departments but has only one overall customer—the USAF—would that project be considered 'Enterprise-Wide'?	Yes, 'Enterprise-Wide' in this scenario would apply to multiple locations and the customer would be the USAF.

4788	Is every submitter required to have the AbilityOne Commitment Letter even if we're not sure we would bid work under any of the identified NAICS? Is this Commitment letter required to be submitted with our proposal or after NASA SEWP VI award or awarded orders? If it is required with the proposal, what volume would it be submitted with?	All offerors, even those unsure if they will bid on work under the identified NAICS codes, are required to have an AbilityOne Commitment Letter. The letter is due with the proposal submission and shall be submitted with Volume I.
4790	Can a SEWP VI awardee for Category C have their contract modified to include Category A or B if the awardee is able to meet the requirements later during the life of the contract?	Yes.
4797	Are acronym lists required for all volumes?	No. Acronym lists are optional.
4805	Has SEWP considered that this comment tool does not allow interested parties to comment on specific items in the attachments?	Comments on Attachments can be submitted either from the top of the Solicitation; from Section A.2.1. or from the Solicitation section in which the Attachment is referenced.
4816	On page 112, para 1, RFP says that offeror can either fill exhibit 5 or provide a copy of a valid active O-TTPS certificate. If an offeror has ISO 20243 certification, can it provide copy of that certificate as substitute/replacement of O-TTPS?	Yes. ISO 20243 is equivalent to O-TTPS certification.
4819	Since the Offer Volume is evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis in Phase One, can the Government provide details for determining relevancy of the experience used in the REPs since there is no description of the items in each Category list?	A description of the Technical Areas is provided in Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK. The Offeror's narrative of each REP should be sufficient to demonstrate the project activities are relevant to the Offeror's cited Technical Area as described in Section A.1.2.
4823	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table Part II For completed contracts, it is common that COs and CORs have moved on and are no longer available to review and sign documents such as Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table. Will NASA allow alternative points of contact to sign/approve Exhibit 1 forms in the event that the CO is no	Yes. Alternative points of contact can sign/approve Exhibit 1 forms in the event that the original CO is no longer available.
4824	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table Part II Do the Exhibit 1 forms need to be signed by the customer? If so, there is no signature field.	No, the Exhibit 1 forms do not need to be signed by the customer.

4825	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table Part II Can offerors convert the PDF Exhibit 1 document into Word format for easier data insertion and to allow for additional pages to be added?	Exhibit 1 has been updated to allow for the 3 page narrative to be attached to the Exhibit.
4826	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table Part II Per the Exhibit 1 form, supporting documentation can be attached to show project relevance, however this is not required in the RFP, and the prior Q&A indicated that documentation was not desired. Please confirm if offerors must attach supporting documentation (such the SOW) to the Exhibit 1 form.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4827	Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table Part II Per the Exhibit 1 form, if supporting documentation must be included, should offerors highlight relevant descriptions within the supporting documents?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4833	Will an Offeror be excluded from seeing RFQs for NAICS that are not reflected on the Offeror's sam.gov registration?	Yes, Contract holders will not be included in requirements for which their NAICS code and business size do not match the Issuing Agency requirement.
4842	Does an Offeror qualify as small for a category if it is considered as small under only one NAICS code listed in Exhibit 4? Does an Offeror's work provided in its REPs or Past Performances, therefore, need to be under the NAICS code for which it qualifies as small?	An Offeror selects the size standard associated with the "single NAICS code for competition" and associated business size when uploading their proposal through the SEWP VI portal. The selected NAICS and size are the basis for the various business size requirements such as mandatory number of Past Performance and Relevant Experience Projects.

4860	Please clarify the font type and size to be used in Exhibit 1, REP.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4861	Should offerors submit completely separate proposals for each category, or should we only submit separate mission suitability volumes?	Each Proposal must be a separate, self-contained package.
4865	Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 101; Paragraph A.3.7.1.b; May offerors submit LOAs from distributors/resellers, or must the LOAs come only from OEMs/manufacturers?	Whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner the designated provider LOAs must originate from the OEM.
4866	Page 93, Proposals Requested, Section A.3.5, Can the Government please confirm that a prime offeror submitting separate proposals for Category B and Category C can use the same proposal evaluation elements (e.g. REPs and PP writeups) in each of those two proposals?	Yes, offerors submitting separate proposal for Category B and C can use the same proposal evaluation elements in each proposal.
4868	Do you need us to include an acronym list at the beginning of each volume?	No. Acronym lists are optional.
4876	Reference SEWP VI RFP; n/a; The PPQ is not currently functional or able to be completed. Some examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inability to choose more than one option in Basis of Selection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "During the contract performance being evaluated, this company was the:" field selects all 3 options or none at all. • On page 3, "Name" copies its input to the 4 fields below. • Technical Area Relevance Ratings questions mirror their content for the Performed or Did Not Perform fields. 	Exhibit 2 has been updated to fix noted formatting issues.

4877	<p>Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 63; A.1.35; The referenced paragraph requires companies submitting under specified NAICS, including 541519, to establish relationships with the AbilityOne program as part of their submission, and to target allocation of 2% of contract value to an AbilityOne subcontractor during performance. Small businesses submitting under Category A will fall under NAICS 541519 and are subject to this requirement. However, the AbilityOne program is focused on services and there does not appear to be any practical way to meet the subcontracting targets for hardware and software sales. Request that the Government remove this requirement for Category A offerors, or provide guidance on how awardees could meet the requirement on non-service task</p>	<p>The AbilityOne subcontracting requirement applies to all offerors submitting under the specified NAICS codes, including small businesses. The government encourages small businesses to work with AbilityOne to identify potential subcontracting opportunities, even for hardware and software sales.</p>
4879	<p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template states that we need to provide supporting documents, but in the RFP page 103 states "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." Can the Government confirm that no further documentation to support the Exhibit 1 REP template is needed, and update the Exhibit 1 REP template to reflect this?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4886	<p>Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 101; A.3.7.1; The RFP states that offerors must rank Technical Areas 2-4. What should that ranking be based upon (e.g., perceived relevance, number of CLINs, size, etc.)?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7 to remove the reference to "ranking".</p>
4888	<p>Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 101; A.3.7.1; The RFP states that offerors must rank Technical Areas 2-4. What should that ranking be based upon (e.g., perceived relevance, number of CLINs, size, etc.)?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7 to remove the reference to "ranking".</p>

4901	Reference SEWP VI RFP; page 98; A.3.7; For Volume I Offer, the RFP lists information to be provided in a list of ten bulleted paragraphs (proposal POCs, subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, consultants, etc.). Is this information to be submitted as part of a single Volume I file, or should each item of information be provided in its own separate file?	The information listed in the ten bulleted paragraphs in A.3.7, Offer Volume, is to be submitted in a single Volume I file.
4909	Paragraph states "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Will the government consider standard teaming agreements instead of a CTA whereby more than one company's abilities are available together? CTA partnerships result in privity of contract with the government for the separate companies.	Other teaming and other relationships may occur to support ordering activities post award, but cannot be leveraged to meet the Prime Offeror requirements of the Solicitation except as stated in the Solicitation.
4919	Is there a minimum number of subcategories that must be bid on within each category as a small business?	No, there is no minimum number of subcategories that must be bid on within each category as a small business
4925	While obtaining the certification the offeror will not be able to compete on requirements needing a certification. Question: does this include competing on contracts that would have a start date later than the anticipated completion of certification?	The determination as to when a certification must be completed prior to any contractual requirement will be made by the Issuing Agency for their requirement.
4926	Per A.3.7.1, no additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. However, the Exhibit 1 file states the bidder is to identify where the supporting information and/or evidence is in the attached documents. Please clarify what is meant by "attached documents" in the Exhibit 1 file: Which documents should be attached, and where they should be attached in the proposal. If attachments are required, please confirm that these will be outside of page count for the identified volumes.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4928	Request for Clarification - Typo in RFP Number in A.3.6(A)(3). The sample zip file naming convention contains the DRAFT RFP Number.	The Offeror's proposal name is to reference the solicitation number for the solicitation in which a proposal is being submitted.

4929	<p>What is meant by product-based technology under technical area 9?</p> <p>From Category A Letter of Authorization Attachment</p>	<p>The phrase "product-based technology" was removed from the Solicitation in a previous amendment.</p>
4932	<p>FAR 52.212-4, RFP page 7: "The Contractor shall only tender for acceptance those items that conform to the requirements of this contract. The Government reserves the right to inspect or test any supplies or services that have been tendered for acceptance." Request information regarding acceptance metrics that will be used for acceptance of professional services.</p>	<p>Acceptance metrics would be applied at the order level. Information would be provided with the Issuing Agency's Solicitation.</p>
4934	<p>Is it required for contractor to have experience in ALL mandatory subareas For Categories B and C.</p>	<p>No, it is not required for contractor to have experience in all mandatory subareas for Categories B and C.</p>
4938	<p>Are all subareas required to have a REP? Can one REP cover multiple subareas.</p>	<p>No. Only the required number of Technical Areas need an REP. One REP cannot cover multiple mandatory technical areas within the same proposal.</p>
4941	<p>Exhibit 1 states that "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages." However, the table on page 96 states "excluded" from page limitations for Mandatory Experience Exhibit. Will the Government please confirm if there is a three-page limit for Mandatory Experience Exhibit?</p>	<p>Exhibit 1 has its own page limit that is excluded from the overall proposal page limit.</p>
4944	<p>12. The CDRL 3 C-SCRM is to be delivered 60 days prior to contract award, per A.1.30.1. What date are Offerors to use to calculate back 60 days or should this read 60 days following contract award?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).</p>

4950	<p>Page 96 states that (2) "When page limitations apply to a volume or specific section, a page is defined as one side of a sheet, 8-1/2" x 11", with at least one-inch margins on all sides, using not smaller than 12-point type Times New Roman font inclusive of headers, footers, and any graphic captions." Exhibit 1 states that Offerors are allowed to use "Arial font, no smaller than 10 points." Will the Government please confirm if the Offeror is required to use Arial font, no smaller than 10 points or Times New Roman 12-point font?</p>	<p>The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
4951	<p>Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME requires Offerors to "propose technology solutions for any four (4) of the eight (8) Mandatory Technical Areas below and technical Area 9." Are these technology solutions to be written in Exhibit 1 alone?</p>	<p>No. The reference to "propose technology solutions for any four (4) of the eight (8) Mandatory Technical Areas below and technical Area 9." is in relation to Exhibit 3a.</p>
4953	<p>Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE states, "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent." Please confirm that, for Categories B and C, a single reference, if it showcases technology solutions by itself for at least three (3) content representative areas (for Small Businesses) or at least two (2) content representative areas (for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, or 8(a)) is sufficient.</p>	<p>The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.</p>
4957	<p>Is there a specific subcategory RFID hardware should be sold under?</p>	<p>When submitting offerings in Exhibit 3a, the Offeror can select the Technical Area that best matches the product they are proposing. If a product is such that it fits within multiple Technical Areas, there is no requirement for one specific area to be used by the Offeror.</p>

4958	The instructions reference Section A.3.5.1(b) of the solicitation "for further information." Section A.3.5.1(b) does not appear to exist. Will the Government please clarify?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
4977	Is there a specific subcategory RFID services/support should be sold under?	When submitting offerings in Exhibit 3a, the Offeror can select the Technical Area that best matches the product they are proposing. If a product is such that it fits within multiple Technical Areas, there is no requirement for one specific area to be used by the Offeror.
4979	Can SEWP define the meaning of "Mission/Program Services" within Category C and explain how it differs from the services listed in Category 9a?	9a are product based services. Category C covers all form of ITC/AV mission based services.
4980	Can a single REP be used and referenced for different subcategories within the same Category proposal?	No. A different REP must be submitted for each Technical Area.
4985	Is there a specific number of vendors who would be awarded under specific Categories and Set-Asides?	No. There is no predetermined number of contracts to be awarded.
4986	We understand that the past performance projects need 3 years of recency and a minimum of 6 months of performance. Is the criteria the same for the Relevant Experience Project (REP)?	Yes. As stated in the Solicitation: "Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years"
4989	Can we also use the same projects used for REP for past performances?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.

4995	Please confirm Bidder's may use the template in Exhibit 1 as is, which is in 10 point Arial	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5000	Can NASA's SEWP submission portal website accept CUI files?	CUI files should not be submitted as part of a SEWP VI proposal.
5002	Can we use the REPs and PPs for all set-asides we submit the proposal? e.g. can we use the projects for both 8a and WOSB pools?	There are no pools for submitting proposals. All Contract holders within that RFQ's category that meet the NAICs code and set-aside (or unrestricted) status and any other requirements of an Issuing Agency will be eligible to see the Issuing Agency's RFQ.
5004	Can we use the same projects for REPs and PPs if we submit the proposals as 8a Prime and an 8a JV partner?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
5005	Exhibit 1 instructs offerors to refer to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the RFP, but that does not exist.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

5006	Exhibit 1 mentions additional documents/evidence. What additional documents/evidence are required?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5009	Services-only quotes cannot abide by the ordering guide requirements. SEWP Quoting Rules appear to be related to product orders. Please clarify services-only ordering guide requirements.	The ordering guidelines are applicable for all SEWP quotes. The NASA SEWP Contract Holder Relationship Manager (CHRM) team will work with Contract Holders post award to assist with the guidelines as they relate to the various Categories.
5013	Secure Software Development is currently reserved, is there a determined time when these would be introduced into the program?	No. The Government is still finalizing the approach to this topic.
5025	If we win the SEWP, will we be eligible to bid for all orders across all service areas or is there a limitation?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5026	Do the Exhibit 1 forms (which each have a 3-page limit) count toward the 10 page limit of "Information Provided from Offeror" for the Past Performance volume?	No. There is no relationship between Past Performance and Relevant Experience projects.
5027	Enclosure 1- SEWP VI Providers - Is HPI Federal LLC and HP Inc. being utilized synonymously or as a provider alias on the approved SEWP VI Provider list?	Yes, they are being used synonymously.
5029	Enclosure 1 - SEWP VI Providers - Will the provider aliases established under SEWP V be carried over and utilized under SEWP VI? If the relationship between the two aliases has not changed, is any further documentation required?	Provider naming conventions will have changes between SEWP V and VI. Where possible current information will carry over. The process for adding new providers and requesting updates is still under development and will be discussed with Contract Holders post award.

5030	The "Enclosure+1-+SEWP+VI+Providers.pdf" lists the Designated SEWP VI Providers which require a LOA if used as one of the Technical Area main OEM. This list seems to be bias towards certain OEMs. Will NASA remove the requirement to use only the OEMs listed in the "Enclosure+1-+SEWP+VI+Providers.pdf"?	No.
5033	Does the Offeror have to include any offerings under NAICS 541519 for Category A?	No.
5034	Will terms and conditions for the use of AbilityOne subcontractors be provided at the Task Order level? If not, will the government please provide terms and conditions specific to the use of AbilityOne subcontractors?	Terms and conditions specific to the use of AbilityOne subcontractors will be addressed at the Task Order level.
5036	May an Offeror add additional NAICS codes post award when submitting Technical Refreshments of products under Category A?	If a Contract Holder is identified in SAM.gov for a given NAICS code, a process will be provided to update the information.
5037	How is poor performance handled or rather how does the government view negative performance when an Ability One directed sub is involved? Are cure notices handled differently? Are primes able to add/remove these contractors as needed to ensure performance?	AbilityOne subcontractors are held to the same performance standards as any other subcontractor. Cure notices and other contract remedies are handled in the same manner, and primes are able to add or remove AbilityOne subcontractors as needed to ensure performance, in accordance with the terms of the contract.
5038	Do the identified PSC Codes apply only to the NAICS codes identified as requiring the use of AbilityOne subcontractors?	The PSC codes apply to all offerors regardless of the NAICS code under which they are proposing. However, only offerors proposing under one of the asterisked NAICS codes or the specified PSC codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors.
5042	Is the AbilityOne Commitment Letter required if the Offeror does not include any covered NAICS codes in their offering? Our assumption is that it would not be required.	AbilityOne Commitment Letter is required from "all Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes..." This includes contractors that do not include any of the covered NAICS codes in their offering.

