

QUESTION AND RESPONSE DOCUMENT #5

Consistent with the previous Question & Response Documents, in addressing questions, it is the Government's position that if the solicitation's position is self-evident, the response to a question may simply be that the solicitation already addresses the matter in the manner the Government intended for it to be addressed.

While the Government may include one or more specific solicitation passages in a response to a question, interested parties are reminded that section L.3 of the solicitation states, "Offerors are instructed to read the entire solicitation document, including all attachments in Section J, prior to submitting questions and/or preparing a proposal. Omission of any information from the proposal submission requirements may result in rejection of the offer."

Questions were not extensively edited for grammar, punctuation or spelling. Not every question is shown. Only those questions, or portions of questions, that were deemed frequently asked and/or those that were deemed to benefit the procurement process are shown.

Questions and responses are organized into topic areas shown below. Interested parties stand to benefit from reviewing all statements, questions, and responses.

- General
- Relevant Experience

This is the fifth Question and Response Document (Q&R #5) starting with Q&R #301. Interested parties should also review the previously shared Question and Response Documents (Q&R #1, Q&R #2, Q&R #3, and Q&R #4), containing Q&R #1-300. It is the responsibility of the Offerors to periodically check the solicitation on SAM.gov for more information.

General		
Q&R #	Question	Response
301	Our Representations & Certifications in SAM are incorrect. We have submitted the update in SAM but it is currently pending. As a result, the PSP is showing an error. What can we do to correct this?	Reference FAR 52.204-8(d) within section K.1 of the RFP. If your applicable Representations and Certifications in SAM.gov are not current, accurate and complete, complete the table within FAR 52.204-8(d) with any changes. This will not remove the errors from showing within PSP, but the Representations and Certifications included within the proposal will be reviewed in accordance with the RFP.

302	We now have more than four amendments to the solicitation and there are only four slots for acknowledging amendments on the SF 33. Where do we indicate acknowledgement of Amendment 0005 (and any others following that)?	As noted in L.5.1.1(2), Offeror may insert additional amendment numbers and dates within Block 14 of the SF33 <i>or</i> complete Blocks 8 and 15 of the SF30 for each amendment and submit them with the proposal. GSA will also accept the amendment acknowledgement on the SF33 if you type or manually write “Amendments 0001 through 0007” with the date of the last amendment, e.g. "09/19/2022” in only one of the blocks in Block 14 of the form.
303	In regards to L.3.2, does the transferor (original awardee) or the transferee have the right to use a primary reference for a task order under an IDIQ which had been completed prior to the novation of the IDIQ? For example, Company XYZ performed and completed Task Order 12345 under IDIQ 9876. A year after completing Task Order 12345, Company XYZ sold the division that supports IDIQ 9876 to Company FGH. Which company has the right to claim the relevant experience for Task Order 12345, Company XYZ or Company FGH?	Consistent with L.3.2, the transferor may not claim any experience for a novated contract. This includes any task orders performed through a novated contract (e.g. IDIQ). The transferee can claim credit for experience performed through a novated contract, including all associated task orders.
Relevant Experience		
Q&R #	Question	Response
304	With the extensions of the proposal due date, some projects have been modified since customer signature was obtained on the J.P-6 (or other forms). These changes include adjustments to the project value or end date. Will GSA allow for minor, immaterial differences between the signed J.P forms and the supporting documentation so long as it doesn't make a scoring difference?	Immaterial differences within the forms and supporting documentation that do not have an impact on the claimed scoring or minimum project thresholds will not impact project validation. For example, a project value with validation through the J.P-6 for \$2 Million and updated contract documentation of \$3 Million would be acceptable.

305	<p>What should I do if my federal customer agency is not included in the list within PSP?</p>	<p>If you do not see the funding agency in the select field, please submit a copy of the FPDS report to client.support@apexlogic.com.</p> <p>If you do not see the funding agency in the select field and do not have an FPDS report identifying the requested federal customer agency, please send an email request to Polaris@gsa.gov identifying the requested federal customer agency.</p> <p>The above response supersedes Q&R #4, Q261 based on changes to L.5.2.2.5 from Amendment 0006.</p>
306	<p>What contract type should we select in Symphony for our task order against a single-award BPA?</p>	<p>If the task order is against a single-award BPA (outside of the Multiple Award Schedule Program), then “Task Order (FAR 13.303) awarded under a Single-Award Blanket Purchase Agreement (SA-BPA-13)” should be selected.</p> <p>If the task order is against a single-award BPA against a Multiple Award Schedule contract, then “Task Order (FAR 8.405-2) awarded under a Single-Award Blanket Purchase Agreement (SA-BPA)” should be selected. With the required verification in accordance with the solicitation, a task order against a single-award BPA against a Multiple Award Schedule contract is eligible for scoring through L.5.2.2.5.</p>