5046	If an offeror receives an award in Category A, will it include all 9 technical areas?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5047	Does the "individual NMR Waiver" requirements in various Technical Areas mean these waivers are required for each issued task order?	Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.
5051	When attempting to enter a response into the government-provided Exhibit 1 (Relevant Experience Project Table), the fields contained in Part II (Project Description) do not allow offerors to enter 3 pages of content at a font size of no less than 10-pt TNR Text. Can the government please provide offerors with a template that can be manipulated and still maintain the government's font size and page limitations?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5056	"In addition to the offerings from the designated providers, offerings from at least one other provider must be proposed in each of the four Technical Areas. A minimum of 50 distinct and relevant CLIN's from these secondary providers must be proposed in each of the four Technical Areas." Is the Offeror required to offer products from a minimum of two different providers under each of the four Technical Areas selected under Category A? Are more than two providers allowable under each Technical Area?	The Offeror is required to offer products from a minimum of two different providers under each of the four Technical Areas selected under Category A. Additional providers are allowed but have no effect on meeting requirements or the evaluation factors.
5058	In regard to Category A, is the minimum total number of CLIN offerings 1502?	Yes: 1000 from a designated provider in one Technical Area; 100 each from the other designated providers in three Technical Areas; 50 each in those 4 Technical Areas from secondary providers; and 2 in Technical Area 9a.

5064	<p>Could the Government please modify C.1 to have a five year ordering period before awardees are forced to recertify? Without this modification, NASA runs the risk of awardees sizing out and diminished capability within the Category. Similar to the concerns previously expressed in Category A.</p>	<p>There is no Section C.1. in the solicitation. As noted in Section A.1.49 POST AWARD SIZE STANDARD REPRESENTATIONS recertification as handled according to FAR 52.219-28 which requires the recertification occurs after 5 years.</p>
5065	<p>Can the government confirm that the 1,000 CLINS have to be from one provider? If so, will the government consider if we can combine providers to reach 1,000 CLINS?</p>	<p>No. Only one provider can be used for the 1000 CLINS.</p>
5072	<p>"For each of the four (4) proposed Technical areas, a minimum of one (1) additional provider must be proposed with relevant offerings in each of the proposed Technical Area." May products from more than two providers be utilized to meet the minimum CLINS under each technical area in Category A?</p>	<p>No, more than 2 providers may not be utilized to meet the minimum CLIN requirements under each technical area in category A.</p>
5075	<p>As a reseller, do we need to meet the Cybersecurity Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM) Requirement? The OEMs are who send the product/license directly to the end user.</p>	<p>Yes, resellers need to meet the Cybersecurity Supply Chain risk Management requirement.</p>
5076	<p>What is the reasoning behind requiring contractors who do not provide products to provide a soft copy ordering guide on their website for services?</p>	<p>The reasoning is to provide customers with information on how to utilize the SEWP Contract vehicle to order services through the SEWP Contract Vehicle.</p>
5084	<p>Please confirm that if a supplier is awarded as a small business in Category A that they will still be able to see and bid on solicitations as a large business in that category if applicable?</p>	<p>Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p>

5090	<p>Does the government plan to make updates to SEWP documentation, for example the "Contract Holder User Manual" to clarify that contractors who do not provide products (those participating in Categories B or C only) do not have to provide the same information as required for those who do? There are many places throughout the RFP and attachments that are shown as being required by all bidders that seem to pertain to companies providing products, not services. The fact that many companies on the vehicle for SEWP VI will not be providing products does not seem to have been taken into account. "For example, are offerors in Category B and C obligated to send an ordering guide for services? This appears to be more relevant to those providing products. Will there be future clarity made as to the specifics of what needs to be provided for contractors providing services only? What does an ordering guide for services look like to the government, a Capabilities SLIC?</p>	<p>Contract Holders in all three Categories are required to produce an ordering guide post-award. The ordering guide should assist the customer as to the processes for ordering using the SEWP Contracts. The SEWP Contract Holder Relationship Management team will assist in developing the guides including recommended information to be included.</p>
5091	<p>The RFP lists Attachment H, as the AbilityOne Formal Agreement. The last paragraph in Section A.1.35 references Attachment I, which is the Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Please confirm that this should reference</p>	<p>The solicitation has been updated to state Attachment H.</p>
5093	<p>Can a single project be utilized for both REPs and Past Performance?</p>	<p>Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.</p>
5106	<p>Page 25, Paragraph 1 indicates that "Each technology area includes specific mandatory technology that must be supplied; however, offerings shall not be limited to those specific mandatory areas.", while subsequent Technical Area paragraphs (pg. 25-32) appear to indicate only closely defined & very specific mandatory descriptions; should the offerors assume that all elements contained in each of the descriptive paragraphs (pg.25-32) are what's required?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to remove references to Mandatory Areas.</p>
5107	<p>As the Government was developing Enclosure 1, did the Government reach out to the vendors listed within Enclosure 1 to verify that the vendors would tender LOAs to requesting offerors?</p>	<p>No.</p>

5111	As the Government was developing Enclosure 1, did the Government conduct market analysis to create the exclusive list of vendors listed for purposes of minimum compliance for Category A?	Enclosure 1 is based on market research as to the major providers within SEWP's product solution based scope.
5116	Are LOAs needed from the OEM or the supplier from which it's being sourced (if they are different)?	Whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner the designated provider LOAs must originate from the OEM.
5118	Are we allowed to use the same REPs and past performance for different categories?	Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP and/or PP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C.
5128	For Offerors proposing in Category A, can services be offered through reseller agreements?	Yes, offerors proposing in Category A can offer services through reseller agreements.
5133	For Offerors proposing in Category A, can the minimum OEM point of contact (POC) requirement be interpreted to not limit Offerors to just that offering?	There is no limit to the number of additional providers (OEMs) that are included in offerings beyond the minimum.
5135	If Offerors would like to include more than one (1) OEM POC, how would the Government like that information provided?	Providers are listed in the Technical Area Tabs Column B.
5137	Is there a maximum number of OEM POCs the Government will accept?	There is no limit to the number of additional providers (OEMs) that are included in offerings beyond the minimum.
5140	Page 35 under Category C it states Group C1- Small Business Set Aside and Group C2 – reserved. Are only small businesses allowed to submit proposals for Category C?	Yes, only small businesses are allowed to submit proposals for Category C.
5146	Page 64 paragraph 2 states the contractor shall identify in the AbilityOne Commitment letter the communication with SourceAmerica/NIB to identify qualified nonprofit agencies and use subcontracting opportunities for SEWP with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies. Is there a formal commitment letter that should be used? Should copies of the communication be submitted for each NAICS or for each Category (A, B, C).	<p>The AbilityOne Commitment Letter, a formal document, should outline the contractor's communication with SourceAmerica/NIB, confirming pre-existing agreements or identifying qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP subcontracting opportunities. A single commitment letter covering all NAICS codes within the contract is sufficient.</p> <p>A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting</p>

5150	Page 88 A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. States AbilityOne plan is attachment H; however, page 64 states it will be Attachment I. What is the difference between Attachment H, page 64 paragraph 2 and Attachment I, page 64 paragraph 3?	The solicitation has been updated to state Attachment H.
5156	If an offeror submits a proposal that covers only three Technical areas as a part of their REP's, will the offeror receive an award that allows them to respond to future task order solicitations in all 11 technical areas or only the areas covered in their REP's	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5158	Will awards be made specific to categories within Technical Areas? For example, if an Offeror submits for Category A, Technical Areas 2, 4, 5, and 8 (with 9 being required), will their potential award be either all of the areas bid, or a subset of the technical areas that they are successful within?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5160	Exhibit 1 references A.3.5.1(b), but it does not exist. Please update.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5164	Exhibit 1 still references supporting documents, but there is nothing in the RFP to support this requirement and earlier responses to questions suggested that supporting documents are not required. Please confirm that supporting documents are not required.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

5171	For Exhibit 1, is it acceptable to add blank pages with headers and footers to accommodate contract descriptions, or will the Government modify the existing PDF document to accommodate extra pages?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5177	A.3.7.10 OFFER VOLUME Pg100. / (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification/ If an offeror has an official ISO 9001:2015 Certification of Conformity that is valid through November 20, 2024, and the proposal submission date is September 2024, is the offeror required to provide additional information to demonstrate that the recertification process is in progress for the follow-on certification? * *	Yes, if the offerors ISO 9001:2015 is set to expire prior to SEWP VI award, the offeror is required to provide additional information demonstrating that the recertification is in process.
5179	For Category A, does each past performance reference need to have at least 3 (for small business) content representative areas per reference or a total of 3 content representative areas covered amongst the references submitted?	The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.
5180	A.3.7.10 OFFER VOLUME Pg 103-104 (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings. / Can relevant project experience be tied to more than 1 mandatory experience sub area (for example for Category C, can the offeror use that project for multiple areas)? * *	No, it is not acceptable to use the same project to represent more than one REP.

5197	A.1.34 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) & NAICS Codes Within Scope / A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting, page 63. The A.1.34 Category B and C Table has an asterisk with the footnote, “*= NAICS Codes that require to use of Ability One as subcontractors.” However, in A.1.35 there are very specific product codes for using AbilityOne. Please clarify the requirement for using AbilityOne and providing the AbilityOne Formal Agreement/Commitment Letter.	To clarify the AbilityOne requirement, Offerors are obligated to utilize AbilityOne subcontractors only for those specific NAICS codes identified with an asterisk (*) in Section A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes. These marked NAICS codes signify mandatory AbilityOne subcontracting. Additionally, an AbilityOne Formal Agreement/Commitment Letter is required as part of the proposal submission if the Offeror includes any of the asterisked NAICS codes in their offering.
5202	How should the CLINs be broken down within OEM and category? At component level, full OTS solutions only, warranties, a split of each?	The Government does not have a required methodology for how the Offeror submits their CLINs. The Offeror should determine the methodology they will use for the initial uploading of their offerings into the SEWP database of record using combinations of components, solutions, services, warranties, etc.
5205	How should the CLINs be broken down within OEM and category? At component level, full OTS solutions only, warranties, a split of each?	The Government does not have a required methodology for how the Offeror submits their CLINs. The Offeror should determine the methodology they will use for the initial uploading of their offerings into the SEWP database of record using combinations of components, solutions, services, warranties, etc.
5211	Could the Government please confirm our understanding that there are no restrictions on adding subcontractors post award?	Yes, there are no restrictions on adding subcontractors post award.
5220	The provided REP form template is formatted to be one page long, despite the page limit being allotted to 3 pages each. Please advise how to produce additional pages for the REP forms or provide an updated 3-page REP template.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

5253	<p>The RFP states “Offerors identified as an Other Than Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).” Additionally, Table 1 Sample Past Performance Matrix illustrates an example where one contract row shows two content areas, while all three references when combined demonstrate relevance to at least four content areas. Please confirm the requirement is for our past performance references to showcase at least four areas when all combined/collectively as opposed to individually.</p>	<p>The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.</p>
5254	<p>In Section A.3.6 the Proposal Submission Table, Offer Volume the RFP requires a Mandatory Experience Exhibit. Will the Government please clarify that this Exhibit is Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table? Please advise.</p>	<p>Yes, this exhibit is Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table.</p>
5255	<p>RFP Cover letter states, “This competitive acquisition will result in a Government-Wide Acquisition Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity Contract with the ability to issue Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, and Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment task orders.” QUESTION: We understand this IDIQ will have the ability to issue Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, and Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment task order, however this is referring to all Categories A -C. Could the Government provide the expected contract types per Category?</p>	<p>All listed contract types will be utilized in all three Categories.</p>
5256	<p>Can the same contracts be submitted by an Offeror for REPs across both Category B and Category C ?</p>	<p>Yes, the same contracts can be submitted by an Offeror for REPs in both Category B and Category C.</p>

5265	<p>Will the government be providing an updated REP template? In it's current state, the text box does not expand and offerors do not have enough room to answer the question without the font size being reduced to an unreadable size.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5268	<p>The RFP states "For Category B and C - Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted. No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." However, in Exhibit 1 Part III, the instructions state: "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Question: Are offerors expected to submit supporting evidence, such as FPDS reports to substantiate NAICS alignment, and Performance Work Statements and/or other contractual documents to verify alignment to SEWP VI Technical Areas?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5269	<p>A.3.7.1 Offer Volume (pg 98) asks Offerors to provide an AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable. Can the Government provide instructions/requirements for the contents of the AbilityOne Commitment Letter ?</p>	<p>The AbilityOne Commitment Letter must include: (1) Identification of the Point of Contact (POC) from SourceAmerica/NIB, (2) Confirmation of a pre-existing agreement with the identified POC or identification of qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP subcontracting opportunities, and (3) List of NAICS codes for which the Offeror plans to subcontract with AbilityOne organizations.</p>

5277	<p>Currently the REP Template states "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents". Please confirm that no supporting documents are required for REPs and indicate whether or not an updated version of the template will be released to remove this wording. If documents are required for REPs, please issue an amendment to clarify REP document requirements because as it stands, the final RFP does not mention any documents being required for these projects.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5283	<p>In Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table, we have identified an issue where the text in the Project Description text box becomes progressively smaller, posing a significant challenge in determining whether we have reached the page limit of 3 pages. Can an updated Exhibit 1 document be provided or clarification on the page count requirement?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5286	<p>The RFP states: "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project. The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(12) of this section." Is the past performance information provided in our Volume II response connected to a "relevant experience project" in our Volume I response, or is this a typo?</p>	<p>REPs are not the same as the relevant examples referred to in the Past Performance section and described in the Past Performance Matrix</p>
5288	<p>Please confirm that Section 3 of the Management Plan does not require an offeror to explain the diversity of its teaming partners in terms of socio-economic status, race, class, gender</p>	<p>Diversity in this section refers to diversity of product, solution and service offerings. Diversity in this context does not refer to socio-economic status, race, class, gender etc.</p>

5291	Exhibit 1 refers Offerors to RFP Section A.3.5.1(b). There is no such section. Does the Government mean Section A.3.7.1(b)?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5296	If a bidder submits mandatory experience projects for Phase 1 that ARE NOT required to subcontract to Ability One, does that bidder have to provide an AbilityOne agreement letter with their submission package?	If an Offeror's mandatory experience projects for Phase 1 do not include NAICS codes that mandate AbilityOne subcontracting, an AbilityOne Agreement Letter is not required with their submission package.
5299	Exhibit 1 Part III instructions state: "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages. Any text exceeding three pages will not be considered." Question: The Exhibit 1 template doesn't allow data entry into multiple pages. Can offerors submit the information in a separate document? And if so, how do offerors calculate 3 pages (margin requirements, page formatting, etc.)?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5302	Page 100, Many certifications ISO and CMMI explicitly list the Organizational Units covered by the assessment. Would the Government please confirm that a corporate certification may be used for a wholly owned subsidiary that is explicitly identified as an organizational unit covered by the certifying	Yes, corporate certifications may be used for wholly owned subsidiaries that are explicitly identified as an organizational units covered by the certifying body.
5305	Does the "NAICS code being used for competition" refer to the NAICS referenced in the SOW (NAICS 541512 for Categories B&C) or may past performance from any in-scope NAICS be used?	The "NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code the offeror is using to compete under for the SEWP VI master contract. Past performance from any in-scope NAICS may be used as long as it is relevant to the work the offeror will be performing under SEWP VI.

5306	For past performance references performed as a subcontractor, commercial contracts, and non-federal government contracts, how are offerors to show that the past performance submission "relates to the NAICS code being used for competition"?	For past performance references performed as a subcontractor, commercial contracts, and non-federal government contracts, offerors can show that the past performance submission "relates to the NAICS code being used for competition" by demonstrating that the work performed under the referenced contracts is relevant to the scope of the NAICS code the offeror is using to compete under for the SEWP VI master contract.
5309	Please clarify that the statement, "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition" means, for Category A, the offeror's past performance submission should support areas covered in the technical areas and sub-areas described and listed within Section A.1.2 , under Category A- ITC/AV Solutions (Information Technology, Communication and Audio Visual) – NAICS 541519e (footnote 18)- IT Value Added Reseller, it does not imply that the past performances must have a primary NAICS listed in RFP Section A.1.34 or the Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk attachment for Category A.	The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
5318	The Exhibit 1 – REP template instructs Offerors to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Please remove this instruction as there are no references to attached documents anywhere else.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

5324	<p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table, Page 1. This template refers to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the solicitation for further information. However, the solicitation does not include Section A.3.5.1(b). Please clarify whether this reference is erroneous and provide the correct solicitation reference if applicable.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5325	<p>"Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience." Does this phrase prohibit a company from using the same reference as a prime on one offer and a CTA subcontractor on another?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
5328	<p>On Exhibit 2, Past Performance Template, there appears to be an incomplete sentence in the last sentence of the first paragraph of the Instructions. Please provide the missing text for clarity of instructions.</p>	<p>Exhibit 2 has been updated to fix noted formatting issues.</p>
5338	<p>Will the Government provide the soft ordering guide for the web site requirement, or is this something Offerors must</p>	<p>The Contract Holder creates the ordering guide. The SEWP Program Office will assist with guidelines as to the content.</p>

5341	<p>The RFP says, "All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes identified with an asterisk." The majority of Category B NAICS codes are included in this list. Contractors having to subcontract to an AbilityOne nonprofit organization on every task order does not seem realistic particularly with the scale NASA has instructed offerors to prepare for of 10 requirements per day. Additionally, this would seem to far exceed the 2% goal included in this section.</p> <p>Would the Government update this section to say "All contractors...have a mandatory requirement to make a good faith effort to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on 2% of their planned subcontracting dollars?"</p>	<p>The current RFP language outlines a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne subcontractors for orders with NAICS codes marked with an asterisk (*) in Section A.1.34. The Government will review the feedback regarding the volume of Category B NAICS codes and the feasibility of subcontracting on every task order. Potential updates to this section, including the suggestion to modify the language to reflect a "good faith effort" for utilizing AbilityOne on 2% of planned subcontracting dollars, will be considered for future amendments/procurement.</p>
5343	<p>Please confirm that projects or contracts performed as a subcontractor can be used as a past performance project by the Prime offeror.</p>	<p>Information from first- tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract.</p>
5344	<p>For category B & C REPs, "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." Does this prohibit using references performed as a subcontractor, commercial contracts, or contracts performed for non-federal governmental entities, where there is no assigned NAICS code?</p>	<p>For references that are not assigned a NAICS code (e.g., commercial contracts) the Offeror shall include the description within the past performance volume that corresponds with the NAICS code used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract.</p>

5361	When converting spreadsheets to be included in the PDF files, are the pages required to be 8 1/2 by 11 inches, or may larger pages be used to accommodate and improve legibility of large spreadsheets?	If spreadsheets beyond the supplied exhibits are included, their pages will be counted based on an 8 1/2 by 11 inch page. Therefore, a spreadsheet that is included in the proposal and takes up 2 pages will count as 2 pages in the page count.
5368	Are offerors permitted to enter multiple values into a cell within the NAICS crosswalk?	Yes, offerors are permitted to enter multiple values into a cell within the NAICS crosswalk.
5370	Will small business offerors be permitted to compete on task orders that are not set aside for small business?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5384	Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table, Page 1. The instructions for completing Part III of this template state that offerors should "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." The solicitation instructions do not require that offerors to provide any supporting attachments for Exhibit 1. Please clarify the Part III instructions.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5388	A contractor submits a proposal for Category A, Group A2 and selects a NAICS for their submission for which they qualify as a WOSB. The contractor completes Exhibit 4. The contractor is a WOSB for some of the NAICS in Exhibit 4 and a LB for some of the NAICS In Exhibit 4. The contractor fills out Exhibit 3a and selects 4 Technical Areas. In 3 of the Technical Areas the contractor is a WOSB according to the NAICS for that Technical Area. In one of the Technical Areas the contractor is a LB according to the NAICS for that Technical Area. Will the contractor be considered a WOSB in Group A2? Or will the contractor be considered a LB and should have selected Group	For Category A, Exhibit 3a, there are no assigned NAICs codes per technical area. The designation of the proposal level NAICs code and associated business size in Category A is only utilized for meeting the Past Performance requirements and not applied to what is listed in Exhibit 3a.

5393	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, Page 98. This section states that the Offeror must provide an AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable, in the Offer Volume. Section A.1.35 states that “upon award”, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I.</p> <p>Please confirm whether an AbilityOne Commitment Letter is required in the Offer Volume and clarify how it this commitment letter different than “an established formal agreement with an AbilityOne NPA” that is required upon</p>	<p>An AbilityOne Commitment Letter is required in the Offer Volume to demonstrate the offeror's intent to meet the mandatory subcontracting goal. The established formal agreement with an AbilityOne NPA that is required upon contract award is a legally binding document that outlines the terms of the subcontracting relationship.</p>
5400	<p>Section 7 states any proposal found to be a duplications or replica of another offeror, will be inelligible for award. Does this also apply to using the same project on more than one submssion under the same category. Such as submitting as a prime and as part of a CTA or joint Venture, would the same project be able to be utilized on both submissions?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
5409	<p>A contractor’s proposal submission selects Category A Group A2 WOSB with a NAICS they qualify as a WOSB. Will the proposal be deemed compliant if they are a large business in some of the NAICS for the Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk?</p>	<p>Yes, the proposal will be deemed compliant if the offeror is a large business in some of the NAICS codes for the Exhibit 4 NAICS Size standard crosswalk.</p>
5410	<p>Please clarify if the projects used as REPS in support of each category can be different projects than those used to support this past performance requirement dollar amount.</p>	<p>Yes, projects used as REPS in support of each category can be different projects than those used to support the past performance requirement dollar amount.</p>

5414	<p>Could SEWP clarify for Category C bidders how a catalog of services will be established using the submissions of the CategoryC Solutions Spreadsheet without a common list of solutions or labor categories?</p>	<p>Issuing Agencies will define how to establish their Storefronts including any common lists of solutions and categories.</p>
5416	<p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table Part III: Project Description Pg 1 "Part III: PROJECT DESCRIPTION Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Can the Government please specify what "attached documents" are being referred to?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5417	<p>Would Government allow vendors to submit questions regarding access issues to the upload tool, up to the submission deadline, or alternatively at least up until 24 hours prior to the</p>	<p>Technical issues in accessing SEWP VI resources can be sent to sewp6@sewp.nasa.gov at any time.</p>
5419	<p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table Part III: Project Description field Pg 1 "Part III: PROJECT DESCRIPTION Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. Use an Arial font, no smaller than 10 points. The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages..." The field in which to enter the project description is fixed to only one third of the page. Once the field is full, the font size shrinks rather than the field itself expanding to cover more than 1/3 of the page.</p> <p>QUESTION: Will the government provide a Word document format of the Exhibit 1, or should offerors attach a separate document with the 1-3 pages of content (in Arial 10 point font)</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5427	<p>Are cloud services described as X as a Service authorized to be procured under Category B and C?</p>	<p>Yes, cloud services are authorized to be procured under Category B and C.</p>

5428	To ensure that Offerors correctly select and designate a Primary Technical Area, could Government clarify the purpose of the primary technical area?	The selection of the primary technical area is to propose an area in which the Offeror is able to supply a significant number of items within that Area's scope.
5431	Is there a limit to number of registrants/accounts that can be made per company?	No, there is no limit to number of registrants/accounts that can be made per company.
5434	In this section, please confirm that questions must be provided to both the Andrea Ross, the Government representative and uploaded to the SEWP VI RFP Application (https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/)	The email is listed for Sam.Gov administrative purposes only. All questions and comments concerning the Solicitation using the SEWP Comment Tool located at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ during Q and A periods as announced on sam.gov.
5441	Will the requirements to handle 100 requirements per day for Category A and ten requirements per day for Categories B & C apply to small businesses?	This is not a requirement - it is a statement of expectation as to the minimally expected number of RFQs per day that Contract Holders will need to manage.
5445	It is unclear how the Phase 1 factor in A.4.1 of "pass Mandatory Relevant Experience requirement" will be evaluated. A.4.2 only states that "if an Offeror has the relevant experience provided on Exhibit 1...as specified in Section A.3.7.1(b)..." Are we correct to understand that the Government will only be evaluating REP submissions to verify that they were performed by the Offeror?	The Government will only be reviewing the Relevant Experience Projects to ensure they met the mandatory requirements as stated in the solicitation.
5457	Will socio-economic small businesses (eg. WOSB, EDWOSB, Hubzone, etc) who meet the higher Small Business qualifications be awarded both a Small Business contract and a socio-economic small business contract?	Only one contract is awarded. All Contract holders within that RFQ's category that meet the NAICs code and set-aside (or unrestricted) status and any other requirements of an Issuing Agency will be eligible to see the Issuing Agency's RFQ.
5462	Will NASA award both Small Business and Socio-economic Small Business contracts for Category B and Category C? Or are the lesser Socio-economic Small Business requirements intended solely to make it easier for those companies to qualify for a Small Business contract (meaning not separate SB and Socio-economic SB contract tracks)?	No, only one contract is awarded.

5464	Are we correct to understand that the only information required for REPs to show relevance is to be provided on the REP form by the Offeror?	Yes, the only information required for REPs to show relevance is to be provided on the REP form by the Offeror.
5465	In this section, please confirm that questions must be provided to both the Andrea Ross, the Government representative and uploaded to the SEWP VI RFP Application (https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/)	The email is listed for Sam.Gov administrative purposes only. All questions and comments concerning the Solicitation using the SEWP Comment Tool located at https://www.sewp.nasa.gov/sewpvi/ during Q and A periods as announced on sam.gov.
5471	In this section, what is the minimal number Category B-Mandatory Experience Technical Areas that must be addressed in four Relevant Experience Project (REP)? Must the same minimal number of Category B- Mandatory Experience Technical Areas be addressed in each of the four REPs?	The minimal number depends on the Offeror's business size and is clearly stated in the Solicitation. Each REP should address a separate and distinct Area.
5477	A.3.7.1, page 100, (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI certification Category C is not required to provide third party compliance verification with Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) via a current CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) or CMMI-Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 2 or higher. For companies with CMMI certifications, is it recommended that we submit to demonstrate the achievement?	CMMI certification is only required for Category B. If an Offeror wants to include non-mandatory certifications in their proposal, that information should be provided in the Management Approach and will count towards the page limit of that volume.
5489	Section A.3.3(c); page 93; "The electronic files shall not include embedded attachments in PDF". Please define an embedded attachment. For example, should the AbilityOne Commitment Letter with signatures to be an independent PDF file submitted within the Volume I folder OR embedded within the Volume I main response file?	An embedded attachment is a file that is included within another file, such as a PDF file that is embedded within a Word document. The AbilityOne Commitment Letter should be an independent PDF file submitted within the Volume I folder, not embedded within the Volume I main response file.
5492	Can the government please confirm that maximum number of past performances a SDVOSB can submit?	As stated in the Solicitation the maximum number of past performances is 3.
5503	"OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_Category"; The assigned RFP number is "80TECH24R0001". Would the government change the file naming structure to match or confirm that the current naming convention will not render a proposal non-compliant?	The Offeror's proposal name is to reference the solicitation number for the solicitation in which a proposal is being submitted.

5511	(b) Mandatory Experience Exhibit; Would the government confirm that the Mandatory Experience Exhibit is not required to be provided for a Category A submission?	As stated in the Solicitation, Exhibit 1 is only required for Category B and C submissions.
5520	Technical Volume, Category C: Do HUBZone small business offerors have to address all 11 technical areas in the proposal to obtain the high confidence rating? If yes, can we use our subcontractor teammates' expertise to address areas not covered by the small business prime?	Information from first- tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract.
5530	<p>In section A.3.6 (B)(7), the RFP states: "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category."</p> <p>Can a firm proposing as part of two different JVs (whether for the same category or not) can re-use the same past performance and mandatory experience in each bid?</p>	Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.
5532	Can an offeror be a Prime in Category C and be a subcontractor to another Prime team for Category C?	Yes, the offeror can be a prime in Category C and be a subcontractor to another Prime team in Category C.

5544	<p>Requesting clarification on: "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Can the Government clarify whether the NAICS code that an offeror competes under has to be the same NAICS code for the contract references in Volume II - Past Performance?</p>	<p>The "NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code the offeror is using to compete under for the SEWP VI master contract. Past performance from any in-scope NAICS may be used as long as it is relevant to the work the offeror will be performing under SEWP VI.</p>
5552	<p>Offerors shall provide a Letter of Authorization (LOA) from a designated provider (OEM) for each of the four (4) Technical Areas - will the Government consider allowing more than one designated provider per technical area? Some providers have a limited offering and will not be able to meet the 1000 CLIN requirement.</p>	<p>No. The requirement for one designated Technical Area with a minimum of 1000 CLINs must be met by a single designated provider will remain as stated.</p>
5560	<p>RFP, Page 94 – The Government indicates: All elements of a proposal volume are necessary to be deemed as a complete proposal submission per each Category as defined in A.1.2 Scope of Work. Can the Government identify "A.1.2" from Attachment+A+SEWP+Scope?</p>	<p>A.1.2. refers to section A.1.2 in the main body of the Solicitation.</p>
5562	<p>The RFP requires that offerors have 1,000 CLINS from the designated service provider for the primary task area and 100 from the designated provider for the other 3 non-primary technical areas. It also requires 50 CLINS each from at least one other secondary provider.</p> <p>Can the government confirm the secondary providers can be any providers that the offeror identifies as relevant?</p>	<p>Yes, the secondary provider can be any providers that the offeror identifies as relevant.</p>
5564	<p>AbilityOne SubK Requirements - What FAR clause is the SEWP PMO using to mandate that all bidders enter into a contractual relationship with a commercial entity (AbilityOne) without competing other sources?</p>	<p>The specific FAR clause used to mandate that all bidders enter into a contractual relationship with AbilityOne is not stated in the document.</p>

5568	<p>The RFP requires that offerors have 1,000 CLINS from the designated service provider for the primary task area and 100 from the designated provider for the other 3 non-primary technical areas. It also requires 50 CLINS each from at least one other secondary provider.</p> <p>Can the government confirm that if the offeror is a reseller and acts as the designated provider then the offerors do not need to have the 50 additional CLINS from a secondary provider for which they are not an authorized reseller? In other words, can resellers provide a minimum of 1,050 and 150 CLINS for the technical areas?</p>	<p>No. The requirements remain as stated regardless of the Offerors status. Besides the designated providers to satisfy the 1,000 CLINs in the primary and 100 CLINs in three other areas, 1 to 4 secondary providers, separate from the designated providers, must be proposed to fulfill the 50 CLIN requirement in the 4 Technical Areas.</p>
5570	1. Is the Mandatory Experience mentioned in the proposal table on page 96 the same as Exhibit 3a?	Yes.

<p>5573</p>	<p>A.3.7.2, Page 104. For Categories B and C, the Government is requiring “A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted” for the Small Business (SB) “Track.”</p> <p>For Categories B and C, under the “HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, offerors,” The Government is requesting a “Total of two (2) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted.”</p> <p>If a Socio-economic SB (WOSB for example), submits three (3) REPS for Category B and Category C to meet the SB qualification requirements, how will NASA evaluate the REPs against both the SB qualification requirements and the Socio-economic SB qualification requirements? Must socio-economic SBs designate the two (2) REPs that NASA should evaluate against the Socio-economic SB qualification requirements.</p> <p>Also, please confirm that Offerors are not required to submit more than three (3) REPs.</p>	<p>REPs are only evaluated to ensure they meet the relevancy requirements and that the number of REPs submitted match the number required based on the socio-economic business size. .</p>
<p>5593</p>	<p>The RFP states “A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted.” Exhibit 1, Part III Instruction states “Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided.....” Can the Gov’t please clarify the scope of information to be provided here? Does the Gov’t require and desire information about all representative areas for which the project is relevant or just the overall project experience and information about the minimum number of representative areas as selected by the offeror?”</p>	<p>One REP can only be in reference to one Technical area. Therefore the description should focus on that one Technical Area being covered by the particular REP.</p>

5596	Many offeror's have reached out to OEMs for LOAs during the draft RFP period, in anticipation of the final requirements. Is there a time range for when the LOA needs to be dated, or will LOAs from the last 12 months suffice as long as they have the requisite information?	No. There is no recency date in the Solicitation for LOAs. Note that one of the requirements that is stated is that the LOA must refer to SEWP VI.
5600	Exhibit 1, Part III Instruction states "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Can the Gov't please clarify what the referenced "attached documents" are required to be?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5612	How recent do LOAs need to be to be considered valid?	No. There is no recency date in the Solicitation for LOAs. Note that one of the requirements that is stated is that the LOA must refer to SEWP VI.
5626	REPs and Past Performance: Do our REPs and Past performances have to have matching NAICs codes to the one we choose on the proposal submittal portal? Or can they be any of the NAICs codes listed in Table Category C, A.1.34 (NAICS	The Offeror's past performance must be based on the NAICs code and associated business size the Offeror has identified for the Proposal submission for the given category. REPs are not restricted by NAICs code.
5646	"If Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as prime." For smaller Task Orders that do not require subcontractor support for performance (ex. 1 or 2 FTEs, LPTA-evaluated tasks with values under a certain threshold, etc.), does the Government still require Offerors to provide AbilityOne subcontractors with first preference before allocating the work 100% in-house as Prime?	Offerors must provide AbilityOne subcontractors with first preference for all task orders, even smaller task orders that do not require subcontractor support and could be performed 100% in-house by the prime. The prime may only perform the work themselves if the AbilityOne subcontractor is unable to perform the work.

5650	How are DFARS clauses and provisions included with DoD is the buyer? Is this done at the Task Order level? For instance, the RFQ include 52.225-1 Buy American Supplies. But DFARS 25.1101 directs that 52.225-1 be replaced with 252.225-7001. Will the rules of FAR agency supplements apply based on the awarding agency?	DFAR clauses would be applied at the Task Order level if applicable.
5651	Do you want the contract holder to provide separate warranty or can we leverage the OEM warranty?	It is up to the Offeror to determine whether to include all possible warranty offerings up-front or to add such offerings to the contract based on customer requirements. The Contract Holder should provide the warranty required by the Issuing Agency at the Task Order level.
5654	RFP, page 104 – The Government indicates “A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted.” Does submitting 3 different REPs (3 different technical areas) mean that we with an award, we could provide services for all of Category B technical areas?	Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.
5668	Can the Gov’t confirm that the Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table is the exclusive way to communicate content to meet the Mandatory Experience Requirements in Phase I?	Yes, Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table is the exclusive way to communicate content to meet the Mandatory Experience Requirements in Phase I.
5669	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings (Page 101 – 105)</p> <p>General Clarification for Offerors for submission of Mandatory Experience examples and Past Performance projects.</p> <p>Please confirm that a Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience can also be used as past performance reference.</p>	Yes, Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table is the exclusive way to communicate content to meet the Mandatory Experience Requirements in Phase I.

5671	Page 139, Greenhouse Emissions - Is it required to have your Greenhouse Gas Emissions registration to SAM completed prior to proposal submission?	No, offerors are not required to have their Greenhouse Gas Emissions registration completed in SAM.gov prior to proposal submission.
5672	<p>Could the Government elucidate the distinctions and requirements pertaining to the Ability One program, specifically regarding the 'Commitment Letter' and 'Formal Agreement'?</p> <p>Can you clarify on the following:</p> <p>1-The differences between a 'Formal Agreement' and a 'Commitment Letter.'</p> <p>2-The deadlines for submission of these documents: whether they are required alongside the proposal or only upon the awarding of the contract.</p> <p>3-The exact attachments that need to be submitted: whether it should be Attachment H or Attachment I, and if a Design Requirements Document (DRD) and/or a template is provided, as was the case with the C-SCRM Plan in DRD-3 and Attachment J in the Final RFP."</p>	<p>The differences between a Commitment Letter and a Formal Agreement, deadlines for submission, and required attachments are addressed in section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting. A "Commitment Letter" is a letter submitted with your proposal that identifies the offeror's plan to subcontract with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies. This letter should identify the point of contact from SourceAmerica/NIB. A "Formal Agreement" is required upon award and will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. The Formal Agreement outlines the qualifications for subcontracting, facility clearance levels, company certifications, and the notification process for applicable task orders.</p>
5674	Information in Exhibit 3a is only used as administrative purposes - will a minor typo or minor administrative error affect evaluation and contract award?	No, a minor typo or minor administrative error will not affect evaluation and contract award.
5679	<p>The computer systems described in Technical Areas 1a, 2a, and 3a require necessary, separately purchased internal items, accessories, software, support, and, in some cases, special services to operate "out of the box". We suggest clarifying these Technical Area descriptions with standard language to accurately describe this situation, something akin to "Included are internal items and accessories that directly support the proposed platforms along with firmware, warranties, support, product-enabling services, and software licenses."</p>	The provided wording is a broad example of in scope technology. Specific requirements such as those cited in the comment will be provided by Issuing Agencies at the task order level.

5685	<p>Technical Area 2a: IT Storage Systems includes UNSPSC Class 43212XXX which describes "Computer display accessories," whereas a more appropriate UNSPSC Class 43212200 describes "Computer data storage management systems." Additionally, the more appropriate UNSPSC Class for "Media storage devices" is 43201800.</p>	<p>The UNSPSC codes listed are informational only as a reference point and are not meant to be a full list of applicable UNSPSC codes.</p>
5688	<p>Statement: If the Government issues a Request For Quote (RFQ) or a Market Research Request (MRR), the Contractor may only respond with items available on their Contract. If the Contractor has insufficient items on their contract to fully respond to the Formal RFQ, the Contractor must respond with a No Bid.</p> <p>Question: Does this imply that offerors must acknowledge each opportunity posted within each awarded Category, and indicate that we will be bidding or not bidding?</p>	<p>No. A non-response is equivalent to a No Bid.</p>
5693	<p>Would the Government please confirm, that the post-award contract Holders will have the ability to add additional subcontractors when bidding at the Task Order level to address either capability or capacity needs of a given Task Order.</p>	<p>Yes, post award, Contract Holders will have the ability to add additional subcontractors when bidding at the Task Order level.</p>
5696	<p>Technical Area 5a: IT Power and Cabling Equipment includes UNSPSC Class 43202XXX which is "Removable Storage Media" rather than 432022XX which describes "Sub assemblies for electronic devices"</p>	<p>The UNSPSC codes listed are informational only as a reference point and are not meant to be a full list of applicable UNSPSC codes.</p>
5699	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, Page 105. Please confirm that an Offeror may submit Past Performance for a relevant project for a category that was not used to demonstrate Mandatory Experience for the category.</p>	<p>Yes, an Offeror may submit Past Performance for a relevant project for a category that was not used to demonstrate Mandatory Experience for the category.</p>

5701	<p>REP Table form, Part III instructions indicate a requirement for supporting information/evidence as a attachment. For Categories B and C the first paragraph on page 103 indicates that "no additional information is needed in support of the Exhibit 1 REP Template". Do we provide supporting documentation as indicated in Exhibit 1?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5703	<p>RFQ includes 52.225-1 Buy American Supplies.</p> <p>Thus the 20 and 30 percent evaluation factors of 25.106 apply.</p> <p>However, per DFARS 225.106 Determining reasonableness of cost. (b) Use an evaluation factor of 50 percent instead of the factors specified in FAR 25.106(b)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(i).</p> <p>Will SEWP VI Solicitations for DoD follow the DFARS Rules for evaluation of offers? Will this be specified in the Task RFQ?</p>	<p>SEWP VI soliciations from DOD Issuing Agenciies may follow DFARS Rules for evaluation. Each Task Order will specify their evaluation criteria.</p>
5706	<p>The evaluation of the Technical Approach in the Mission Suitability volume includes evaluation factors: "the appropriateness of the offeror's proposed resources". However, the instructions for the summary provided in the Technical Approach (pg. 111 Section A.3.7.3 ff) do not include proposing specific resources. Will the government confirm that resumes, and staff qualification are not required to be submitted and will not be evaluated if they are?</p>	<p>The reference is to the summary descriptions provided by the Offeror in response to this evaluation factor. Resumes and staff qualifications are not required and if provided will be treated as part of the 15 page Mission Suitability volume.</p>

5707	The Part III Project Description field in the Exhibit 1 REP Table (pdf form) does not allow for "up to 3 pages" of narrative. The text gets smaller versus the field expanding. Will this be corrected?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5712	Is it permissible to append extra rows as necessary without incurring any penalties?	Exhibits should not be changed in format. Any alterations will be ignored or may result in disqualification if it affects the ability of the Government to review and verify the proposal.
5719	Can the government please clarify what the differences are from a mandatory vs. additional CLIN?	The Solicitation has been updated to remove the terms "mandatory" and "additional" CLINs.
5721	Will the government be providing an updated REP template to allow 3 pages of text? With the Exhibit 1 template, the text box does not expand to allow the offeror to go beyond the first page.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
5731	Section A.3.7.1 requires that Offerors "Identify and consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors used in writing this proposal..." If AI products were used to analyze the RFP and any subsequent amendments, does that require disclosure?	Yes, any use of Generative AI products by the offeror to assist with writing of their proposal must be disclosed.

5733	Section A.3.7.1 requires that Offerors "Identify and consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors used in writing this proposal..." If an Offeror uses consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors to assist in writing components of their submission, how should the Offeror identify and quantify the proposal elements that were supported by consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or subcontractors?	Consultants used solely for compliance checks need to be identified in the proposal with a brief description of services provided.
5746	For Technical Area 9a, are the product based services CLIN's supposed to be provided by the contractor or purchased from a vendor?	All items on SEWP are purchased from the Contract Holder. The provider of those items and services may be a separate company providing those products or services through the Contract Holder.
5747	A.3.3 This seems to be the only mention of the DCAA. Is DCAA used here as an example or is the Government requesting DCAA letters of an approved accounting system and if so, which volume should they be included in?	The reference to DCAA is an example, if the Offeror were to include such a document in the proposal. It is not a required document.

5748	<p>RFQ states the following for Group A2 Technical Areas: An individual NMR Waiver applies to offerings under this Technical Area...</p> <p>Per 19.5.5(c)(4)(B) Individual waiver. The contracting officer may also request a waiver of the requirements at paragraph (c)(1)(i) or (c)(2)(ii) of this section for an individual acquisition once the contracting officer determines through market research that no known small business manufacturers, processors, or producers in the United States or its outlying areas can reasonably be expected to offer an end item meeting the requirements of the solicitation. This type of waiver is known as an individual waiver and would apply only to a specific acquisition.</p> <p>1) How can an individual waiver be issued for components that are not yet listed, nor included in any solicitation? 2) Is there in intent to have a 'blanket' individual waiver, or will it be requested as needed at the task order level? 3) What happens if our offer for a task order includes catalog items from US Small Business Manufacturers that meet the</p>	<p>Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.</p>
5757	Should offerors maintain exhibit formatting, or should we adapt templates to the required 12-point font for submission	The format of provided exhibits including the font type and size should not be altered.

<p>5764</p>	<p>The RFP states that "The provider (OEM) part number must be entered in column 'C' without prefixes or suffixes." It also states, "The designated primary Technical Area shall have a minimum of 1,000 distinct and relevant CLINs from the designated provider for that Technical Area."</p> <p>If a Provider utilizes a single Part Number for all of its support, the only way to offer support is to repeat part numbers and create unique CLINs - one for the item and one for the support. Please confirm that, in this case, having two entries with the same Part Number (e.g., '123ABC') but distinct CLINs (e.g., '123ABC' and '123ABC-SUP') and descriptions to represent the item and its support complies with the instructions for filling out Exhibit 3a.</p>	<p>Part numbers may be repeated. Different CLINs with descriptions indicating the differences between each CLIN must be used for each iteration of the part number.</p>
<p>5773</p>	<p>A.3.5 "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category."</p> <p>A.3.6(B) "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category."</p> <p>Will the Government please confirm that a small business proposing as a joint venture can also propose as a prime or sub using the same members as the JV in the same category?</p> <p>If this is permissible, may the entities involved use the same REPs for each Offeror?</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>

5776	RFP A.3.7.2 states: "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Please confirm the NAICS requirement for A.3.7.2 means NAICS code on contract reference must match a NAICS code listed to the corresponding category in SEWP VI RFP	The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
5777	<p>Section A.3.7.1 "A total of 2 different REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted."</p> <p>Will the Government please confirm that a small business Offeror may use one contract that covers multiple mandatory experience technical areas to support multiple REPs in each category? Or does the Government intend to request that bidders pursuing Category B, for example, use one unique example contract for each REP submitted?</p>	An REP can only be utilized for one of the required technical areas. If two Technical Areas are required, then two different REPs must be submitted.
5783	In the Industry Day webinar and the demo of the proposal submission tool, it appears as if the Business Size dropdown allows the section of only one business size. How should offerors with multiple socio-economic business size designations complete this section?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
5784	<p>The Attachment titled, "Exhibit 3a- Category A Spreadsheet.xls," only identifies a columns for the "Designated Providers", it does not contain a column for the additional providers like the RFP indicates. On page 102 of the RFP, the instructions for the POC tab state, "For each of the four (4) proposed Technical Areas, a minimum of one (1) additional provider must be proposed with relevant offerings in each of the proposed four Technical Areas. The additional providers do not need to be from the list provided in Enclosure 1 and the contact information is not needed." Will the Government please clarify what the instructions are for how Offerors shall indicate the additional</p>	Any additional providers will be listed in the Technical Area Tab under the Provider column.

5792	<p>"The RFP states: ""If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level.""</p> <p>What ""documentation"" is referred to by this instruction?"</p>	<p>Any documentation provided by the Offeror must be included as part of their proposal and will count against the associated page limit.</p>
5797	<p>What are the "NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI"?</p>	<p>See Exhibit 4 for the "NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI"</p>
5802	<p>"The RFP states: ""No information is requested for proposed subcontractors.""</p> <p>What information is referred to by this instruction?"</p>	<p>As stated in the RFP, there is no information required.</p>
5808	<p>Section # A.3.7.1 (a) Pge # 100</p> <p>Que: ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification All Categories: The Offeror must provide a third-party compliance verification with the ISO 9001 standard via a current 9001:2015 Certification at the time of proposal submission. Does this imply that an ISO 9001:2008 certification is no longer acceptable?</p>	<p>Yes, an ISO 9001:2008 certification is no longer acceptable.</p>
5823	<p>Question: If a company is participating in Category A as a LARGE, SMALL and Joint Venture can they use the SAME 4 OEMs for submission? Or does each proposal we participate in requires 4 individual OEMs totaling 12 if we participate as Large, Small and Joint Venture?</p>	<p>Only a maximum of 2 proposals may be submitted - one as a prime and one as a member of a JV. The same 1 to 4 designated providers can be used for both submissions.</p>

5826	<p>A.4.4: RFP A.4.4 Mission Suitability evaluation states "offeror's proposed resources" will be part of the Mission Suitability evaluation.</p> <p>Inclusion of this requirement where it applies in general to the Mission Suitability Volume implies it applies to both the Technical and Management Approach subfactors. The Technical Approach response addresses Task Areas which are very broad with no specific requirements. Please confirm the appropriateness of resources evaluation criteria applies to the Management Approach (Subfactor B).</p>	Resources could be applied to either Factor depending on whether they relate to Technical or Management Factors.
5830	<p>Section # A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME Pge # 101</p> <p>Que: Are offerors limited to using only one (1) designated provider for each technical area?</p>	Yes, offerors are limited to using only one designated provider for each technical area to meet the designated provider requirements in terms of number of offerings for each designated area.
5832	<p>Section # A.3.7.1 (b) Pge # 102</p> <p>Que: Are there any distinctions in CLIN requirements for small businesses and large businesses?</p>	No.
5834	<p>"Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I.</p> <p>Question 1: Would the government please provide an example of the formal agreement?</p> <p>Question 2: What are the required elements within / requirements for formal agreement?</p>	An example of a "Formal Agreement" is not provided, but section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, states that the agreement should outline qualifications for subcontracting, facility clearance levels, company certifications, and the process for notification of applicable task orders.

5835	<p>Section # A.3.7.1 (b) Pge # 102</p> <p>Que: Can a secondary provider for Section A be a subsidiary of the primary provider? For example, if Cisco is the primary provider, can we use Splunk, a Cisco subsidiary as of March 2024, as the secondary provider?</p>	Yes, a secondary provider can be a subsidiary of the primary provider.
5840	<p>Section # A.3.7.1 (b) Pge # 102</p> <p>Que: For Category A for the primary technical area selected do all 1000 CLINs need to come from the same designated</p>	Yes, for Category A for the primary technical area all 1000 CLINs need to come from the same designated provider.
5841	A.1.2 Technical Area 4a (Pg 28) - Please confirm that Technical Areas 4a: Imaging Equipment and Supporting Technology, Sub-area: Health IT, includes medical imaging systems.	Yes, Technical Area 4a: Imaging Equipment and Supporting Technology, Sub-area: Health IT, includes medical imaging systems.
5842	RFP A.1.35 states: "Upon award [italics added], the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations, which will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I." Is the "formal agreement" a post-award or upon award deliverable, such as a Teaming Agreement or will Government give offerors 30 days post award to establish such agreements?	Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, states that the "formal agreement" is to be established "upon award", meaning that the agreement is to be in place by the time of award. The government will not provide additional time after award to establish the agreement.
5852	Could the Government confirm that the auditor who conducted the audit satisfies the requirement to provide the contact information of a "Certification representative" for the ISO 9001 certificate?	Yes, the auditor who conducted the audit satisfies the requirement to provide the contact information of a "Certification Representative" for the ISO 9001 certificate.
5860	Ref: Section A.1.35. Question: Do small business vendors submitting offers for Category B and/or C need a formal agreement in place with an AbilityOne NPA at the time of proposal submission or only once they submit offers for subsequent task orders under SEWP VI, that would fall under the relevant NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34?	Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, states that the formal agreement with an AbilityOne NPA must be established "upon award." Therefore, a formal agreement is not required at the time of proposal submission.

5865	<p>When bidding Category A Unrestricted, what is the point of choosing a specific NAICS under which to submit your bid? Does it have any effect?</p>	<p>The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.</p>
5867	<p>For Categories B and C instructions that pertain to Volume I - Offer, it is unclear how many REP Project Tables shall be submitted. For example, the RFP states, "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted. No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. Offerors shall only submit the total number of REPs as required for the proposed category and business size standard." Will the Government please clarify the number of "REP Project Table - Exhibit 1" attachments an Offeror shall submit?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
5868	<p>Is it acceptable to reuse a technical approach across multiple bids?</p>	<p>Yes, if the bids are from the same Offeror.</p>
5869	<p>Would Government please provide a revised Exhibit 2? Sections 3 & 4 of Exhibit 2 inaccurately duplicate the contents of one field onto all the others. In Section 3, the Name of Evaluator, once entered, populates the remaining fields below, and in Section 4 the response placed either in the "Provided" or "Not provided" fields automatically fills the other field.</p>	<p>Exhibit 2 has been updated to fix noted formatting issues.</p>
5882	<p>The solicitation states that the government may order "monthly maintenance at a Discounted Monthly Extended Warranty" amount. This is not offered or supported by many manufacturers; can this be removed as a base contract term and negotiated at the delivery order level?</p>	<p>Monthly maintenance is not required at the contract level but is negotiable at the task order level.</p>

5892	<p>Section A.3.6 (B) Proposal Submission Table indicates that the Offer Volume is comprised of the following components: 1) SF1449 and required fill-ins; 2) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certifications; 3) Mandatory Experience Exhibit; 4) LOAs; and 5) Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk. However, Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (p. 98-100) states that "The Offeror shall also provide the following information:" which is followed by a list of bulleted elements that must be included in the proposal that are not represented in the Proposal Submission Table, such as contact information; relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used; Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable; statement to Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors; copy of CTA agreements; and meaningful relationship letters. These additional elements do not align with the Proposal Submission Table. Please clarify and update the Proposal Submission Table to reflect each of the required elements of the Offer Volume, in what order, if they are excluded from page count, and if they should be included within a single document with Table of Contents or as separate documents.</p>	<p>The components of the Offer Volume, order, page count exclusions, and whether the components should be in a single document or separate documents are addressed in sections A.3.6 (B) Proposal Submission Table and A.3.7.1 Offer Volume. The Proposal Submission Table in section A.3.6 (B) should be updated to include the following elements in the Offer Volume: • Offeror Contact Information • Offeror's Subcontracting Plan (if applicable) • AbilityOne Commitment Letter (if applicable) • Statement to Identify any Consultants, Generative Artificial Intelligence, and/or Subcontractors used to Prepare this Proposal • Copy of CTA Agreements • Meaningful Relationship Letters (if applicable) • Relevant Experience as it Relates to the NAICS Code Being Used for SEWP VI These elements should be included in a single document with a Table of Contents. All elements listed in the updated Proposal Submission Table are excluded from the Offer Volume page count.</p>
5896	<p>An 8a-JV offeror submitting a proposal under Category B as a 8(a) and is awarded a contract, will that 8a JV be able to pursue NASA SEWP VI Task orders that's are small business set-aside and/or 8(a) set-aside?</p>	<p>Contracts are awarded by Category, not Technical Area. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p>
5902	<p>Could Government please confirm that Contracting Officers will be allowed to issue delivery/task orders under NAICS codes other than the Contractor's awarded NAICS code?</p>	<p>Yes. Task orders can utilize any of the in-scope NAICS codes listed in Exhibit 4.</p>
5906	<p>RFP A.3.7.1 states: "The Offeror shall also provide the following information: Offeror's...AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable [italics added]. Can government define "if applicable"? It would seem the AbilityOne Commitment Letter is a requirement for Volume I.</p>	<p>Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, states that an AbilityOne Commitment Letter is "applicable" to offerors that submit proposals under NAICS codes that are designated with an asterisk in A.1.34.</p>

5920	Would the Government allow a Contractor providing different types of Technology to submit more than one bid under a Category -- for instance, under Category A, one bid under 334111 and one bid under 541519e?	No. Companies are limited to one proposal per category.
5927	Question: Do small business vendors submitting offers for Category B and/or C need a formal agreement in place with an AbilityOne NPA at the time of proposal submission or only once they submit offers for subsequent task orders under SEWP VI, that would fall under the relevant NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34?	Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting, states that the formal agreement with an AbilityOne NPA must be established "upon award." Therefore, a formal agreement is not required at the time of proposal submission.
5940	If a submitted REP demonstrates experience in more than one major technical area, how would the Government like us to assign a single Mandatory Experience Sub-Area to demonstrate different mandatory experience technical areas. Many of our Task Order awards under a multiple-award IDIQ are released using the same general NAICS code but but represent a wide variety of sub-areas.	An individual REP can only be used as an REP for one Technical Area. And each Technical Area must have a different REP. The description in Exhibit 1 for an REP that extends across multiple Technical Areas should focus on the one Technical Area that REP is submitted for.
5956	RFP Section A.3.7.1(b): Please confirm that it is acceptable to use the same contract for both the Relevant Experience Project (REP) and again as Past Performance for the two Categories B	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
5957	The requirement states that the designated provider's POC must be a US citizen and identifiable as either the provider's federal sales representative or equivalent. The the Government please confirm that offerors may self-certify to these requirements, and are not required to receive and include proof of citizenship and POC role documentation in our response?	There is no requirement for the Offeror to obtain or provide proof of citizenship or POC role to be submitted with the Proposal. The Government retains the right to verify any submitted information.

5961	Offeror requests that the Government consider separating a due date for Category A from a different, longer due date for Categories B & C. Category A is a follow-on contract with remarkable similarities to its past iterations. Categories B & C are net new requirements and generating significant questions from the community. To ensure that existing SEWP Government customers do not experience delays in the transition to SEWP VI, we ask that Category A be competed, evaluated, and awarded on a separate timeline from the services additions.	The Solicitation timeline will remain as stated.
5962	Form Categories B and C, can offerors include are software licenses for associated products tied to service-based solutions?	Yes, products, such as software, related to fulfilling a service may be included in Category B and C offerings.

5966	<p>Section A.3.5 Proposals Requested, RFP page 93, states, “Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category.”</p> <p>Further on page 93, “Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category by the proposal due date and time specified in Block 8 of the SF 1449, Offerors shall submit their proposal in volumes as specified in A.3.6 below.”</p> <p>Under A.3.6 (B) (7) on page 97, the RFP states, “Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is a duplication or replica of another offeror (company), that is not a part of a joint venture or contractor teaming arrangement, will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government.”</p> <p>Please confirm a single offeror can submit a proposal as a prime in more than one Category, and may submit the same REPs, past performance, management approach, and certifications as alluded to in, “Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience” without being excluded for duplication.</p>	<p>Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.</p>
5971	<p>What Scope level? How will this be reviewed, annually, or semi-periodically? Which agency will conduct the review?</p>	<p>The comment is out of date - the term "scope level" is not in the current Solicitation.</p>
5980	<p>Please confirm that SBA has not issued an individual waiver to the Non-Manufacturer Rule for software resale under NAICS 541519e, and that footnote 18 of NAICS 541519e will continue to govern the resale of software via small business set asides.</p>	<p>SBA has issued individual waivers based on product scope and not as an overall NAICS code. The individual waivers are listed within the Solicitation.</p>

5983	Please confirm that a Letter of Authorization issued by an other-than-small business OEM provider is not valid for use by a small business SEWP VI contract holder bidding products on a small business set aside under NAICS 541519e unless such small business bidder meets the minimum of 15% VAR threshold.	The 15% VAR threshold will be tracked at the contract level and does not affect the ability to add small business providers to any contract.
5998	Please confirm our understanding that the evaluation of an Offeror's Exhibit 3a for Category A is pass/fail in Phase One of the government's evaluation, and the number of products proposed will not be used in the Phase Three evaluation to determine "minimal" or "high" confidence for Mission	The evaluation of Exhibit 3a for Category A is pass/fail in Phase One of the government's evaluation. The number of products proposed in Exhibit 3a are not part of the Technical Evaluation Factor.
5999	Attachment A, Section A2 states that other contractors will have access to deliverables. Section A.1.1 of the RFP lists Invoices as a deliverable. Invoices include proprietary competition-sensitive information. Can you add wording to Attachment A, A.2 to limit other contractors to non-proprietary	The reference is to Contractors working for the Government and requiring access to the deliverables as part of their Contract. The Contract under which they operate will dictate the information they require and how that information is handled including proprietary competition-sensitive information.
6017	Will a Letter of Authorization that reflects current authorization, accompanied by an email from the relevant POC from the designated provider with the requested information (approval for bid) be acceptable?	Yes. The form of an LOA is left to the OEM/provider. If it is a combination of a standard LOA with an appended e-mail and meets the page count and requirements stated in the RFP, then that would meet the requirement.
6027	Could Government provide a copy of the referenced Attachment H (AbilityOne formal agreement) so that Offerors have the opportunity to review?	The AbilityOne Formal Agreement is Attachment H. Attachment H is to be provided by the contractor in coordination with Source America/National Industries for the Blind. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance. Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) is to be provided by the contractor and what is provided will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment I. For guidance on Attachment I- Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other than Small Businesses) reference FAR 52.219-9(g).

6038	The requirement in Section A.5.2 of Attachment A states that "The Contractor Program Office will consist of at least a designated Program Manager" and that "The Contractor's Program Manager's full contact information must be provided and maintained on the SEWP Home website and at the SEWP PMO." Can the Government confirm that the Contractor Program Manager need not be provided at the proposal submission, but provided upon contract award?	The Contractor Program Manager does not need to be provided at the proposal submission.
6057	Regarding Exhibit 3a, is the SEWP catalog price associated with the CLINs that are currently on SEWP Contract holder contract, or does it pertain to new ones?	The SEWP Price is the price that will be loaded into the SEWP database of record upon contract award for the CLINs provided in Exhibit 3a.
6069	A minimum of four technical areas is required, but there's a cap of four LOAs. Does this imply that the selections must be made from the list of mandatory experience OEMs for both the technical areas and LOA?	A minimum of four technical areas is required, and you must provide a maximum of four LOAs from four different designated providers. The providers must be from the included list, and the four technical areas can be any four excluding Technical Area 9.
6073	SECTION TITLE: RFP A.3.7.1(c) QUESTION: This section states that a contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing. In Exhibit 4, the Offeror will be providing a size representation for every NAICS code within the proposed Category. For evaluation purposes, will the contractor be required to have every in-scope NAICS code from their proposed Category specifically listed in the NAICS codes section of their Service Classifications on their SAM registry?	No. The Offeror is not required to have every in-scope NAICS code. The Offeror should indicate in Exhibit 4 those NAICS codes on their SAM registry. There is no evaluation involved in the provided NAICS information. Exhibit 4 will be utilized post-award at the task order level.
6075	Please sort the answers to questions by Category.	All questions can be downloaded to an excel spreadsheet from the SEWP VI website and sorted accordingly.
6076	Reference Section A.1.2, pg 24-35: Given the distinct requirements that a socioeconomic status prime offeror must fulfill, is the Government planning to establish a dedicated Socioeconomic Set Aside Group within all categories?	No. Issuing Agencies will be able to designate set aside groups at the Task Order level.

6082	Section 3.1.7.b - Does "designated provider" need to be listed on Enclosure 1? If designated provider is not on Enclosure 1 and is a major manufacturer, how can they be listed? Enclosure 1 is a very limited list of providers.	Yes. The designated provider for each of the proposed areas must be from the list of approved SEWP Providers listed in Enclosure 1.
6083	The RFP states that: "For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost incurred of \$150,000 (One Hundred- Fifty Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." - Esto se contradice con lo señalado en los criterios de evaluación donde se indica: "Small Businesses- In Category A and C, shall furnish the past performance for similar scope efforts with an average annual cost/fee incurred of \$500,000.Can you confirm if the correct value is \$150,000?"	The Solicitation has been updated to the correct value of \$150,000.
6095	Did the NASA SEWP Program Office receive a NMR Class Waiver for small business Category A 541519. If not , please explain how the SEWP PMO envisions the NMR to work with RFQs in Category A.	Class Waivers are issued for all contracts; Individual waivers are granted to a contract by SBA. In both cases the waivers are based on a NAICs code and scope of products that are covered. Offerors do not need to take any action. NASA will provide guidance to Industry and Government customers when SEWP VI commences.
6100	There is no Section A.3.5.1 or subsections thereafter. Please clarify if this text should be edited or removed. Re: Exhibit 1 REP Project Table Refer to Section A.3.5.1(b)..."	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

6101	Please define "evidence" and "attached documents" in this sentence. There is no other reference to "evidence" or "attached documents" in the context of REPs in the RFP. Re: Exhibit 1 Part III: Project Description "...supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents."	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6106	Can the past performances/contracts be the same for the REP (Relevant Experience Project) and Past Performances?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
6110	One of these bullets were going to be removed on the amendment. Can you please state the wording of the bullet that will remain?	Please see the current Solicitation for the corrected wording.
6111	Can you use the same REP project to address more than one technical area?	No, the same REP project cannot be used to address more than one technical area.
6112	Can the same PP be used for Category B and C as long as they fulfill the requirements. For example an Enterprise Wide Cyber security Services PP qualifies for both categories B & C?	Yes. If the same or similar task area is being cited in each proposal, an REP and/or PP may be the same for a proposal to Category B and for Category C.
6116	The Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project form specifies the use of Arial font size 10, however section A.3.6(B) indicates a font/size restriction of 12 point TNR. Can you clarify which font size requirement should be followed?	The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

6122	<p>Are the AbilityOne Commitment Letter and formal agreement synonymous? Re: RFP, A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>	<p>Upon award, the SEWP Contract Holder shall have an established formal agreement with an AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies NPAs as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations. This formal agreement will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment H. A commitment letter is not required at the time of proposal submission, however the contractor shall identify in the Ability One Commitment letter the communication with the SourceAmerica/NIB representative acknowledging a pre-existing agreement or identifying qualified nonprofit agencies to use for SEWP subcontracting opportunities.</p>
6133	<p>Can a firm be a subcontractor on more than one prime offeror's proposal?</p>	<p>Yes, a firm can be a subcontractor for more than one prime offerors proposal.</p>
6136	<p>Can the Government provide an updated REP template? As of now, the space where we describe the project does not extend beyond the first page but only makes the text smaller as you write more.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>

6139	<p>The requirements state that Category A NAICS w/ AbilityOne SubK Requirements are: 334112*: Computer Storage Device Manufacturing; 518210*: Computing Infrastructure Providers, Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services; and 541519*: Other Computer Related Services. Categories B and C NAICS w/ AbilityOne SubK Requirements are: 518210*: Computing Infrastructure Providers, Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services; 519190*: All Other Information Services; 541512*: Computer Systems Design Services; 541513*: Computer Facilities Management Services; and 541519*: Other Computer Related Services. Where should bidders indicate what NAICS they are bidding on the SEWP VI contract under? There is no place in the proposal instructions to provide that information to the Government. Additionally, if a bidder does not select one of the NAICS with an AbilityOne subcontracting requirement at the contract level, will that eliminate the bidder's ability to compete on SEWP VI task orders within that NAICS? Our understanding of the way SEWP task orders will work is that if a bidder receives a contract for a Category, then that bidder will be able to bid on task order for all orders the bidder is considered small under for the NAICS.</p> <p>Please confirm.</p>	<p>The applicable NAICS code will be identified at the Task Order level. If a bidder does not possess a NAICS code that meets a mandatory subcontracting requirement at the contract level, this does not preclude the Offeror from competing on a Task Order for services/products that require subcontracting with AbilityOne. The scope of the order is not restricted to NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519 footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A.</p>
------	--	--

6143	<p>The RFP states, "Each Offeror (inclusive of first- tier subcontractors, if applicable) for each NAICS code represented in Section A.1.33 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s) for each NAICS within the category in which they are proposing by entering either LB =Large Business; SB =Small Business; SDB = Small Disadvantaged Business; WOSB= Women Owned Small Business; EDWOSB= Economic Disadvantaged Small Business; VOSB= Veteran Owned Small Business; SDVOSB= Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business; or HUB= HUBZone." Our company is a Small Business, HUBZone, and WOSB, and we would like to propose on all of these in Category C. May we enter multiple codes such as "SB; WOSB; HUBZone" in the spreadsheet? If not, how should we proceed?</p>	<p>Only one NAICs code may be utilized for the proposal. However, offerors should indicate all applicable NAICs codes and business sizes in Exhibit 4 to be utilized post-award.</p>
6158	<p>Can a small-business teaming partner be onboarded at the task-order level after the contract is awarded? i.e. At a later time (For categories A and B)</p>	<p>Yes, small-business teaming partner can be on-boarded at the task-order level after the contract is awarded.</p>
6160	<p>What level of involvement with AbilityOne is required from our first-tier subcontractors?</p>	<p>The level of involvement with AbilityOne by an Offeror's first-tier subcontractor is at the discretion of the prime Offeror.</p>
6171	<p>There seems to be a lot of concern over page count. We would like to keep our response short and concise, is that the intent or do you prefer us to fill up the 10 pages (past performance) 15 pages (technical approach)?</p>	<p>Page limits provide a maximum limit not a required amount.</p>
6172	<p>Please confirm whether a company that is a Small Business, HUBZone, and WOSB has to submit 3 different proposals in Category C.</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
6182	<p>For Ability One Subcontracting: do we have to submit a copy of the sub-contracting agreement with the offer or only provide the agreement when awarded ?</p>	<p>Proof of commitment with AbilityOne is not required at the proposal submission, however the formal agreement with an AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA)/Non-Profit Agencies (NPAs) is required upon contract award.</p>

6183	Can REPs that we submit for Category B and Category C in Mandatory Experience Section be same as the Past Performance References that we will submit for Past	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
6186	Can we submit one proposal as prime on one category and one with JV on another category?	Yes, offerors can submit a proposal as a prime in one category and as a member of a Joint Venture in another category.
6190	Can we do sub-contracting on one category and as prime on another category?	Yes, offerors can be a subcontractor in one category and a prime in another category.
6194	Can we bid as prime on all three categories?	Yes, offerors can be a subcontractor in one category and a prime in another category.
6199	On page 61, Section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE lists NAICS code 541519 as one that has a mandatory requirement to subcontract with AbilityOne. Can the government confirm whether small businesses submitting a Category A response using NAICS code 541519e have to use Ability One as a subcontractor?	Small Businesses submitting a Category A response using NAICS Code 541519e have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors.
6200	Can we can bid as prime on one category and do subcontracting in the same category at same time?	Yes, offerors can submit a proposal as a prime in a category and also be a subcontractor to another prime in the same category.
6204	Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming as Prime - Subcontractor in another Category?	Yes, offerors can submit a proposal as part of a teaming arrangement in one category and as a subcontractor in another category.
6205	Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming with large business in another Category?	Yes, offerors can submit a proposal as a prime in one category and team with a large business in another category.
6212	Would the Government consider changing the "Monthly Progress Report" requirement to quarterly? Imposing this at the vehicle level is excessive, given the additional monthly reporting and deliverables that will certainly be required at the Order level. This minimal time between reporting cycles still provides the Government ample time to ensure Contractors are meeting Order level requirements. The Government should take into consideration SB resource constraints for administrative functions and the impact of how or if Offerors could effectively comply with this requirement consistently.	The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.

6215	<p>RFP A.3.7.1 Subsection (c)</p> <p>The text in the instruction “by entering either LB =Large Business; SB =Small Business; SDB = Small Disadvantaged Business; WOSB= Women Owned Small Business; EDWOSB= Economic Disadvantaged Small Business; VOSB= Veteran Owned Small Business; SDVOSB= Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business; or HUB= HUBZone” because of the use of “either” and “or” seems to allow only one socio-economic indicator to be entered into Exhibit 4 for each NAICS. If contractors have more than one, is it permitted to enter all that apply?</p>	<p>Yes, it is permitted to enter all NAICS codes and business size designations that apply in Exhibit 4.</p>
6218	<p>How should we provide a NAICS crosswalk in Exhibit 2b/c be filled if we are providing State and local/commercial contracts as reference projects?</p>	<p>For references that are not assigned a NAICS code (e.g., commercial contracts) the Offeror shall include the description within the past performance volume that corresponds with the NAICS code used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract.</p>
6222	<p>Should the Sub contractors/teaming partners also provide ISO and CMMI certification or just Prime?</p>	<p>No. Only the Prime should submit the certification documentation.</p>
6236	<p>EXHIBIT 1: Are Offerors required to complete the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table pdf file as is or can Offerors create an equivalent template in MS word for the submittal? The current template's Part III: Project Description field does not allow for additional pages.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
6237	<p>Does “Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification to attest to meeting the ISO 20243 standard.” belong to ISO 9001, 20000-1, and 27001 certifications?</p>	<p>ISO is a large suite of standards. ISO 20243 is a SCRUM related standard on its own. ISO 9001, 20000-1, and 27001 are different standards with different certifications.</p>

6238	Does the 3 page limitation apply to the Relevant Experience Project Table (Exhibit 1), or to the Mandatory Experience Letter of Authorization?	There is a 3 page limit on both.
6244	Referring to the statement: "Provide a clear concise description of the IT Services provided and identify where the supporting information / evidence is in the attached documents", What evidence is Government looking for?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6246	Section A.3.7.1 (b); Page 103; 1st paragraph, second to last sentence - "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template". But within Exhibit 1, it states "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents". What is the expected attached documents? Is it the PWS from the contract or do we not provide any other additional information.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6250	A.3.5 Proposals - RFP states "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." - if we plan to prime a JV in B1 (OTSB). can we also prime a CTA in category B2(small bus set-aside)?	No. Only one submission is allowed per Category.
6270	A.3.5 Proposals - is a team considered WOSB if only one member (prime) registered as as WOSB and the other member is only a small business? OR do all team members need to be WOSB for the team to be considered a WOSB?	Only the prime contractor of a team needs to be registered as a WOSB for the team to be considered a WOSB.

6274	When should the AbilityOne Commitment Letter be submitted, with the response or upon contract award?	The AbilityOne Commitment Letter must be submitted with your proposal.
6285	What is the difference between the work under 541519 Other Computer Related Services and 541519 IT Value Added	See https://www.naics.com/naics-code-description for detailed description of NAICs Codes.
6289	Does the AbilityOne Commitment Letter apply to Category A, where helpdesk services are not in scope?	"Category A Offerors under NAICS code 334112 (Computer Storage Device Manufacturing), 518210 (Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services), and 541519 (Other Computer Related Services), have a mandatory requirement to subcontract with AbilityOne.
6291	How will we be assured that Ordering CO will utilize the correct NAICS code especially if more than one code applies?	The Issuing CO will make the NAICs code determination.
6294	Would NASA consider increasing the allowed page count to 20 pages for the technical response section to enable vendors to provide more differentiated responses and elaborate on technical ideas in greater detail?	No.
6295	If we have no orders that fall under the NAICS* codes and the listed PSC codes, is there still a requirement to meet a 2% subcontracting goal for AbilityOne if no orders met the requirement?	No. if no orders fall under the NAICS codes or listed PSC codes that require the use of AbilityOne, there is no requirement to meet the 2% subcontracting goal.
6304	NAICS Code 541519 states that procurement under Footnote 18 must consist of at least 15% services. Because of the predominance of hardware how will the percentage be met? Request that 334111 be used as Category A's Administrative NAICS code instead.	The 15% VAR threshold will be tracked at the contract level and does not affect the ability to add small business providers to any contract.

6324	Can Exhibit 1 be provided in Word format?	No. The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6333	It is our understanding you must have a letter of authorization directly from the product Manufacturer. Many, if not all Manufacturers have their distributors handle the authorization letters. Please allow distributors issue letters of authorizations to bidders. Thank you.	Whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner the designated provider LOAs must originate from the OEM.

6342	<p>RFP Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, Subsection a: ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification</p> <p>To increase the competitive environment and to expand the government's access to innovative capabilities and commercial best practices, we suggest that the government amend the timing of CMMI certification for Large Businesses to mirror the requirement for Small Businesses: within 12 months of contract award (or, at a minimum, upon contract award). This offeror, and we are willing to bet several others, are in the CMMI preparation and audit process, but our audit (scheduled in advance of the RFP release and proposal due date) will occur after proposals are submitted.</p> <p>The duration to achieve CMMI Level 2 or Level 3 can vary greatly depending on several factors, including the size of the organization, the complexity of the processes, the starting maturity of the processes, the overall customer scope of the business (public sector only vs public sector and commercial) and the resources dedicated to the improvement efforts. It's a significant commitment and can take anywhere from several months to a couple of years.</p> <p>While we started this process several months ago, the factors cited above have extended the preparation process and thus resulted in an audit date that is post proposal submission. Given this situation, we ask that the requirement be relaxed to be similar to the SB requirement for CMMI, where bidders must</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated in an Amendment prior to Amendment 7 to clarify the instructions related to ISO 9001 and CMMI certification.</p>
6346	<p>For our number 1 selection for our Mandatory Technical Area, we need 1,000-line items, are the line items limited to one OEM or multiple OEMS?</p>	<p>The mandatory 1,000 CLINs must be from one OEM defined as the designated provider.</p>

6349	Section A.3.7.1.(b) states: "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template." Exhibit 1 states: "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Are Offerors required to provide supporting information/evidence or not? Please clarify.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6360	Does the AbilityOne Commitment Letter apply to Category A, where helpdesk services are not in scope?	"Category A Offerors under NAICS code 334112 (Computer Storage Device Manufacturing), 518210 (Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services), and 541519 (Other Computer Related Services), have a mandatory requirement to subcontract with AbilityOne.
6361	Exhibit 1 indicates "The completed REP Template may not exceed a total of three (3) pages." Will the Government please update the form to accommodate the extra two pages so we can respond within compliant parameters?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6362	If we have no orders that fall under the NAICS* codes and the listed PSC codes, is there still a requirement to meet a 2% subcontracting goal for AbilityOne if no orders met the requirement?	No. if no orders fall under the NAICS codes or listed PSC codes that require the use of AbilityOne, there is no requirement to meet the 2% subcontracting goal.
6363	(B) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS- The Proposal Submission Table in Section A.3.6(B) of the RFP (pages 95-96) lists "Mandatory Experience Exhibit" (page limitations excluded) as one of the components of the Offer Volume. Is the Mandatory Experience Exhibit the same as Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project (REP) Table? If so, is it excluded from the page limits or is it limited to 3 pages per REP as stated in Exhibit	Yes. The response attached to Exhibit 1 is limited to 3 pages per REP.

6368	Please clarify if the government will be evaluating an Offeror's depth and breadth in Exhibit 3a? Will an offering that meets the minimum requirements regarding the number of products proposed (1000, 100, and 50) be evaluated the same as another Offeror proposing substantially more such as 100,000 products total? Please amend the RFP to clarify how the government will evaluate Exhibit 3a.	Exhibit 3a is only evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis in Phase 1. Exhibit 3a is not evaluated during Phase 3
6372	Referring to the section: "A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services." For State / local/ Commercial Contracts, we do not have NAICS Codes, how will Government Validate this information? If the NAICS are listed on our SAM. Gov will that suffice the requirement?	For references that are not assigned a NAICS code (e.g., commercial contracts) the Offeror shall include the description within the past performance volume that corresponds with the NAICS code used to compete for a master SEWP VI contract.
6378	Do Small Businesses (SBs) pursuing Category A need to complete Relevant Experience, Exhibit 1? It looks like only B&C need to submit in the RFP.	Exhibit 1 is only filled out and submitted for Category B and C.
6392	Will the government please update the Exhibit 1 attachment to allow the offeror to respond within the three (3) page limit? The current Exhibit 1 attachment only gives space up to one third (1/3) of a page.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6396	Can the OTTPS Certification be included in the excluded pages of the Management Approach Volume?	If any certification, such as the OTTPS Certification, is included in the Management Approach Volume, it will be counted within the 15 page count.

6398	<p>Monthly Progress Report –</p> <p>Will this be a freeform document, or will there be a SEWP supplied template?</p> <p>If not either, will there be an area within the CHOP like the Order Status page with dropdowns to choose from or entry fields to use.</p> <p>Will this replace the Order Status page on CHOP with additional fields?</p> <p>What narrative information is being requested?</p>	<p>The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.</p>
6400	<p>Consolidated Report - Is this not a duplication of information supplied on the Monthly progress report?</p>	<p>The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.</p>
6404	<p>Final Order Report – Is this not a duplication of information supplied in the Monthly/Consolidated report?</p>	<p>The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.</p>
6405	<p>Is Pricing required for these Clin items at time of submission?</p>	<p>No. The specialized CLINs are added to all Contracts by NASA post award.</p>
6407	<p>Is Pricing required for these Clin items at time of submission?</p>	<p>No. The specialized CLINs are added to all Contracts by NASA post award.</p>
6410	<p>Attachment B - Category 5: Delivery / Completion Status (service orders) - Is this not a duplication of information supplied in the Monthly/Consolidated report?</p>	<p>The Government will define and discuss a methodology for reporting progress reports post award.</p>

6415	<p>Referring to section: "The offeror shall describe their corporate policies and resources that will affect the addition of new solution providers for the purpose of enhancing the technology and services available to the Government. The offeror shall provide information concerning how those policies will allow for continued and increased availability and competitiveness through the life of the contract."</p> <p>For category B and Category C, Is this applicable as this is referring to Service providers?</p>	<p>Yes. Offerors in Category B and C should respond to how their "policies will allow for continued and increased availability and competitiveness through the life of the contract."</p>
6417	<p>During the industry day, while discussing the proposal response submission, it sounded like the vendor can only select one NAICS code for the submission under the drop down. If we are leveraging multiple NAICS codes for our response (REP's and Past Performances fall under various NAICS codes), which one should we use for the proposal submission?</p>	<p>You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.</p>
6421	<p>The offeror shall describe how their current corporate infrastructure and future enhancements will support the responsibilities laid out in Attachment A: SEWP Statement of Work; Section A.5. Contractor Responsibilities.</p> <p>Do we need to create a website at time of submission or these are after award requirements?</p>	<p>The creation of a website is a post-award requirement.</p>
6426	<p>Bullet 4, is the use of Microsoft Co-Pilot for reviewing and summarizing considered generative AI?</p>	<p>Yes, the use of Microsoft Co-Pilot for reviewing and summarizing is considered generative AI.</p>
6432	<p>If we do not pick one of the 8 Mandatory Technical Areas can we still provide that product after award?</p>	<p>Yes. A contract holder within a category will be able to add and update any product or service in-scope for that category post-award using the Technology Refreshment process.</p>
6435	<p>Verifying that REPs are NOT needed for Category A submissions.</p>	<p>REPs are not needed for Category A submissions.</p>

6436	The RFP states that "The designated provider for each of the proposed areas must be from the list of approved SEWP Providers listed in Enclosure 1." In order to maximize competition, strengthen small business participation, and obtain flexibility in a wider variety of commercial products and services, will the government consider revising the RFP to allow offerors to propose products and services from any provider capable of meeting a commercial solution that maps to one of the listed technology areas?	No, the requirement will remain as stated. The Solicitation only requires the 4 designated providers be from the list in Enclosure 1. Other providers may be proposed at the time of proposal or post-award.
6437	Request the Gov't edit Exhibit 1 to allow three (3) pages of content IAW PART III Instructions. This is the Mandatory Experience Write up. In the currently posted PDF template, the template makes the font smaller and smaller instead of allowing three pages - it allows one third of a page.	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6439	Will the EARP apply to Category C services?	The EARP (Established Authorized Reseller Program) program in SEWP V will not exist in SEWP VI.
6441	Will the government permit offerors to propose providers, offering commercial products and services, that map to the listed technology areas and that are equal to the brand name designated providers in Enclosure 1?	Providers and technologies that are equal to the companies in Enclosure 1 can be submitted as additional providers but cannot be used to meet the designated provider requirement.
6448	The Relevant Experience Project Table requires the use of Arial font, no smaller than 10 points. This appears to be in conflict with the requirement in Section B, paragraph (2) to use 12-point Times New Roman font. Can the Government please clarify?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "

6449	In an effort to ensure the widest flexibility for the products and services able to be offered, will the Government permit offerors who are small businesses to leverage more than one designated provider to accumulate the number of required CLINS?	No.
6458	Will CPARS, descriptions of scope, and quotes from other Government documents be excluded?	References to CPARS, descriptions, quotes, etc are only allowed if they are fully contained within the proposal documentation within the stated page limits.
6478	A.3.5 Proposals Requested "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Can the government clarify if an Offeror is allowed to submit as a prime contractor and a subcontractor on another prime bid in the same category?	No. Section A.3.5 states, "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." An offeror may submit a proposal as a prime and as a subcontractor, or as a member of a JV, but is not allowed to submit a proposal as a prime and a JV member under the same category.
6480	When referring to similar scope efforts, is that product and services scope and not volume or dollar amount?	The reference is to products and services and not volume or dollar amount.
6484	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent). Offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent)." Can the Government confirm that the required number of content representative areas for each Category is intended as a total across the 1-3 past performance references, as opposed to each reference being required to showcase relevant work across the required number of content representative areas?	The Offeror needs to showcase three content areas across the aggregate of their past performance references, not for each individual reference. The three references, collectively, must cover at least three content areas for the content to be rated as relevant.

6485	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume P. 105 Does the Past Performance submitted have to have the in-scope assigned NAICS?	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states that the Offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level.
6486	A.3.7.1 Offer Volume (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings; A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME Are offerors allowed to submit the same contracts for Volume I REP and Volume II Past Performance in the same category?	Yes, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) submitted within the Offer Volume can also be used as past performance references.
6488	Can you use the same REP project to address more than one technical area if the appropriate number of REPs is still provided?	No, one REP cannot cover multiple mandatory technical areas within the same proposal.
6500	Because there is no way for offerors to control the tenure of a Point of Contact, will the Government confirm that verification of a "current representative" will be defined as any representative that is authorized to approve resellers as of the date of the initial SEWP VI RFP?	Yes, current representatives are defined as any representative that is authorized to approve resellers as of the date of the SEWP VI RFP.
6504	RFP, Page 98 - Does the use of AbilityOne and the Commitment Letter apply to Category A?	Yes. The AbilityOne requirement and the Commitment Letter apply to all categories, including Category A.
6506	Page 97 indicates "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience", Could the Government please confirm whether this provision is also applicable to Contractor Teaming Arrangements?	Offerors leveraging shared resources of a Meaningful Relationship, such as a Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures, may only submit one offer from that Corporate structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated.

6511	In the PDF format of Exhibit 1 - REP Table, it is hard to determine what the page limit is as we are writing. Could the Government provide a Word version of Exhibit 1 with editable fields that allow for the expansion across multiple pages?	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6513	Section A.3.7.1 Page 103; Exhibits 3b/3c; if Contractor has a GSA schedule, are rates to be utilized from the contractor's GSA schedule?	The price provided on the SEWP database of record must be equal to or less than the price for the same offering on the Contractor's current GSA Schedule after accounting for any GSA or other Government fee.
6514	Section A.3.7.1 Page 103; Exhibits 3b/3c; if GSA schedule is applicable, what GSA SINs are applicable to this solicitation?	GSA Schedule is not applicable.
6515	Please confirm that the three page limit only applies to the information provided in Part III: Project Description portion if Exhibit 1 - REP Table.	Yes.
6516	If a bidder is concerned about the description of one of the REPs can that bidder submit an additional REP (eg 5 areas covered by 5 reps instead of 4 areas covered by 4 Reps) in case one is disqualified?	The Offeror can only submit the mandatory number of REPs. Any REPs beyond the minimum will be discarded.
6518	What does the Government mean by "be from a different requirement?"	Each REP must be distinctly different from the other REPs within the same proposal.
6524	Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume (last bullet on page 99) Will the Government clarify will a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for subcontractors in the Prime/Team Offeror if the sub's projects are used for REP and past performance?	Yes, a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for subcontractors in the Prime/Team Offeror if the subcontractor's projects are used for Relevant Experience Projects (REP) and past performance.

6525	Exhibit 1, "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents." Can the government provide confirmation that narrative is the only information needed in this as the RFP page 103 confirms "No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template."	The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "
6540	Please confirm that an offeror may prime a category as an OTSB vendor, while also being a subcontractor to a small business prime in the same category.	An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category.
6544	If a Small Business Prime becomes a Large Business, will they automatically get moved to the Other Than Small Group within a Category?	A lateral/ vertical on ramp does not occur automatically for a contract, as a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition.
6555	A.3.7.1 Offer Volume: RFP states: Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort. Can the government clarify if this requirement includes consultants used solely for compliance check purposes? And can the government clarify what information needs to be provided to meet this requirement?	Consultants used solely for compliance checks need to be identified in the proposal with a brief description of services provided.
6556	RFP Page 111, Should "The offeror shall describe their ITC/AV-based solutions and services" use "and/or" instead of "and", given that ITC/AV applies only to Category A?	ITC/AV defines the scope of products, solutions and services in SEWP VI.

6561	<p>For all exhibits, The RFP requires 12 point font size. However, when filling in the PDF forms (e.g., a long "Project Title" on page 1 of Exhibit 1, or in the box for "Part III: Project Description" where offeror enter more than 1 page of text), the box provided for the answer will fit the text to size and therefore reduce the font below 12 point. How can offerors ensure that the font size is compliant?</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
6564	<p>List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Is there a reason to include terminations for convenience here? can the description be only a few words - such as EOL or customer funding not approved suffice for terminations for convenience?</p>	<p>Section A.3.7.2, a. Information from the Offeror requests that Offerors list any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and the basis for termination (convenience or default).</p>
6565	<p>For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.</p> <p>Will the Government please confirm that an Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/ BPA at the contract/ agreement level as a single REP reference as permitted in Section A.3.7.2. Past Performance reference on Page 106. "</p>	<p>Yes, a single awarded Task Order that meets the mandatory size requirement off of an awarded GWAC or other IDIQ contract is compliant to be submitted as a Past Performance reference and/ a Relevant Experience Project.</p>

6567	<p>Subsidiary A is submitting a proposal for Category A – A1 Unrestricted. Subsidiary A is also submitting a proposal as a part of a JV with Subsidiary B for A2-Small Business -Set-Aside. Subsidiary A and Subsidiary B share a common parent. Confirm these are considered separate offers and that Subsidiary A and Subsidiary B are able to leverage a MRCL for each offer that cites its corporate reach-back services such as finance, accounting, quality, HR, training, security, safety, health environmental, and IT.</p>	<p>Confirmed. This is allowable, provided that no proposal evaluation elements are shared and/or no resources from the parent company are committed. Subsidiary A and Subsidiary B are considered separate offerors and can leverage a MRCL for each offer that cites corporate reach-back services.</p>
6569	<p>“Category C has a threshold restriction of \$2 million per order (inclusive of options) for the 1st year of contract performance and \$10 million per order in the 2nd year of contract performance. Category C threshold restrictions are subject to removal beginning in the 3rd year of contract performance.”</p> <p>How does NASA intend to uphold the “rule of two” (FAR Part 19 and 13 CFR) in conjunction with the threshold restrictions?</p>	<p>Adequate competition and usage is expected within Category C among the various Small Businesses regardless of the initial threshold restrictions.</p>
6576	<p>Section A.4.5 indicates that responsibility will be determined in accordance with Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Responsibility. We reached out to our SBA POC, who indicated that this is typically triggered by a Government agency, and not the contractor. Can NASA confirm if it will be checking with the SBA on a contractor's Certificate of Competency and Determination of Responsibility? If not, is there any additional guidance on what NASA will be looking for with this requirement?</p>	<p>Section A.4.5 indicates that responsibility will be determined in accordance with FAR Subpart 9.1 and NFS Subpart 1809.1. For small businesses, responsibility will be determined by Subpart 19.6, Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Responsibility. If the prospective contractor is a Section 8(a) participant, see Subpart 19.8.</p>
6582	<p>Please confirm that providing the point of contact name and contact information in Exhibit 1 - REP Table will fulfill this requirement.</p>	<p>REPs are not related to PPQs. Information for Past Performance should be provided in Exhibit 2 even if it is the same POC as in Exhibit 1 for an REP.</p>

6585	How does NASA intend to support customers that require a customized solution at the mission/program level when the task order exceeds \$2M TCV in contract year 1 (or exceeds \$10M in year 2)? Based on the scope of the work and the category descriptions, it should be released under Category C but due to threshold restriction, the customer would have to either 1) move the work to Category B which has a different scope intended for large agency/enterprise-wide implementations or 2) take the work to another BIC IDIQ/GWAC.	Issuing Agencies will be informed of the Category C restrictions and will determine, based on those restrictions, if their requirements can be fulfilled using SEWP or if they will need to utilize a different acquisition path while the restrictions are in place.
6590	Please confirm the point of contact information, identification of consultants, generative AI, and/or sub-contractors, and prospective contractor responsibility information under FAR 9.104 listed in Section A.3.7.1 is excluded from the Volume I - Offer page limitation.	Point of contact information, consultant identification, generative AI/subcontractor details, and prospective contractor responsibility information are excluded from the Volume I-Offer page limitation.
6593	Does total value include unexercised option periods, or only obligated funds?	Total value only captures exercised options.
6598	Regarding A.3.7.2(a)(12), page 108-109, Item 12, Past Performance History, would the Government like the Offeror to provide a written summary of the chosen past performance references, or should this section only consist of the Past Performance Matrix described in Table 1, Sample Past	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states that Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix.
6599	Regarding A.3.7.2(a)(12), page 9, Item 1, personnel most familiar with the offeror's performance record. Does the government want contact information for two POCs, or can offerors provide information for one POC who serves as the lead contractual and technical personnel most familiar with	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume requests that Offerors provide the Customer's name, telephone number, and e-mail address of both the lead contractual and technical personnel most familiar with the Offeror's performance record.
6600	"If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. The cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count as defined in A.3.7."	The proposal is self-contained. Any referenced document must be included in the Proposal and counts against the associated page count.

6605	As pertaining to joint venture offerors, based on information provided in RFP Section A.3.7.1, affiliate, division, and subsidiary as defined, does a large business entity (that does not have a 50% plus controlling ownership interest or power to control the JV) within the construct of small business joint venture (per SBA regulations), have to submit a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter?	Large businesses without 50% plus controlling ownership interest or power to control a joint venture do not need to submit a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.
6617	A.3.6(A) Proposal Content and Page Limitations - Is the Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4) excluded from page limitations?	Exhibit 4 – Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk is excluded from page limitations.
6618	Please confirm that this also applies to subcontractors in the CTA. We assume that we would also need to list "S" for REPs performed by a subcontractor on the Offeror's CTA.	Yes, the requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit agencies as subcontractors applies to subcontractors in a CTA. You would need to list "S" for REPs performed by a subcontractor on the Offeror's CTA.
6619	Can you disclose within the UNSPC codes which segment, family and class would be used to classify IT labor categories for filling out Exhibit 3C?	The list of UNSPSC codes includes service codes. Offerors should match their labor categories and service to the most applicable UNSPSC service code.
6621	Exhibit 3c states "Proposed Initial CLINs for SEWP Catalog/Database of Record". Can the Government please clarify how the labor rates proposed will be utilized?	Proposed labor rates will be loaded into the initial SEWP database of record at which point the Contract Holder can quote the labor CLINs in response to RFQs.
6623	Can an offeror submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level as a single REP reference under Category B or C?	An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract.

6625	<p>For an 8(a) to have the ability to bid on SB and Unrestricted Task Orders, will the 8(a) need to satisfy the Relevant Experience and Past Performance requirements for 8(a) offerors or would they also have to satisfy requirements for SB Offerors and Large Business Offerors?</p> <p>Can the Government please confirm if this is an Unrestricted MAC per FAR Case Number 2020-016, Part Number 19, 52 entitled Representation of Size and Economic Status, which would require SB recertification at the TO level instead of the Master Contract Level? On 4/3/2024 DAR staff notified FAR staff that DARC agreed to draft final FAR rule and it is currently awaiting CAAC concurrence.</p>	<p>Orders set aside for small businesses will allow for all small businesses to compete regardless of the small business classifications. The government confirms that this is not an unrestricted MAC per FAR Case Number 2020-016, Part Number 19, 52 entitled Representation of Size and Economic Status</p>
6628	<p>Will an 8a offeror that has the capabilities to satisfy the requirements as an 8(a) have the ability to bid up on SB set-aside task orders and Unrestricted task orders?</p>	<p>Yes. All contract holders within a category will be eligible to bid on any and all in scope requirements for which the issuing office has selected their category, NAICS and socio-economic set-aside.</p>
6631	<p>"For Small Businesses proposing in Category B- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$1,000,000 (One Million) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." - This requirement list "cost/fee" versus just "cost" as shown for small business proposing in Category A. \$1M in fee is very different from \$1M in cost. Which one is it? Should it be total value?</p>	<p>Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states that for Small Business and Other Than Small Business, the minimum average annual cost incurred must be met for the submission to be considered relevant (pertinent).</p>
6639	<p>"For Small Businesses proposing in Categories C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." - This requirement list "cost/fee" versus just "cost" as shown for small business proposing in Category A. \$500k in fee is very different from \$500k in cost. Which one is it? Should it be total value?</p>	<p>Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states that for Small Business and Other Than Small Business, the minimum average annual cost incurred must be met for the submission to be considered relevant (pertinent).</p>

6640	<p>Not all the information in the numbered line items on pages 106-108 of the RFP appear in Exhibit 1 - REP Table. Could you please update Exhibit 1 - REP Table to include fields for all the information that is required for both the Mandatory Experience and REP requirements?</p>	<p>"The relevant experience referred to in the Past Performance section is not tied to the REPs provided in Exhibit 1. The reference is to the response to 12. Past Performance History listing describing the relevance of the submitted past performances."</p>
6642	<p>In the past, we have had challenges getting the AbilityOne Commission and its subcontractors to commit to participate as a subcontractor on deals prior to the final RFP being released. The AbilityOne Commission would lobby the procuring agency and attempt to make the requirement an AbilityOne set-aside, which is within their right and is considered good business development. However, this makes the relationship tenuous and transactional at best. We fully support AbilityOne/NIB's missions, but it seems that the "mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes" creates a conflict of interest. Requiring AbilityOne and its subsidiaries to be a subcontractor on all orders falling under the specified NAICS allows AbilityOne and its subsidiaries to withhold subcontract support from organizations for any reason and disqualifying bidders they chose from bidding. The only option for bidders bidding without AbilityOne, or its subsidiaries, under the specified NAICS is if AbilityOne is unable to perform the services. It is easy to imagine a scenario where AbilityOne, or its subsidiaries, is unwilling to provide subcontractor support, which is not an acceptable reason under the RFP to exclude AbilityOne or its subsidiaries from a bid. Additionally, there is no exception to exclude AbilityOne or its subsidiaries if the prime offeror finds the rates to be "not fair and reasonable." FAR 15.404-3(b) requires the prime contractor or higher-tier subcontractor to conduct appropriate cost or price analyses to establish the reasonableness of the proposed subcontract</p>	<p>The Government appreciates the offeror's concerns. The AbilityOne program is a mandatory source for the NAICS and PSC codes identified in the RFP. The Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (JWOD) provides for mandatory use of AbilityOne nonprofit agencies for the identified services and products, and it takes precedence over other socioeconomic programs, in accordance with FAR 8.002(a)(1). The AbilityOne Commission, through its authorized nonprofit agencies (NPAs), is committed to providing fair and reasonable pricing and supporting all prime offerors pursuing SEWP task orders without discrimination. The mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne and its NPAs as subcontractors for the specified services and products, ensures compliance with the JWOD Act and supports the program's mission to create employment opportunities for people who are blind or have significant disabilities.</p>

6643	Will you consider allowing a bidder to submit a bid under both Category A groups (A1: Unrestricted and A2: Small Business Set Aside)?	No. An offeror can only submit a proposal once as a prime and a second time as a JV or CTA member in the same category. An offeror cannot submit a bid as a prime under Category A1 and a separate bid as a prime under Category A2.
6645	<p>Regarding page 97, "Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is a duplication or replica of another offeror (company), that is not a part of a joint venture or contractor teaming arrangement, will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government. Information such as Provider Point of Contact Information, or proof of certifications will not be considered as duplication if submitted by multiple entities. Additionally, pages submitted above the limitations specified in this provision will not be evaluated by the Government and will be handled in accordance with NFS 1815.204-70(b). Proposal revisions will not be requested by the Government. Offerors who submit fraudulent information/ documentation will be ineligible for award and removed from competition."</p> <p>Some individual vendors (Non JV) have standard documented process that they use verbatim in multiple proposals. Is it acceptable to reuse standard policies such ISO:9001:2015 Supply Chain Management policies as an example.</p>	Reusing standard policies like ISO 9001:2015 verbatim in multiple proposals is acceptable.
6647	"For Other Than Small Businesses proposing in Category A & B- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$2,500,000 (\$2.5 Million) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." - This requirement list "cost/fee" versus just "cost" as shown for small business proposing in Category A. \$2.5M in fee is very different from \$2.5M in cost. Which one is it? Should it be total value?	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states that for Small Business and Other Than Small Business, the minimum average annual cost incurred must be met for the submission to be considered relevant (pertinent).

6651	Will the Government please confirm that when referring to warranty, RFP section A.1.20 is referring to commercially available OEM Warranty?	Warranty may be, but is not limited to, commercially available OEM Warranty.
6653	Per the table on page 95-96 of the RFP, please clarify that all Exhibits (e.g., 1, 2, 3a, 3b, and 3c) are excluded from the page limit.	Yes. Note that some exhibits have internal page limits.
6655	We are sending more than the required three requests for Past Performance evaluations to ensure SEWP receives at least three responses. If SEWP receives additional PP evaluations, would that count against our RFQ rating or will SEWP just ignore the additional ones?	The government will only consider up to 3 submitted past performance references for evaluation.
6656	Please reference: "Information from first- tier subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be taken into consideration for only small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract." Can the Government clarify that first-tier subcontractors formed under a CTA 9.601(2) are excluded from submitting a Meaningful	A Meaningful Relationship Commitment letter is not needed for first tier subcontractors formed under a CTA 9.601(2).
6657	Please clarify that the requirements for the Past Performance Volume are only the completion of Exhibits 1 and 2 and either clarify the page limitations for Exhibit 1 or confirm the exhibit is excluded from the page limit.	Exhibit 1, which refers to REPs and not Past Performance, are limited to 3 pages per REP as stated in the Solicitation .

6661	<p>"A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP." - This requirement lists a maximum of 3 reference contracts for the offeror and 1 reference contract for each first tier subcontractor. Is there a limitation on the</p>	<p>The language in Section A.3.7.2 (a) states that A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first-tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. It also lists a combined total of no more than three (3) reference contracts for the offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP.</p>
6667	<p>For socio-economic disadvantaged small business prime offerors who will not be in a JV or other partnering agreement that are seeking to apply for both Category B and Category C, presuming they meet the qualifications, can the same contracts be used for REPs and past performance for both categories? For example can we cite "contract X" and "contract Z" as our REPs and past performance for both Category B and Category C</p>	<p>Yes, offerors can use the same contract for REPs and Past performance references.</p>
6668	<p>In section A.3.7.2 at paragraph "(a)" (page 105), the government instructs "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts...". However, in the same section at the bottom of page 106, the instructions says "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror...". Can the government clarify whether it wants details about one to three contracts, or</p>	<p>The Government wants details for all contracts submitted for past performance. It is the Offeror's decision, if to submit (1) one or any number of recent and similar contracts, but no more than (3) three contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation's release date.</p>

6669	<p>In selecting projects to use as past performance references, different projects might be evaluated the same way across the board in the past performance questionnaire, but check more or fewer of the relevant technical areas - all other matters in the past performance questionnaire being equal, would a past performance reference that has more relevant technical areas checked be evaluated more favorably in Phase 2 evaluations than a past performance reference that has fewer technical areas checked? For instance, all other matters in the past performance questionnaire being equal, would a project with all 10 relevant technical areas checked be reviewed more favorably in Phase 2 evaluations than a past performance reference with only 1 relevant technical area checked?</p>	<p>The evaluation criteria for Phase 2 is detailed in section A.4.3. The instructions in this section do not mention any preference for proposals with more checked technical areas compared to proposals with fewer checked technical areas.</p>
6672	<p>A.1.32 Subsection b. states "This report (SSRs) must be submitted no later than October 30 each year for the twelve-month period ending September 30" but the table in Section A.1.1 GSFC seems to contradict this by stating the SSR is "Due 30 working days before the end of the Government's fiscal year". Can the Government please clarify the correct schedule for Offerors to abide by with SSRs.</p>	<p>Contractors are required to submit their reports in eSRS by October 30.</p>
6673	<p>The top of the attachment "Exhibit 1 - Relevant Experience Project Table" references section A.3.5.1(b). Section A.3.5 is not broken any further and A.3.5.1(b) does not exist.</p>	<p>The Solicitation has been updated to fix various formatting issues and to update the instructions and remove the reference to "supporting documentation". No supporting documentation can be included or referred to. Responses must be self-contained within a 3 page limit that the Offeror attaches to Exhibit 1. The three page limit is separate from other page limits in the solicitation. The instruction regarding the font to use in the response was updated to read: "Use a font, no smaller than 10 point. "</p>
6679	<p>Page 111, A.3.7.3, (b)(1) iv The list of security controls ends with, "and related industry standards." Please change it to say "or related industry standards" because otherwise everyone must comply with ALL of the listed standards.</p>	<p>This is an instruction to describe "how they will reduce and mitigate Supply Chain Risk:" based on standards including related industry standards. This is not a requirement that all standards must be met.</p>

6682	Can a Small Business (SB) or Disadvantage SB Prime for Category A that is teamed with a large business (LB) subcontractor use the LOAs and CLINs from that LB subcontractor to meet CAT A CLIN and LOA requirements. Or does the SB Prime need to provide its own CLINS and LOAs that	CLINs can be obtained either directly, through a distributor or through a partner.
6684	general - NAICS - if we choose 541512 as our NAICS code for submitting on cat B and cat C, do all REPs and PPRs then also have to come from NAICS code 541512 in our proposal. Could you please clarify why the term "administration purposes" for 541519 and 541512 is used. Do those two NAICS codes get evaluated any higher than offerors using other NAICS codes	When competing for Category B and C, Offerors can use any of the in-scope NAICS codes listed in Exhibit 4. NAICS codes 541519 and 541512 are identified as administrative NAICS codes and are not evaluated any differently than other in-scope NAICS codes.
6691	Page 57, A.1.30.1 References to ISO 20243-1:2018 and 20243-2:2018 are outdated, they were withdrawn and replaced by 20243-1:2023 and 20243-2:2023.	The Solicitation has been updated to remove the reference to 2018.
6695	Attachment J, 1.6 NIST 800-171 Rev 2 has been replaced by 800-171 Rev 3, please amend the form.	Attachment J is a post award document. Any updates needed will be made post award to ensure the latest versions are cited once the contracts are awarded..
6697	"The number of submissions an offeror needs to submit." - For offerors who are small and have multiple classifications (VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, Etc), does the offeror need to submit a proposal for each classification for each category?	You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
6698	Ref RFP para A.3.5 states, "Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Can an offeror submit a bid for Category C1 as a member of a JV, and a separate bid for Category C1 as a Prime only (no subcontractor); and also, be a subcontractor to another Prime who is also submitting a bid under Category C1 (CTA Arrangement)?	No. Section A.3.5 states, "Only one proposal per scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Therefore, an offeror can only submit two proposals under the same category, one as a prime and one as a JV or CTA member. The scenario described would require three proposals in Category C1 and is not allowed.

6706	For small businesses going after multiple categories, can one small business use the same past performance in both category B & C?	It is acceptable to use the same past performance information for more than one category as long as the past performance references meet the minimum requirements for each category.
6707	Reference A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (pages 94-95). In the best interest of encouraging technically qualified small businesses (SBs) to participate in SEWP VI, please confirm or clarify that Offerors must submit separate proposals per Category. If so, please remove any express or implied requirement for separate proposals (and submission files) per Category.	Offerors are required to submit separate proposals for each Category. The RFP requirement for separate proposals (and submission files) per Category will remain.
6710	In the proposal content table provided, Volume III-A contains Category A, B, and C as sections. Can the government confirm that only one category should be included per proposal as relevant to that bid, or will proposals be allowed to include technical approaches for multiple categories in Volume III-A?	An Offeror must submit a separate, independent proposal for each Category in which they are proposing.
6713	Based on the description of the SEWP Marketplace. Will the NASA SEWP VI Marketplace resemble GSA eBuy where RFIs and RFQs from other agencies are posted on behalf of NASA SEWP	The SEWP Marketplace will include the ability for Government customers to perform market research, submit RFQs, etc.
6726	Regarding A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings (p 102) for Category A, can the Government please confirm that an Offeror can use the same additional provider(s) for multiple Technical Areas like we can with designated providers (OEMs)?	Yes, the same secondary provider can be used in two or more of the 4 proposed Technical Areas.
6727	"Pre award, The submission portal and the ability to select multiple business designations." - The submission portal only allows offerors to select one designation. Is there a way to select multiple designations or does the offeror need to submit multiple proposals, one for each designation?	Only one proposal level business size can be selected. Utilize Exhibit 4 to identify all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.

6728	<p>Section A.3.7.3 states, “The Mission Suitability Proposal must specifically state for which group the offeror is proposing.”</p> <p>Slide 30 entitled “Solicitation Highlights” from the presentation to industry held on June 4, 2024 states, “Only One (1) proposal per category submission; not one proposal that can be used for submitting in all category.”</p> <p>Can the Government please clarify if a SB wants to be able bid solicitations as Unrestricted and SB, two separate proposals are required? One proposal for Category B, Group B-1 and one proposal for Category B, Group B-2?</p>	<p>No. The Offeror should submit one proposal per Category using the Proposal level NAICs and associated business size that they select. Post award, Contract Holders will be eligible for requirements within their Category that are unrestricted or for which the Contract Holder has a NAICs code and business size matching the Issuing Agency's set-aside.</p> <p>A.3.7.3 Mission Suitability Volume</p>
6735	<p>Given that Legrand AV encompasses multiple brands within its portfolio, will these brands be treated collectively under the Legrand AV umbrella, or will they be recognized as independent, stand-alone manufacturers? Kindly advise.</p>	<p>In SEWP VI there will be separate listing of "children" companies with connectivity to the parent company.</p>
6737	<p>For Category A, what if your products do not have a UNSPC code?</p>	<p>The Offeror must determine the best UNSPSC possible fit for the product being offered.</p>
6743	<p>Can the Government please confirm if this is an Unrestricted MAC per FAR Case Number 2020-016, Part Number 19, 52 entitled Representation of Size and Economic Status, which would require SB recertification at the TO level instead of the Master Contract Level? On 4/3/2024 DAR staff notified FAR staff that DARC agreed to draft final FAR rule and it is currently awaiting CAAC concurrence.</p>	<p>The SEWP VI GWAC is not an Unrestricted MAC and is subject to FAR 52.219-28. Offerors are required to represent their business size at the master contract level and will have to recertify every year. This can be applied at the Task Order level as well based on the discretion of the ordering agency.</p>
6745	<p>Can we use the same PPQ across multiple category bids?</p>	<p>Yes, offerors can use the same contract for REPs and Past performance references.</p>
6747	<p>Regarding the Past Performance Questionnaire, is the actual response from the past clients needed before the proposal due date? Kindly advise.</p>	<p>Yes. The Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire no later than proposal submission date directly to the Government Contracting Officer via email PastPerformance@sewp.nasa.gov.</p>

6748	Can the Government please confirm if this is an Unrestricted MAC per FAR Case Number 2020-016, Part Number 19, 52 entitled Representation of Size and Economic Status, which would require SB recertification at the TO level instead of the Master Contract Level? On 4/3/2024 DAR staff notified FAR staff that DARC agreed to draft final FAR rule and it is currently awaiting CAAC concurrence.	The SEWP VI GWAC is not an Unrestricted MAC and is subject to FAR 52.219-28. Offerors are required to represent their business size at the master contract level and will have to recertify every year. This can be applied at the Task Order level as well based on the discretion of the ordering agency.
6758	The referenced NIST 800-161 does not appear to have a requirement for ISO 20243 certification. Is this requirement specific to the SEWP Cybersecurity-Supply Chain Risk Management (C-SCRM) Plan DRD?	The Solicitation provides a list of standards and guidelines. ISO 20243 is one such standard; NIST 800-161 is one such guidance. They are not directly related.
6760	In Exhibit 5 C-SCRM Attestation Form, can the government elaborate on its expectations for "counterfeit prevention requirements" under Sect. 4, Physical Security?	See NIST 800-161 and similar security guidelines for detailed definitions of security terminology including "C-SCRM incident".
6762	Reference A.3.7.1 (Page 101-102). Why are Offerors limited to just one provider (OEM) with 1000 CLINs for a Technical Area? Why should such a limitation on the numbers of providers (OEMs), which seems after all to provide less flexibility and a more vulnerable supply chain for the Government? We suggest that Offerors should be able to provide all of the qualified providers (OEMs) and CLINs that satisfy the technical area requirements.	The limitation is the minimum mandatory required. There is no limit on how many additional providers and offerings are included in the SEWP Contract.
6768	Do large businesses, who are not allowed to subcontract, specify SourceAmerica a subcontractor in their proposals?	This section states that large businesses are required to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors when awarded orders under specific NAICS codes and Product Service Codes related to technology and support services. Therefore, large businesses should not specify SourceAmerica as a subcontractor in their proposals but should instead have an established formal agreement with an AbilityOne Non-Profit Agency (NPA) as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations. This formal agreement will be incorporated into the contract as Attachment H.

6770	Several socio-economic concerns have been defined with the exception of 8(a). Because 8(a) is specifically listed within the Categories, can the Government please define 8(a)?	An 8(a) offeror is defined as a small disadvantaged business that is certified by the Small Business Administration (SBA) to participate in the 8(a) Business Development Program.
6772	For the ISO 20243 / O-TTPS certification, will NASA accept self-assessed certification, or is third-party assessment required?	Self assessed certification for ISO-20243 is acceptable.
6774	Can the government please define exactly what "category" means as used in: "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category."	There are three SEWP VI Categories: Category A, Category B and Category C. See Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK
6777	<p>Are the stipulations for achieving ISO 20243 certification, the same as CMMI and/or ISO 9001-2015? See document "ATTACHEMENT D - Contract Data Requirement List" on page 8 (labeled P3 in the header)</p> <p>Information found in document "80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP"</p> <p>A.1.47 SMALL BUSINESSES WITHOUT CMMI OR ISO 9001-2015 CERTIFICATION AT TIME OF CONTRACT AWARD</p> <p>For any small businesses that were awarded a contract while still in the process of obtaining either a CMMI or ISO 9001-2015 Certification will have to obtain a certification within 12 months of contract award. Until a certification is obtained, the contractor will be ineligible to compete on acquisitions requiring a certification that the offeror does not possess and while the certification is in process. If after 12 months of contract award, the certification is not obtained, the contractor is subject to being Off ramped and ineligible to compete for new work. If the Contractor has open orders but fails to obtain the necessary certification that was in process at the time of award, the contractor will be placed in Dormant status to complete the performance of any existing orders prior to being</p>	No. ISO 20243 is not a required certification. It is an alternate certification for submission of Attachment J post award and as an alternate to Exhibit 5 at the time of the proposal. If used as an alternate certification, the certification must be complete and cannot be in progress.

6779	When using the UNSPSC codes, is the following example correct for cloud/migration support? UNSPSC "DA01 IT and Telecom – Business Application/Application Development Support Services (Labor)." Please confirm DA01 is the correct	DA01 is a PSC code not a UNSPSC code.
6780	AbilityOne has advised, "We ask for your patience in this process as the response we've gotten to participate in this pursuit has been extremely high." Will NASA consider extending the solicitation response date if AbilityOne cannot facilitate responses to all interested offerors prior to the submission	AbilityOne is working to facilitate responses to all interested offerors. Please continue to check the AbilityOne website for updates.
6785	Regarding 52.208-9: Is top secret security clearance going to be a requirement of all vendors?	No. There is no contract level security clearance requirement. Such requirements will occur at the Task Order level.
6787	"Each time a technology refreshment is made in the SEWP database relative to a contractor's offerings, the new data must be updated in the Contractor's order processing and quote generation systems by the Contractor." – How long do we have to make this update?	There is no contractual time in which the update must be made. It is required of the Contract Holder to ensure any quote they create is based on the information currently in the NASA SEWP Database of Record.
6788	Do we have to have our Top Secret security clearance in place before we bid? If not, how long do we have to become compliant?	No. There is no contract level security clearance requirement. Such requirements will occur at the Task Order level.
6790	Can we use the same Relevant Experience Projects for multiple Categories?	Yes, offerors can use the same contract for REPs and Past performance references.
6791	Is it permissible for a small business under 8(a) to utilize a single Relevant Experience Project (REP) across multiple Mandatory Experience Technical Areas within Categories B and C?	No, one REP cannot cover multiple mandatory technical areas within the same proposal.
6795	In Exhibit 2, PPQ, what does Basis of Selection mean?	Basis of selection refers to the determination in which the award was made. Please consult the awarding contracting officer referenced on the past performance questionnaire for this information and/or reference that solicitation's criteria for award.

6797	If we are a subsidiary but we aren't using any resources from our parent company, is a meaningful relationship commitment letter needed?	If the subsidiary is not utilizing any of the parent company's workforce, management, facilities, or other resources, and is not depending on the parent company's experience or past performance for the proposal, then a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is not needed.
------	--	---